

NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION DEPARTMENT School District Report Card for School Year 2011-2012

Lake Arthur Municipal Schools

School Grading Summa	ary	
District Grade (
	Total Number	Percent
Schools Rated in District	3	100.0
Schools in Priority Status	0	0.0
Schools in Focus Status	0	0.0
Schools in Strategic Status	0	0.0
Schools in Reward Status	0	0.0
Source: P	ED Assessment and Acco	ountability Division

What are School Grades?

School Grading is part of a state and federal statute that mandates accountability for all public schools. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) enacted in 1965, which was reauthorized in 2001 as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires schools to show annual improvement in mathematics and reading. In 2011, New Mexico lawmakers enacted additional requirements that schools demonstrate progress through a grading system similar to that applied to students, issuing an A through F letter grade to each school [22-2-1, 22-2-2, and 22-2E-1 to 22-2E-4] [6.19.8.1 NMAC–N, 12-15-11].

Which schools are graded?

Schools and districts under the jurisdiction of the Public Education Department (PED) must participate in school grading, including:

- •School districts; New Mexico has 89 districts.
- District schools; New Mexico has more than 800 district and locally-authorized charter schools
- Charter Schools, in 2012 New Mexico had 40 state-authorized charter schools

Non-PED schools are exempt from school grading, including private, home, and Bureau of Indian Education schools.

What does this District Report Card tell me?

This report provides a concise summary of the district and its schools. Specifically:

Student Demographics
District Summary
Accountability Indicators by Subgroup
Assessment Summaries for Grades 3-8 and 11
School Board Memeber Training
Teacher Quality
Parent Survey on Quality of Education
NAEP Statewide Summary for Grades 4 and 8

Definitions and Abbreviations

LEA: Local Educational Authority is a broad term that encompasses districts with multiple schools and independent state-authorized charter schools. Locally-authorized charter schools are reported with their parent district.

Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander Afr Am: African American ELL: English Language Learners

ED: Economically Disadvantaged as determined by eligibility for Free or Reduced Lunch; sometimes noted as "FRL"

SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special education students who are gifted

Recent Arrival: ELL students new to the US who qualified for exemption from the reading assessment

High Poverty Schools: Schools with students most ED (top 25%) Low Poverty Schools: Schools with students least ED (bottom 25%)

SGTs: School Growth Targets, like predecessor AMOs, increase annually for monitoring subgroup performance and growth. Tables reflect whether the percent of students for the school meet the current year's target percentages.

Status refers to schools that are in some form of improvement that requires increased monitoring and educational enhancement. The three improvement statuses are

Priority Status (5% of schools)

Focus Status (additional 10% of schools)

Strategic Status (additional 10% of schools)

Reward schools are recognized as the top 5% in the state. To learn more about status consult the Technical Guide at:

http://webapp2.ped.state.nm.us/SchoolData/docs/1112/SchoolGrading

Student Demogra	phics			
	LEA		State	
	Number	%	Number	%
All Students	132	100	330,804	100
Female	66	50	161,546	48
Male	66	50	169,258	51
Caucasian	36	27	87,066	26
African-American	1	0	7,523	2
Hispanic	95	71	197,083	59
Asian	0	0	4,431	1
American Indian	0	0	34,369	10
ED	132	100	227,443	68
SWD	29	21	44,652	13
ELL	23	17	54,715	16
Migrant	4	3	437	0
Recently Arrived	0	0	450	0
	Source	ce: LEA 120	th day submission to th	ne PED

Letter Grades for Schools wi	thin the LEA		
School	Overall Grade	School	Overall Grade
Lake Arthur Elementary	С	Lake Arthur High	С
Lake Arthur Middle	С		

SGTs by Subgroup

Customized targets called School Growth Targets (SGTs) guide a school's path toward proficiency. These goals increase every year and challenge schools to identify student groups that might be struggling to keep up with their peers. Students who are not proficient but have made large enough gains to become proficient in the next 3 years are considered "on track" to proficiency and are included in the school's percentages. Percentages are available at: http://www.ped.state.nm.us/AssessmentAccountability/AcademicGrowth/NMSBA.html.

