Printed 11/21/2011

Lake Arthur Municipal Schools

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Summary Lake Arthur Municipal Schools AYP Rating Not Met Improvement Status DI-1 Schools rated in the district 3 Schools in Improvement 2 Schools in Corrective Action 0 Schools in Restructuring 0

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability

What is Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?

Every school and district in the state must meet annual academic proficiency targets in mathematics and reading in order to be considered on track for 100% proficiency by school year 2013-14. AYP is part of state and federal statute. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 2001 says that each state shall establish a timeline for adequate yearly progress. The timeline shall ensure that no later than 12 years after the 2001-2002 school year all students in each group described in the law will meet or exceed the state's proficient level of academic achievement. New Mexico Statute states that "the Department shall measure the performance of every public school in New Mexico," (§ 22-2C-3, D)

AYP determinations result from assessments that are administered at the end of the prior school year. Therefore this report summarizes AYP findings that apply to 2011-2012, but that were derived from the achievement of students in the 2010-2011 school year.

What do schools have to do in order to meet AYP? Schools need to:

- a) Achieve a 95% participation rate on state assessments
- b) Reach targets for proficiency or reduce non-proficiency
- c) Reach targets for attendance in elementary and middle schools and graduation for high schools.

Who has to meet AYP?

Subgroups of 25 or more students within each school, district, and the state must meet AYP. The subgroups include Caucasian, African American, Asian/Pacific Islander, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native, Economically Disadvantaged (ED), Students with Disabilities (SWD), and English Language Learners (ELL).

Definitions and Abbreviations

- Am Indian: American Indian or Alaskan Native
- Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander
- Afr Am: African American
- ELL: English language learner; assessments include students who exited ELL status the first and second years

- ED: Free or Reduced Lunch; qualifies the student as Economically Disadvantaged
- SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special education students who are gifted
- High Poverty Schools: Schools with the most students eligible for FRL (top 25%)
- Low Poverty Schools: Schools with the fewest students eligible for FRL (bottom 25%)
- LEA: Local Education Agency which refers to either a district or a charter school

Improvement Status refers to school or district augmentations that are mandated by AYP. Each successive designation carries greater requirements for school or district monitoring, parent choice, and educational enhancement:

- SI-1 = School Improvement 1
- SI-2 = School Improvement 2
- CA = Corrective Action
- R-1 = Restructuring 1
- R-2 = Restructuring 2

The word "delay" in any status means entity made AYP the first of the two years required to return to Progressing.

Student Demographics				
	LE	Α	State	
	N	%	N	%
All Students	142	100	334,700	100
Female	66	46	163,202	49
Male	76	54	171,498	51
Caucasian	41	29	88,508	26
Afr Am	1	< 2	7,765	2
Hispanic	100	70	198,850	59
Asian	0	0	4,463	< 2
Am Indian	0	0	34,861	10
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	253	< 2
SWD	29	20	47,480	14
ELL	28	20	53,815	16
Recently Arrived *	0	0	40	< 2
ED	142	100	228,186	68
Migrant	4	3	452	< 2

Source: LEA's 120th day submission to the NMPED STARS. * ELL Students new to the U.S. who qualified for exemption from reading assessment.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AY	P) for Schoo	ols within LE	A			
School	AYP Rating	Improvement Status		School	AYP Rating	Improvement Status
Lake Arthur Elementary	Not Met	SI-2		Lake Arthur High	Met	Progressing
Lake Arthur Middle	Not Met	SI-1				

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability

AYP is based on students who have attended a school for a full academic year. Three academic indicators are utilized: 1) mathematics, 2) reading, and 3) either attendance (elementary and middle schools), or graduation (high schools). Results are not reported for subgroups with fewer than 10 students (indicated by a blank).

	Anadamila budi	Goal	All	Cauc.	Afr	Hispanic	Asian	Am	ED	ELL	SWD
	Academic Indicator	%	Students %	%	Am %	%	%	Indian %	%	%	%
LEA	Reading Proficiency*	75	43	62		35			43	29	
Lake Arthur Elementary	Reading Proficiency*	77	47			40			47		
Lake Arthur High	Reading Proficiency*	75									
Lake Arthur Middle	Reading Proficiency*	72	35			25			35		
LEA	Reading Participation	95	100			100			100		
Lake Arthur Elementary	Reading Participation	95									
Lake Arthur High	Reading Participation	95									
Lake Arthur Middle	Reading Participation	95									
LEA	Math Proficiency*	66	41	81		24			41	14	
Lake Arthur Elementary	Math Proficiency*	68	53			40			53		
Lake Arthur High	Math Proficiency*	66									
Lake Arthur Middle	Math Proficiency*	63	27			5			27		
LEA	Math Participation	95	100			100			100		
Lake Arthur Elementary	Math Participation	95									
Lake Arthur High	Math Participation	95									
Lake Arthur Middle	Math Participation	95									
Lake Arthur Elementary	Attendance Rate	92	92	91		92			92		90
Lake Arthur Middle	Attendance Rate	92	97			97			97		
All Students in Grade 12	Graduation Rate	65				75			61		
Lake Arthur High	Graduation Rate	65				75			61		

