Printed 11/21/2011

# International School At Mesa Del Sol Charter

# Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Summary International School At Mesa Del Sol Charter AYP Rating Met Improvement Status Progressing Schools rated in the district 1 Schools in Improvement 0 Schools in Corrective Action 0

0

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability

Schools in Restructuring

### What is Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?

Every school and district in the state must meet annual academic proficiency targets in mathematics and reading in order to be considered on track for 100% proficiency by school year 2013-14. AYP is part of state and federal statute. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 2001 says that each state shall establish a timeline for adequate yearly progress. The timeline shall ensure that no later than 12 years after the 2001-2002 school year all students in each group described in the law will meet or exceed the state's proficient level of academic achievement. New Mexico Statute states that "the Department shall measure the performance of every public school in New Mexico," (§ 22-2C-3, D)

AYP determinations result from assessments that are administered at the end of the prior school year. Therefore this report summarizes AYP findings that apply to 2011-2012, but that were derived from the achievement of students in the 2010-2011 school year.

# What do schools have to do in order to meet AYP? Schools need to:

- a) Achieve a 95% participation rate on state assessments
- b) Reach targets for proficiency or reduce non-proficiency
- c) Reach targets for attendance in elementary and middle schools and graduation for high schools.

### Who has to meet AYP?

Subgroups of 25 or more students within each school, district, and the state must meet AYP. The subgroups include Caucasian, African American, Asian/Pacific Islander, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native, Economically Disadvantaged (ED), Students with Disabilities (SWD), and English Language Learners (ELL).

### **Definitions and Abbreviations**

- Am Indian: American Indian or Alaskan Native
- Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander
- Afr Am: African American
- ELL: English language learner; assessments include students who exited ELL status the first and second years

- ED: Free or Reduced Lunch; qualifies the student as Economically Disadvantaged
- SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special education students who are gifted
- High Poverty Schools: Schools with the most students eligible for FRL (top 25%)
- Low Poverty Schools: Schools with the fewest students eligible for FRL (bottom 25%)
- LEA: Local Education Agency which refers to either a district or a charter school

Improvement Status refers to school or district augmentations that are mandated by AYP. Each successive designation carries greater requirements for school or district monitoring, parent choice, and educational enhancement:

- SI-1 = School Improvement 1
- SI-2 = School Improvement 2
- CA = Corrective Action
- R-1 = Restructuring 1
- R-2 = Restructuring 2

The word "delay" in any status means entity made AYP the first of the two years required to return to Progressing.

Student Demographics				
	LE	A	State	
	N	%	N	%
All Students	117	100	334,700	100
Female	61	52	163,202	49
Male	56	48	171,498	51
Caucasian	41	35	88,508	26
Afr Am	21	18	7,765	2
Hispanic	47	40	198,850	59
Asian	5	4	4,463	< 2
Am Indian	2	< 2	34,861	10
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1	< 2	253	< 2
SWD	9	8	47,480	14
ELL	0	0	53,815	16
Recently Arrived *	0	0	40	< 2
ED	39	33	228,186	68
Migrant	0	0	452	< 2

Source: LEA's 120th day submission to the NMPED STARS. \* ELL Students new to the U.S. who qualified for exemption from reading assessment.

Adequate Yearly Progress	(AYP) for Scho	ols within LE	A			
School	AYP Rating	Improvement Status		School	AYP Rating	Improvement Status
LEA	Met	Progressing				

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability

AYP is based on students who have attended a school for a full academic year. Three academic indicators are utilized: 1) mathematics, 2) reading, and 3) either attendance (elementary and middle schools), or graduation (high schools). Results are not reported for subgroups with fewer than 10 students (indicated by a blank).

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for Subgroups within LEA														
	Academic Indicator	Goal %	All Students %	Cauc.	Afr Am %	Hispanic %	Asian	Am Indian %	ED %	ELL %	SWD %			
LEA	Reading Proficiency*	77	79	82		79			73					
LEA	Reading Participation	95	100											
LEA	Math Proficiency*	68	74	73		79			82					
LEA	Math Participation	95	100											
LEA	Attendance Rate	92	96	96	95	95			95		95			

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; Full Academic Year only;

Blank = Too few or no students to report

Expenditures		
	Expenditure (\$)	%
Direct Instruction	518,619	57
Instructional Support	390,255	43
Students	38,694	4
Instruction	0	<1
General Administration	22,650	2
School Administration	188,825	21
Central Services	43,495	5
Operations Maintenance	96,591	11
Student Transportation	0	<1
Other	0	<1
Non-Instructional Support	0	<1
Food Services	0	<1
Community Services	0	<1
Capital Outlay	0	<1
Total	908,874	100

School Board Training	
Board Member	Number of Points*
Adriana Botero	5
Annie Nash	5
Brad Richardson	5
Chris Youngblood	
James Werner	5
Mark Maestas	5

Source: New Mexico School Board Association
\* Board members must accumulate five points during
the year by attending specific training; does not reflect
additional training that board members may have
received.