School	Indicator	SGT %	All Students	Cauc	Afr Amer	Hisp	Asian	Amer Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
Lake Arthur Elementary	Math	45.0	No	No	<>	No	<>	<>	No	<>	<>
	Reading	52.3	Yes	Yes	<>	Yes	<>	<>	Yes	<>	<>
Lake Arthur High	Math	45.0	No	<>	<>	No	<>	<>	No	<>	<>
	Reading	52.3	No	<>	<>	No	<>	<>	No	<>	<>
	Graduation	69.9	No	<>	<>	No	<>	<>	No	<>	<>
Lake Arthur Middle	Math	45.0	Yes	<>	<>	No	<>	<>	Yes	<>	<>
	Reading	52.3	No	<>	<>	No	<>	<>	No	<>	<>
	<> Fewer t	han 10	students								

Proficiencies, Summary by Grade

Students are assessed in reading and mathematics in grades 3-8 and 10-11, and in science in grades 4, 8, and 11. The assessments, the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) and the NM Alternative Performance Assessment (NMAPA, for students with significant cognitive disabilities), were developed to measure grade-level standards that NM educators and the public determined are important for our students to master. Results include all students enrolled, regardless of full academic year (FAY) status. Groups with fewer than 10 students are not reported to meet confidentiality requirements. Percentages include tests that were not scorable due to an invalidation, so totals may not sum to 100%.

			Rea	ding				Mat	hem	natic	s			S	cien	се		
	Partici	pation	Pro	ficiency	/ Leve	(%)	Particip	ation	Pro	ficienc	y Level	(%)	Partio	ipation	Pro	oficien	y Leve	l (%)
3rd Grade					Level	Level			Level	Level	Level	Level			Level	Level	Level	Level
	N	%	4	3	2	1	N	%	4	3	2	1	N	%	4	3	2	1
State Current	25,176	99.7	5	47	25	22	25,173	99.7	4	49	34	13						
State Prior	25,771	99.7	6	47	25	22	25,768	99.8	4	48	35	13						
LEA Current	11	100	<2	36	55	9	11	100	<2	64	36	<2						
LEA Prior	7						7											
Female	6						6											
Male	5						5											
Caucasian	3						3											
Hispanic	8						8											
ED	11	100	<2	36	55	9	11	100	<2	64	36	<2						
SWD	2						2											
ELL	3						3											

Percentages account for invalid tests and may not sum to 100% Level 4=Advanced, Level 3=Proficient, Level 2=Nearing Proficient, Level 1= Beginning Step Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

^{*} Science is assessed only in grades 4, 7, and 11

			Read	ling				Ma	then	natic	s			Sc	cienc	се		
411 0 1-	Partic	ipation	Profic	ciency	Level	l (%)	Particip	ation	Prof	icienc	y Leve	l (%)	Participa	ation	Profi	ciency	Level	(%)
4th Grade	N	%	Level I	_evel I 3	Level 2	Level 1	N	%	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	N	% %	_evel I 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
State Current	25,289	99.8	8	41	37	13	25,285	99.7	10	34	37	19	25,515		7	41	33	19
State Prior	25,808	99.8	7	40	40	14	25,817	99.7	9	36	37	19	25,800	99.7	5	42	33	20
LEA Current	6						6						6					
LEA Prior	10	100	20	40	30	10	10	100	10	50	30	10	10	100	<2	60	20	20
Female	3						3						3					
Male	3						3						3					
Caucasian	4						4						4					
Hispanic	2						2						2					
Lake Arthur Municipal Schools								Pag	e 2			Sc	chool Dist	trict Re	eport C	Card 2	011-20	012

		Read	ding				Ma	then	natic	s			S	cien	се		
441.0	Participation	Profi	ciency	Leve	l (%)	Partici	pation	Prof	icienc	y Leve	l (%)	Partic	ipation	Pro	ficienc	y Leve	el (%)
4th Grade	N %	Level 4		Level 2	Level 1	N	%		Level 3		Level 1	N	%		Level 3	Level	Level 1
ED	6	7	J		•	6	70	7	0		•	IN	6	7	3		•
SWD	2					2							2				
ELL	1					1							1				