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; Full Academic Year only;

Blank = Too few or no students to report

^{* %} of students scoring Proficient or Above;

Proficiencies by Grade S	pan for Subgroups ·	- All¹ -	withi	n LEA								
	Academic Indicator	Goal	All	Cauc.	Afr Am %	Hispanic %		Am Indian %	ED %	ELL %	SWD	Migrant
			%		70	70	%	70	/0	/0	70	/0
District Wide-Grades 3, 4 & 5	Reading Proficiency*	75	56	67		50			56			
District Wide-Grades 6, 7 & 8	Reading Proficiency*	75	38			28			38			
District Wide-Grades 11	Reading Proficiency*	75	45						45			
District Wide-Grades 3, 4 & 5	Math Proficiency*	66	56	67		50			56			
District Wide-Grades 6, 7 & 8	Math Proficiency*	66	28			12			28			
District Wide-Grades 11	Math Proficiency*	66	45						45			

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; ¹All students tested – not limited to Full Academic Year;

^{* %} of students scoring Proficient or Above; Blank = Too few or no students to report

Expenditures		
	Expenditure (\$)	%
Direct Instruction	1,360,266	55
Instructional Support	1,087,757	44
Students	265,142	11
Instruction	86,484	4
General Administration	155,429	6
School Administration	128,074	5
Central Services	92,313	4
Operations Maintenance	337,242	14
Student Transportation	19,054	1
Other	4,019	<1
Non-Instructional Support	22,593	1
Food Services	22,593	1
Community Services	0	<1
Capital Outlay	0	<1
Total	2,470,616	100

School Board Training	
Board Member	Number of Points*
Edward Rubio	0
Gene Bassett	8
Irma Guillen	8
John Jackson	2
Kenneth Hart	7

Source: New Mexico School Board Association
* Board members must accumulate five points during
the year by attending specific training; does not reflect
additional training that board members may have
received.

State Assessment Results (district results exclude charter schools)

Students are assessed in reading, mathematics and science in grades 3-8 and 11 by the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) and the NM Alternative Proficiency Assessment (NMAPA) for students with significant disabilities. These assessments were developed to measure the NM standards and benchmarks that educators and the public determined are important for our students to master. The assessment results are for all students enrolled and present during testing in March 2011. District summaries do not include students from charter schools, which are reported separately. Results for groups with fewer than 10 students are not reported to meet confidentiality requirements. Percents may not add to 100, due to rounding.

Source: Projected expenditures reported to NMPED School Budget Office.

Proficien	cies	for Su	ıbgre	oups	5 - Al	1 - \	withi	n LE	Α												
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	ΑТН						SCI	ENC	E		
	F	7	% at	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	Т	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	П	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level
3rd Grade	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
State Current	100	25,771	6	47	25	22	0	100	25,768	4	48	35	13	0							
State Prior	100	25,674	8	49	27	16	0	100	25,677	10	48	38	4	0	100	25,663	10	73	16	1	0
LEA Current		7							7												
LEA Prior		9							9							9					
Female		3							3												
Male		4							4												
Caucasian		5							5												
Afr Am		0							0							0					
Hispanic		2							2												
Asian		0							0							0					
Am Indian		0							0							0					
ELL		1							1												
ED		7							7												
SWD		2							2												

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; ¹All students tested – not limited to Full Academic Year; Blank = Too few or no students to report