# State Assessment Results (district results exclude charter schools)

Students are assessed in reading, mathematics and science in grades 3-8 and 11 by the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) and the NM Alternative Proficiency Assessment (NMAPA) for students with significant disabilities. These assessments were developed to measure the NM standards and benchmarks that educators and the public determined are important for our students to master. The assessment results are for all students enrolled and present during testing in March 2011. District summaries do not include students from charter schools, which are reported separately. Results for groups with fewer than 10 students are not reported to meet confidentiality requirements. Percents may not add to 100, due to rounding.

<sup>\* %</sup> of students scoring Proficient or Above;

Source: Projected expenditures reported to NMPED School Budget Office.

Proficien	cies	for Su	bgr	oups	- Al	1 - \	withi	n LE	Α												
			RE#	ADIN	G					M	ΑТН						SCI	ENC	E		
	F	7	% at	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	F	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	F	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level
3rd Grade	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
State Current	100	25,771	6	47	25	22	0	100	25,768	4	48	35	13	0							
State Prior	100	25,674	8	49	27	16	0	100	25,677	10	48	38	4	0	100	25,663	10	73	16	1	0
LEA Current	100	18	6	83	11	0	0	100	18	6	72	17	6	0							
LEA Prior		5							5							5					
Female	100	13	8	85	8	0	0	100	13	8	77	8	8	0							
Male		5							5												
Caucasian		7							7												
Afr Am		4							4												
Hispanic		6							6												
Asian		0							0							0					
Am Indian		1							1												
ELL		0							0							0					
ED		2							2												
SWD		0							0							0					

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; ¹All students tested – not limited to Full Academic Year;

Blank = Too few or no students to report

Proficien	Proficiencies for Subgroups - All¹ - within LEA																				
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	ATH						SCI	ENC	Е		
	Т	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	П	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	П	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level
4th Grade	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
State Current	100	25,808	7	40	40	14	0	100	25,817	9	36	37	19	0	100	25,800	5	42	33	20	0
State Prior	100	25,267	9	43	35	14	0	100	25,278	9	36	47	7	0	100	25,263	2	48	46	4	0
LEA Current	100	13	23	62	8	8	0	100	13	23	62	15	0	0	100	13	8	77	8	8	0
LEA Prior	100	11	0	36	45	18	0	100	11	0	55	45	0	0	100	11	0	55	45	0	0
Female		6							6							6					
Male		7							7							7					
Caucasian		5							5							5					
Afr Am		2							2							2					
Hispanic		6							6							6					
Asian		0							0							0					
Am Indian		0							0							0					
ELL		0							0							0					
ED		4							4							4					
SWD		1							1							1					

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; ¹All students tested – not limited to Full Academic Year;

Blank = Too few or no students to report

Proficien	cies	for Su	ıbgr	oups	- Al	1 - \	withi	in LE	Α												
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	ΑТН						SCI	ENC	E		
	F	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	П	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	Т	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level
5th Grade	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
State Current	100	25,481	9	43	36	12	0	100	25,481	9	33	39	19	0							
State Prior	100	25,322	14	45	33	8	0	100	25,345	11	34	45	9	0	100	25,332	4	48	43	4	0
LEA Current	100	17	18	53	29	0	0	100	17	12	59	24	6	0							
LEA Prior																					
Female		8							8												
Male		9							9												
Caucasian		5							5												
Afr Am		2							2												
Hispanic	100	10	30	50	20	0	0	100	10	10	60	20	10	0							
Asian		0							0							0					
Am Indian		0							0							0					
ELL		0							0							0					
ED		7							7												
SWD		1							1												

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; ¹All students tested – not limited to Full Academic Year;

Blank = Too few or no students to report

Teacher Quality, Credentials		
	Emergency/Provisional Credentials %	Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers %
STATE	0	0.7
LEA	0	0.0

Source: LEA's 120th day submission to NMPED;

Blank = No data available

Teacher Quality, Level o	f Education		
		Highest	Degree
	Number of Teachers	Bachelors	Advanced Degrees
		%	%
STATE	22,031	56	42
LEA	9	78	22