Percentages account for invalid tests and may not sum to 100% Level 4=Advanced, Level 3=Proficient, Level 2=Nearing Proficient, Level 1= Beginning Step Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

			Read	ding				Mat	hem	atic	s			S	cien	ice		
	Partic	ipation	Profi	ciency	Level	(%)	Particip	oation	Profi	ciency	Leve	l (%)	Partic	ipation	Pro	ficienc	y Leve	el (%)
5th Grade	N	%	Level I	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	N	%	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	N	%	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
State Current	25,327	99.7	9	46	32	13	25,320	99.7	11	33	37	19						
State Prior	25,481	99.8	9	43	36	12	25,481	99.8	9	33	39	19						
LEA Current	10	100	10	60	20	10	10	100	10	70	<2	20						
LEA Prior	8						8											
Female	5						5											
Male	5						5											
Caucasian	3						3											
Afr Amer	1						1											
Hispanic	6						6											
ED	10	100	10	60	20	10	10	100	10	70	<2	20						
SWD	2						2											
ELL	3						3											

Percentages account for invalid tests and may not sum to 100% Level 4=Advanced, Level 3=Proficient, Level 2=Nearing Proficient, Level 1= Beginning Step Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

^{*} Science is assessed only in grades 4, 7, and 11

Diamo marcate too lett stadents to		,											8					
			Read	ding				Mat	hem	atic	s			S	cien	ice		
	Partic	ipation	Profi	ciency	Leve	l (%)	Particip	ation	Prof	iciency	/ Leve	el (%)	Partic	ipation	Pro	ficienc	y Leve	el (%)
6th Grade			Level I	Level	Level	Level			Level	Level	Level	Level			Level	Level	Level	Level
	N	%	4	3	2	1	N	%	4	3	2	1	N	%	4	3	2	1
State Current	24,724	99.8	8	40	36	15	24,730	99.8	5	32	41	22						
State Prior	25,026	99.7	9	39	36	16	25,019	99.7	5	32	41	22						
LEA Current	7						7											
LEA Prior	10	100	<2	30	60	10	10	100	<2	30	40	30						
Female	4						4											
Male	3						3											
Caucasian	3						3											
Hispanic	4						4											
ED	7						7											
SWD	1						1											
ELL	2						2											

Percentages account for invalid tests and may not sum to 100% Level 4=Advanced, Level 3=Proficient, Level 2=Nearing Proficient, Level 1= Beginning Step Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

^{*} Science is assessed only in grades 4, 7, and 11

			Read	ding				Mat	hem	natic	s			So	ciend	ce		
	Partic	ipation	Profi	ciency	Leve	l (%)	Particip	ation	Prof	iciency	/ Leve	ıl (%)	Participa	ation	Profi	ciency	Leve	l (%)
7th Grade	N	%	Level I	_evel 3	Level 2	Level 1	N	%	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	N	%	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
State Current	24,520	99.7	6	44	34	15	24,522	99.6	5	37	39	19	24,666		9	29	38	25
State Prior	24,366	99.7	6	42	36	16	24,375	99.6	5	33	38	24	24,362	99.3	9	31	35	25
LEA Current	10	100	10	20	40	30	10	100	<2	50	20	30	11		18	18	18	45
LEA Prior	9						9						9					
Female	5						5						5					
Male	5						5						6					
Caucasian	5						5						5					
Hispanic	5						5						6					
ED	10	100	10	20	40	30	10	100	<2	50	20	30	11		18	18	18	45
SWD	1						1						1					
ELL	3						3						3					

Percentages account for invalid tests and may not sum to 100% Level 4=Advanced, Level 3=Proficient, Level 2=Nearing Proficient, Level 1= Beginning Step Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