Proficien	cies	for Su	ıbgr	oups	- Al	1 - \	withi	n LE	Α												
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	ΑTΗ						SCI	ENC	Ε		
	П	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	П	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	П	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level
4th Grade	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
State Current	100	25,808	7	40	40	14	0	100	25,817	9	36	37	19	0	100	25,800	5	42	33	20	0
State Prior	100	25,267	9	43	35	14	0	100	25,278	9	36	47	7	0	100	25,263	2	48	46	4	0
LEA Current	100	10	20	40	30	10	0	100	10	10	50	30	10	0	100	10	0	60	20	20	0
LEA Prior		8							8							8					
Female		5							5							5					
Male		5							5							5					
Caucasian		3							3							3					
Afr Am		1							1							1					
Hispanic		6							6							6					
Asian		0							0							0					
Am Indian		0							0							0					
ELL		3							3							3					
ED	100	10	20	40	30	10	0	100	10	10	50	30	10	0	100	10	0	60	20	20	0
SWD		1							1							1					

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; ¹All students tested – not limited to Full Academic Year;

Blank = Too few or no students to report

Proficien	cies	for Su	ıbgr	oups	- Al	1 - \	withi	n LE	Α												
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	ΑTΗ						SCI	ENC	E		
	Р	Z	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	F	Z	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	П	Z	% a	t Each	Profic	ciency	Level
5th Grade	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
State Current	100	25,481	9	43	36	12	0	100	25,481	9	33	39	19	0							
State Prior	100	25,322	14	45	33	8	0	100	25,345	11	34	45	9	0	100	25,332	4	48	43	4	0
LEA Current		8							8												
LEA Prior	100	11	9	18	55	18	0	100	11	18	27	45	9	0	100	11	0	27	55	18	0
Female		4							4												
Male		4							4												
Caucasian		4							4												
Afr Am		0							0							0					
Hispanic		4							4												
Asian		0							0							0					
Am Indian		0							0							0					
ELL		2							2												
ED		8							8												
SWD		0							0							0					

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; ¹All students tested – not limited to Full Academic Year; Blank = Too few or no students to report

Proficience	cies	for Su	ıbgr	oups	- Al	1 - \	withi	n LE	Α												
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	ATH						SCI	ENC	Ε		
	П	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	П	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	П	7	% a	t Each	Profic	ciency	Level
6th Grade	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
State Current	100	25,026	9	39	36	16	0	100	25,019	5	32	41	22	0							
State Prior	100	24,278	4	35	48	12	0	100	24,276	8	27	55	10	0	100	24,274	2	28	57	12	0
LEA Current	100	10	0	30	60	10	0	100	10	0	30	40	30	0							
LEA Prior	100	11	0	18	64	18	0	100	11	0	9	82	9	0	100	11	0	0	45	55	0
Female		3							3												
Male		7							7												
Caucasian		3							3												
Afr Am		0							0							0					
Hispanic		7							7												
Asian		0							0							0					
Am Indian		0							0							0					
ELL		3							3												
ED	100	10	0	30	60	10	0	100	10	0	30	40	30	0							
SWD		1							1												

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; ¹All students tested – not limited to Full Academic Year; Blank = Too few or no students to report

Proficien	cies	for Su	bgr	oups	- Al	1 - \	withi	n LE	Α												
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	ATH						SCI	ENC	Ε		
	ъ	N	% at	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	P	N	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	Р	z	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level
7th Grade	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
State Current	100	24,366	6	42	36	16	0	100	24,375	5	33	38	24	0	99	24,362	9	31	35	25	1
State Prior	100	24,156	8	42	40	10	0	100	24,159	9	24	50	16	0	100	24,152	3	36	49	10	0
LEA Current		9							9							9					
LEA Prior	100	14	0	36	50	14	0	100	14	0	7	50	43	0	100	14	0	14	64	21	0
Female		1							1							1					
Male		8							8							8					
Caucasian		0							0							0					
Afr Am		0							0							0					
Hispanic		9							9							9					
Asian		0							0							0					
Am Indian		0							0							0					
ELL		4							4							4					
ED		9							9							9					
SWD		2							2							2					

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; ¹All students tested – not limited to Full Academic Year; Blank = Too few or no students to report

Proficien	cies	for Su	ıbgr	oups	5 - Al	1 - \	withi	in LE	Α												
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	ATH						SCI	ENC	Ε		
	П	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	Р	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	Р	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level
8th Grade	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	articipating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	articipating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
State Current	100	24,055	7	47	29	17	0	100	24,058	4	36	43	16	0		1					
State Prior	100	23,689	6	54	30	9	0	100	23,693	8	32	53	7	0	99	23,688	1	28	58	13	1
LEA Current	100	13	15	38	46	0	0	100	13	8	31	54	8	0							
LEA Prior	100	13	0	54	38	8	0	100	13	0	15	85	0	0	100	13	0	0	92	8	0
Female		5							5												
Male		8							8												
Caucasian		4							4												
Afr Am		0							0							0					
Hispanic		9							9												
Asian		0							0							0					
Am Indian		0							0							0					
ELL		1							1												
ED	100	13	15	38	46	0	0	100	13	8	31	54	8	0							
SWD		1							1												