Source: LEA's 120th day submission to NMPED Teacher totals may differ because of district assignments

# **Quality of Education Survey**

### Questions:

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

		Total	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Do Not Know	No Opinion
Q		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
1	STATE	90,980	36.5	54.3	3.7	1.3	3.2	1.1
2	STATE	91,126	33.0	52.8	7.6	2.6	3.1	0.9
3	STATE	91,050	36.9	52.2	5.5	1.4	3.1	0.9
4	STATE	91,192	35.9	50.8	7.7	2.0	2.1	1.5
5	STATE	91,185	31.0	50.9	6.0	1.9	9.2	1.1
6	STATE	91,109	31.7	53.0	6.8	2.5	4.7	1.2
7	STATE	91,168	25.3	48.5	12.8	3.7	7.7	2.0
8	STATE	91,172	44.5	46.6	5.2	1.7	1.2	0.9
9	STATE	91,082	32.3	53.3	5.9	1.8	5.4	1.3
10	STATE	91,006	38.5	51.3	6.2	1.4	1.4	1.2
	LEA							
1	LEA	41	56.1	43.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	LEA	41	70.7	29.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	LEA	41	56.1	43.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

### Questions:

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

Q		Total N	Strongly Agree %	Agree %	Disagree %	Strongly Disagree %	Do Not Know %	No Opinion %
5 LE	EA .	41	31.7	51.2	4.9	0.0	12.2	0.0
6 LE	EA	41	36.6	56.1	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
7 LE	EA .	41	9.8	31.7	39.0	9.8	4.9	4.9
8 LE	EA .	42	35.7	57.1	4.8	0.0	0.0	2.4
9 LE	EA	41	46.3	48.8	2.4	0.0	0.0	2.4
10 LE	-A	41	39.0	51.2	9.8	0.0	0.0	0.0

Source: NMPED online anonymous parent survey

Blanks = No data available

# Statewide Results for the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student performance across states and for the nation as a whole. NAEP does not replace the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) that students take every year, which measures student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students in New Mexico are required to take the SBA, while the NAEP selects representative samples of students. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district, much like a political poll does not sample and report by neighborhood. For further information, visit the NAEP web site at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard.

NAEP assessments have been given in subjects such as reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, geography, the arts, and others. Reading and mathematics are assessed every two years at grades 4 and 8. Science is assessed every four years. Assessment year is the most recent available at the time of this report

4th		Reading (	2009)			Math (20	009)			Science (2	2009)	
Grade	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)
Nation	7	24	34	34	6	33	43	19	1	32	71	29
New Mexico	4	16	32	48	3	23	46	28	0	24	63	37
ED	1	11	31	57	1	16	46	36	0	15	55	45
ELL	#	3	13	84	#	5	36	60	#	2	22	78
SWD	1	4	12	83	#	9	41	50	#	13	43	57
Am Indian	1	9	24	66	#	13	43	43	#	8	44	56
Asian	12	27	32	29								
Hispanic	1	12	32	55	1	16	48	34	0	15	55	45
Af Amer	1	13	36	50	2	18	48	33	#	16	56	44
Caucasian	9	26	36	30	7	40	42	12	1	48	86	14
8th		Reading	(2009)			Math (2	009)		;	Science (	2009)	
Grade	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)
Nation	2	28	43	26	7	25	39	29	1	29	62	38
New Mexico	1	20	44	34	3	17	39	41	1	21	55	45
ED	1	14	43	43	1	10	38	50	0	13	43	57
ELL	#	1	13	87	#	2	18	80	#	1	13	87
SWD	#	1 4	13 21	87 75	#	2 5	18 17	80 77	# 0	1 9	13 23	87 77
SWD	#	4	21	75	#	5	17	77	0	9	23	77
SWD Am Indian	#	4	21	75	#	5	17	77	0	9	23	77
SWD Am Indian Asian	1	4 11	21 38	75 50	1	5 9	17 36	77 54	0	9	23 36	77 64

<sup>\*</sup> Information at http://nationsreportcard.gov/

Basic¹ is most comparable to Proficient on New Mexico's Standards Based Assessment

Blank = Too few or no students to report; # Rounds to zero

	Reading (2009)	Math (2009)	Science (2009)		
	%`	%	<b>%</b> ` ′		
4th Grade ELL	73	96	94		
4th Grade SWD**	64	85	86		
8th Grade ELL	82	94	91		
8th Grade SWD**	64	78	77		

<sup>\*</sup> Information at http://nationsreportcard.gov/

<sup>\*\*</sup> NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities; participation is not mandatory