			Read	ding				Mat	then	natic	s			S	cier	ice		
	Partic	ipation	Profi	ciency	Leve	l (%)	Particip	ation	Prof	iciency	/ Leve	el (%)	Partic	ipation	Pro	ficienc	y Leve	el (%)
8th Grade			Level I			Level				Level		Level				Level		Level
	N	%	4	3	2	1	N	%	4	3	2	1	N	%	4	3	2	1
State Current	23,724	99.7	7	48	29	17	23,722	99.6	6	36	42	16						
State Prior	24,055	99.7	7	47	29	17	24,058	99.6	4	36	43	16		1				
LEA Current	5						5											
LEA Prior	13	100	15	38	46	<2	13	100	8	31	54	8						
Male	5						5											
Hispanic	5						5											
ED	5						5											
SWD	2						2											
ELL	2						2											

Percentages account for invalid tests and may not sum to 100% Level 4=Advanced, Level 3=Proficient, Level 2=Nearing Proficient, Level 1= Beginning Step Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

^{*} Science is assessed only in grades 4, 7, and 11

			Rea	ding				Mat	hen	natic	s			Sc	iend	се		
	Partici	pation	Pro	ficienc	y Leve	l (%)	Particip	ation	Pro	ficienc	y Leve	(%)	Participa	ation	Prof	iciency	Level	(%)
11th Grade		.,		Level	Level	Level			Level	Level	Level	Level						Level
	N	%	4	3	2	1	N	%	4	3	2	1	N	%	4	3	2	1
State Current	22,943	98.6	11	34	38	15	22,931	98.5	7	32	47	13	22,258		3	35	42	19
State Prior	20,737	99.1	10	38	38	14	20,733	99	6	32	49	12	20,726	98.4	4	35	42	17
LEA Current	12	100	8	17	58	17	12	100	<2	25	58	17	12		<2	17	50	33
LEA Prior	11	100	18	27	45	9	11	100	<2	45	45	9	11	100	<2	18	73	9
Female	7						7						7					
Male	5						5						5					
Caucasian	2						2						2					
Hispanic	10	100	10	20	60	10	10	100	<2	30	60	10	10		<2	20	50	30
ED	12	100	8	17	58	17	12	100	<2	25	58	17	12		<2	17	50	33
SWD	3						3						3					
ELL	1						1						1					

Percentages account for invalid tests and may not sum to 100% Level 4=Advanced, Level 3=Proficient, Level 2=Nearing Proficient, Level 1= Beginning Step Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

Budgeted Expenditures

Locally-authorized charter schools manage their budgets independently of their parent district. For detailed information please contact either the individual schools or the PED Budget and Finance Office for the budget analyst assigned to that school. These figures represent the district summary for non-charter schools.

	Amount	Percent
	\$	%
Total Expenditures	\$2,385,457	100
Capital Outlay	\$0	0
Community Services	\$0	0
Food Services	\$22,144	2
Non-Instructional Support	\$22,144	2
Other	\$6,274	<1
Student Transportation	\$27,584	<1
Operations & Maintenance	\$294,579	12
Central Services	\$102,296	4
School Administration	\$126,485	6
General Administration	\$160,541	7
Instruction	\$87,255	4
Students	\$261,503	11
Instructional Support Services	\$1,066,517	45
Direct Instruction	\$1,296,796	53
	Source: The PED School E	Budget and Financial Analysis Bureau

School Board Training

School board members must accumulate five points during the year by attending specific training. These figures do not reflect additional training that board members may have received.

Board Membe	Number of Points
Edward Rubio	4.00
Gene Bassett	8.00
Irma Guillen	10.00
John Jackson	4.00
Kenneth Hart	0.00
	Source: NM School Board Association

Graduation - 4 Year Cohort of 2011

Graduation accountability is lagged by one year to allow seniors the summer of their final year to complete all requirements. These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2011. The cohort includes all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students. Blanks indicate too few students to report.

	All				Afr			Amer			
	Students	Female	Male	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Statewide	68.7	72.9	64.8	77.4	63.0	66.2	79.3	60.4	62.7	54.0	63.0
Districtwide	64.9		56.4			68.1			67.0		
Lake Arthur High	64.9		56.4			68.1			67.0		
						Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau					

Graduation - 5 Year Cohort of 2010

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2010 and either graduated on time, or required one additional year. The cohort includes all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students. Blanks indicate too few students to report.