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; ¹All students tested – not limited to Full Academic Year; Blank = Too few or no students to report

Proficien	cies	for Su	ıbgr	oups	wit	hin	LEA														
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	ATH						SCI	ENC	E		
	F	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	F	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	F	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level
11th Grade	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
State Current	99	20,737	10	38	38	14	1	99	20,733	6	32	49	12	1	98	20,726	4	35	42	17	2
State Prior	99	20,199	8	46	34	10	1	99	20,190	10	26	37	25	1	98	20,184	1	32	56	9	2
LEA Current	100	11	18	27	45	9	0	100	11	0	45	45	9	0	100	11	0	18	73	9	0
LEA Prior		9							9							9					
Female		5							5							5					
Male		6							6							6					
Caucasian		2							2							2					
Afr Am		0							0							0					
Hispanic		9							9							9					
Asian		0							0							0					
Am Indian		0							0							0					
ELL		0							0							0					
ED	100	11	18	27	45	9	0	100	11	0	45	45	9	0	100	11	0	18	73	9	0
SWD		1							1							1					

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability; All students tested, not limited to Full Academic Year Blanks = Too few students to report

Graduation - High Sc	hool 4	-Ye	ar Col	ho	rt Grad	dua	ition w	/ith	nin L	EΑ	\											
Group	Ì	<u> </u>	Female		Male		Caucasian		2	>	Hispanic		Asidii	١.	Am Ind		ELL		SWD		ED	}
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATE	26,490	67	12,895	72	13,595	63	7,863	76	616	62	14,394	64	351	84	3,266	60	9,271	61	5,209	66	14,089	61
LEA	17	71	7		10	46	7				10	75					3		4		13	61
Lake Arthur High	17	71	7		10	46	7				10	75					3		4		13	61

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability. Class of 2010; Aall students ever enrolled including part time. Blanks = Too few or no students to report

Graduation - High Sc	hool 5	-Ye	ear Col	ho	rt Grad	dua	ation w	vith	in L	EΑ	\											
Group	Ì	<u> </u>	Female		Male		Caucasian		71 7110	÷ >	Hispanic		Asian	>	Am Ind		ELL		SWD	!	Ð	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATE	27,290	70	13,270	74	14,020	68	8,440	78	744	68	14,137	67	340	85	3,629	64	9,820	68	5,530	68	13,486	65
LEA	24	70	10	87	14	54	8				16	74	·				1		4		22	70
Lake Arthur High	24	70	10	87	14	54	8				16	74					1		4		22	70

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability. Class of 2009; All students ever enrolled including part time. Blanks = Too few or no students to report

Graduation - High School Non-Gra	duates wit	hin LEA						
Group	Certif	icate	Status U	nkown	Exit C	Out	Still En	rolled
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATE	310	<2	5,489	21	1,734	6	1,334	5
LEA								
Lake Arthur High								

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability; Class of 2010; All students ever enrolled including part time; Blank = Too few or no students to report; Certificate: completed course work but did not pass exit exam; Status Unknown: dropped out or whereabouts unknown; Exit Out: exited with intent to get GED or other vocational credential; Still Enrolled: continuing high school enrollment past 4th year

Teacher Quality, Credentials		
	Emergency/Provisional Credentials %	Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers %
STATE	0	0.7
LEA	0	0.0
High Poverty Schools	0	<0.1
Low Poverty Schools	N/A	N/A
Lake Arthur Elementary	0	0.0
Lake Arthur High	0	0.0
Lake Arthur Middle	0	0.0

Source: LEA's 120th day submission to NMPED;

Blank = No data available

Teacher Quality, Level of Educ	cation		
		Highest	Degree
	Number of Teachers	Bachelors	Advanced
	or reachers	%	Degrees %
STATE	22,031	56	42
LEA	22	59	9
Lake Arthur Elementary	11	54	18
Lake Arthur High	13	62	8
Lake Arthur Middle	7	86	0

Source: LEA's 120th day submission to NMPED Teacher totals may differ because of district assignments

Quality of Education Survey

Questions:

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

Q		Total N	Strongly Agree %	Agree %	Disagree %	Strongly Disagree %	Do Not Know %	No Opinion %
1	STATE	90,980	36.5	54.3	3.7	1.3	3.2	1.1
2	STATE	91,126	33.0	52.8	7.6	2.6	3.1	0.9
3	STATE	91,050	36.9	52.2	5.5	1.4	3.1	0.9
4	STATE	91,192	35.9	50.8	7.7	2.0	2.1	1.5
5	STATE	91,185	31.0	50.9	6.0	1.9	9.2	1.1
6	STATE	91,109	31.7	53.0	6.8	2.5	4.7	1.2
7	STATE	91,168	25.3	48.5	12.8	3.7	7.7	2.0
8	STATE	91,172	44.5	46.6	5.2	1.7	1.2	0.9
9	STATE	91,082	32.3	53.3	5.9	1.8	5.4	1.3
10	STATE	91,006	38.5	51.3	6.2	1.4	1.4	1.2
1	LEA	38	39.5	52.6	7.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	LEA	38	44.7	47.4	7.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	LEA	38	44.7	50.0	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	LEA	38	50.0	44.7	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	LEA	38	39.5	50.0	5.3	2.6	2.6	0.0
6	LEA	38	39.5	42.1	13.2	5.3	0.0	0.0
7	LEA	38	34.2	36.8	26.3	0.0	2.6	0.0
8	LEA	38	50.0	39.5	7.9	0.0	2.6	0.0
9	LEA	38	47.4	47.4	2.6	2.6	0.0	0.0
10	LEA	38	36.8	52.6	7.9	0.0	0.0	2.6
1	Lake Arthur Elementary	17	23.5	70.6	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	Lake Arthur Elementary	17	35.3	58.8	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	Lake Arthur Elementary	17	35.3	52.9	11.8	0.0	0.0	0.0

Questions:

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

Q		Total N	Strongly Agree %	Agree %	Disagree %	Strongly Disagree %	Do Not Know %	No Opinion %
4	Lake Arthur Elementary	17	41.2	52.9	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	Lake Arthur Elementary	17	29.4	64.7	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	Lake Arthur Elementary	17	23.5	58.8	5.9	11.8	0.0	0.0
7	Lake Arthur Elementary	17	17.6	47.1	29.4	0.0	5.9	0.0
8	Lake Arthur Elementary	17	35.3	52.9	5.9	0.0	5.9	0.0
9	Lake Arthur Elementary	17	29.4	64.7	0.0	5.9	0.0	0.0
10	Lake Arthur Elementary	17	35.3	58.8	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	Lake Arthur High	13	61.5	30.8	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	Lake Arthur High	13	46.2	53.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	Lake Arthur High	13	53.8	46.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	Lake Arthur High	13	61.5	38.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	Lake Arthur High	13	53.8	46.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	Lake Arthur High	13	53.8	38.5	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	Lake Arthur High	13	46.2	38.5	15.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	Lake Arthur High	13	53.8	38.5	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	Lake Arthur High	13	61.5	38.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	Lake Arthur High	13	46.2	46.2	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	Lake Arthur Middle	8	37.5	50.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	Lake Arthur Middle	8	62.5	12.5	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	Lake Arthur Middle	8	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	Lake Arthur Middle	8	50.0	37.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	Lake Arthur Middle	8	37.5	25.0	12.5	12.5	12.5	0.0
6	Lake Arthur Middle	8	50.0	12.5	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	Lake Arthur Middle	8	50.0	12.5	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	Lake Arthur Middle	8	75.0	12.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	Lake Arthur Middle	8	62.5	25.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	Lake Arthur Middle	8	25.0	50.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	12.5

Source: NMPED online anonymous parent survey

Blanks = No data available

Statewide Results for the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student performance across states and for the nation as a whole. NAEP does not replace the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) that students take every year, which measures student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students in New Mexico are required to take the SBA, while the NAEP selects representative samples of students. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district, much like a political poll does not sample and report by neighborhood. For further information, visit the NAEP web site at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard.