	All				Afr			Amer			
	Students	Female	Male	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Statewide	66.5	71.4	61.8	74.6	59.3	63.4	83.4	60.8	61.7	62.3	61.2
Districtwide	65.2		46.4			77.5			63.5		
Lake Arthur High	65.2		46.4			77.5			63.5		
				Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau				Bureau			

Graduation - 4 Year Cohort of 2011, Status of Non Graduates

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2011 but did not graduate. The cohort includes all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students. Blanks indicate too few students to report.

	U	,	, 01			•
			Certificate	Status Unknown	Exit Out	Still Enrolled
			Completed coursework but did not pass exit exam %	Dropped out or whereabouts unknown %	Exit with intent to get GED or vocational credential %	Continuing high school enrollment past 4th year %
Lake Arthur High						
Districtwide						
					Source: PED Data	Planning and Analysis Bureau

Teacher Credentials				
			Statewid	e LEA
			%	%
Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials			.0	9.0
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	High Poverty	y Schools	1.6	9.1
Core classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Low Poverty	y Schools	1.4	NA
NA= Not applicable; LEA did not have schools that qualified as	high or low pover	ty		
	Number of	Highest	Degree"	ore Classes Not aught by Highly
	Teachers	Bachelor's	Advanced Qu	ualified Teachers
Lake Arthur Elementary	13	53.8%	38.5%	0.0%
Lake Arthur High	13	53.8%	30.8%	4.5%
Lake Arthur Middle	11	54.5%	27.3%	20.0%
* Does not include Below Bachelors				
Blank=no data available, or not applicable		Sou	urce: LEA 120th day	submission to PED

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

- Q1 My child is safe at school.
- Q2 My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3 My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4 School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5 The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6 School staff maintain consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7 My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8 My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9 The school staff employ various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10 My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

Z=0, 0 12	.,							
			Strongly	Do Not	No			
	Survey	Question	Agree	Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Know	Opinion
Lake Arthur Municipal Schools			ı	Page 5		School Distri	ct Report Ca	ard 2011-2012

	Count	Number	%	%	%	%	%	%
Districtwide	39	1	17.9	74.4	5.1	0.0	0.0	2.6
Districtwide	39	2	33.3	59.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Districtwide	39	3	33.3	59.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Districtwide	39	4	46.2	48.7	2.6	2.6	0.0	0.0
Districtwide	39	5	35.9	53.8	2.6	2.6	5.1	0.0
Districtwide	39	6	30.8	59.0	5.1	2.6	2.6	0.0
Districtwide	39	7	28.2	61.5	7.7	0.0	0.0	2.6
Districtwide	39	8	41.0	53.8	2.6	2.6	0.0	0.0
Districtwide	39	9	35.9	56.4	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Districtwide	39	10	30.8	56.4	7.7	2.6	0.0	2.6
Lake Arthur Elementary	18	1	27.8	72.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur Elementary	18	2	50.0	44.4	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur Elementary	18	3	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur Elementary	18	4	61.1	38.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur Elementary	18	5	55.6	44.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur Elementary	18	6	50.0	44.4	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0
Lake Arthur Elementary	18	7	44.4	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6
Lake Arthur Elementary	18	8	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur Elementary	18	9	44.4	55.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur Elementary	18	10	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur High	10	1	10.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	10.0
Lake Arthur High	10	2	20.0	70.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur High	10	3	20.0	70.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ake Arthur High	10	4	40.0	40.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur High	10	5	30.0	60.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur High	10	6	10.0	70.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur High	10	7	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur High	10	8	30.0	50.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur High	10	9	10.0	70.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur High	10	10	10.0	50.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	10.0
Lake Arthur Middle	11	1	9.1	90.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur Middle	11	2	18.2	72.7	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur Middle	11	3	18.2	63.6	18.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur Middle	11	4	27.3	72.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur Middle	11	5	9.1	63.6	9.1	0.0	18.2	0.0
Lake Arthur Middle	11	6	18.2	72.7	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur Middle	11	7	9.1	81.8	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur Middle	11	8	36.4	63.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur Middle	11	9	45.5	45.5	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lake Arthur Middle	11	10	18.2	72.7	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0
				Source: PED	anonymous	urvey collecte	d from narent	c annually

National Assessment of Educational Progress Statewide Results

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student achievement across states and for the nation as a whole. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district or by school. For further information please visit http://NCES.ED.Gov/NationsReportCard.

NAEP does not replace the New Mexico Standards Based Assessment (SBA) which annually measures student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students are required to take the SBA, whereas the NAEP selects representative samples of students and districts. Because not all subject areas or grade levels are tested every year, these statewide results are for the most recent year assessed in that subject area and grade.

4th		Reading	(2011)		Math (2011)				Science (2009)			
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %
Caucasian	8.0	27.0	38.0	28.0	8.0	40.0	41.0	11.0	1.0	47.0	38.0	14.0
Afr Amer	2.0	15.0	35.0	47.0	3.0	16.0	49.0	32.0	#	16.0	40.0	44.0
Hispanic	1.0	13.0	31.0	54.0	2.0	21.0	48.0	29.0	#	15.0	40.0	45.0
Asian	11.0	28.0	29.0	31.0	19.0	45.0	26.0	11.0	***	***	***	***
Amer Indian	2.0	10.0	25.0	64.0	2.0	14.0	43.0	42.0	#	8.0	37.0	56.0
SWD	1.0	5.0	16.0	78.0	1.0	10.0	33.0	56.0	#	11.0	30.0	59.0
ELL	#	2.0	12.0	86.0	#	5.0	40.0	56.0	#	2.0	20.0	78.0
ED	2.0	12.0	31.0	56.0	2.0	19.0	48.0	31.0	#	15.0	39.0	45.0
New Mexico	3.0	17.0	33.0	47.0	4.0	26.0	45.0	25.0	#	24.0	39.0	37.0
Nation	7.0	25.0	34.0	34.0	6.0	33.0	42.0	18.0	1	32.0	39.0	29.0

8th		Reading	(2011)		Math (2011)			Science (2011)				
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %
Caucasian	2.0	33.0	47.0	17.0	8.0	33.0	41.0	19.0	2.0	38.0	37.0	23.0
Afr Amer	#	14.0	47.0	39.0	2.0	14.0	35.0	49.0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	1.0	16.0	47.0	37.0	2.0	16.0	41.0	41.0	#	15.0	35.0	50.0
Asian	10.0	29.0	40.0	21.0	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Amer Indian	1.0	15.0	36.0	48.0	1.0	6.0	37.0	56.0	#	9.0	32.0	59.0
SWD	#	4.0	24.0	72.0	1.0	5.0	23.0	72.0	#	6.0	20.0	73.0
ELL	#	1.0	21.0	78.0	#	2.0	24.0	75.0	#	2.0	11.0	87.0
ED	#	15.0	45.0	39.0	1.0	14.0	41.0	44.0	#	14.0	33.0	52.0
New Mexico	1.0	21.0	46.0	32.0	4.0	20.0	40.0	36.0	1.0	22.0	35.0	43.0
Nation	3.0	29.0	43.0	25.0	8.0	26.0	39.0	28.0	2.0	29.0	34.0	36.0

^{*} Basic is most comparable to Proficient on New Mexico's Standards Based Assessment

[#] Rounds to zero
Blank=Too few students to report

Statewide Participation										
	Reading %	Math %	Science %							
4th Grade ELL	82.0	93.0	94.0 (2009)							
4th Grade SWD*	72.0	84.0	86.0 (2009)							
8th Grade ELL	80.0	94.0	93.0 (2011)							
8th Grade SWD*	66.0	86.0	87.0 (2011)							
* NAEP does not accom	modate students with se	vere disabilities; participation	in NAEP is not mandatory							