NAEP assessments have been given in subjects such as reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, geography, the arts, and others. Reading and mathematics are assessed every two years at grades 4 and 8. Science is assessed every four years. Assessment year is the most recent available at the time of this report

4th	4th Reading (2009)				Math (20	009)		Science (2009)				
Grade	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)
Nation	7	24	34	34	6	33	43	19	1	32	71	29
New Mexico	4	16	32	48	3	23	46	28	0	24	63	37
ED	1	11	31	57	1	16	46	36	0	15	55	45
ELL	#	3	13	84	#	5	36	60	#	2	22	78
SWD	1	4	12	83	#	9	41	50	#	13	43	57
Am Indian	1	9	24	66	#	13	43	43	#	8	44	56
Asian	12	27	32	29								
Hispanic	1	12	32	55	1	16	48	34	0	15	55	45
Af Amer	1	13	36	50	2	18	48	33	#	16	56	44
Caucasian	9	26	36	30	7	40	42	12	1	48	86	14
8th		Reading	(2009)			Math (2	009)			Science (2009)	
8th Grade	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	(2009) Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)	Advanced (%)	Math (2 Proficient (%)	009) Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)	Advanced (%)	Science (Proficient (%)	2009) Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)
	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ¹	Basic		Proficient	Basic ¹	Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ¹	Basic
Grade	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Basic (%)	(%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Basic (%)	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Basic (%)
Grade	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Basic (%)	(%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Basic (%) 29	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic ¹ (%)	Basic (%)
Nation New Mexico	Advanced (%) 2	Proficient (%) 28 20	Basic¹ (%) 43 44	Basic (%) 26 34	(%) 7 3	Proficient (%) 25 17	Basic¹ (%) 39	Basic (%) 29 41	Advanced (%) 1	Proficient (%) 29 21	Basic¹ (%) 62 55	Basic (%) 38 45
Nation New Mexico ED	Advanced (%) 2 1 1	Proficient (%) 28 20 14	Basic¹ (%) 43 44 43	Basic (%) 26 34 43	(%) 7 3 1	Proficient (%) 25 17 10	Basic¹ (%) 39 39 38	Basic (%) 29 41 50	Advanced (%) 1 1 0	Proficient (%) 29 21 13	Basic¹ (%) 62 55 43	Basic (%) 38 45 57
Nation New Mexico ED ELL	Advanced (%) 2 1 1 #	Proficient (%) 28 20 14 1	Basic¹ (%) 43 44 43 13	Basic (%) 26 34 43 87	(%) 7 3 1 #	Proficient (%) 25 17 10 2	Basic¹ (%) 39 39 38 18	Basic (%) 29 41 50 80	Advanced (%) 1 1 0 #	Proficient (%) 29 21 13	Basic¹ (%) 62 55 43	Basic (%) 38 45 57 87
Nation New Mexico ED ELL SWD	Advanced (%) 2 1 1 #	Proficient (%) 28 20 14 1	Basic¹ (%) 43 44 43 13	Basic (%) 26 34 43 87 75	(%) 7 3 1 #	Proficient (%) 25 17 10 2 5	Basic¹ (%) 39 39 38 18	Basic (%) 29 41 50 80 77	Advanced (%) 1 1 0 #	Proficient (%) 29 21 13 1	Basic¹ (%) 62 55 43 13 23	Basic (%) 38 45 57 87
Nation New Mexico ED ELL SWD Am Indian	Advanced (%) 2 1 1 #	Proficient (%) 28 20 14 1	Basic¹ (%) 43 44 43 13	Basic (%) 26 34 43 87 75	(%) 7 3 1 #	Proficient (%) 25 17 10 2 5	Basic¹ (%) 39 39 38 18	Basic (%) 29 41 50 80 77	Advanced (%) 1 1 0 #	Proficient (%) 29 21 13 1	Basic¹ (%) 62 55 43 13 23	Basic (%) 38 45 57 87
Nation New Mexico ED ELL SWD Am Indian Asian	Advanced (%) 2 1 1 # 1	Proficient (%) 28 20 14 1 4 11	Basic¹ (%) 43 44 43 13 21 38	Basic (%) 26 34 43 87 75 50	(%) 7 3 1 # # 1	Proficient (%) 25 17 10 2 5 9	Basic¹ (%) 39 39 38 18 17 36	Basic (%) 29 41 50 80 77 54	Advanced (%) 1 1 0 # 0 0	Proficient (%) 29 21 13 1 9	Basic¹ (%) 62 55 43 13 23 36	Basic (%) 38 45 57 87 77 64

^{*} Information at http://nationsreportcard.gov/

Basic¹ is most comparable to Proficient on New Mexico's Standards Based Assessment

Blank = Too few or no students to report; # Rounds to zero

	in the National Assessme		_ ` _ `
	Reading (2009) %	Math (2009) %	Science (2009) %
4th Grade ELL	73	96	94
4th Grade SWD**	64	85	86
8th Grade ELL	82	94	91
8th Grade SWD**	64	78	77

^{*} Information at http://nationsreportcard.gov/

^{**} NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities; participation is not mandatory