

NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION DEPARTMENT School District Report Card for School Year 2011-2012

Mosquero Municipal Schools

School Grading Summa	ary	
District Grade (
	Total Number	Percent
Schools Rated in District	2	100.0
Schools in Priority Status	0	0.0
Schools in Focus Status	0	0.0
Schools in Strategic Status	0	0.0
Schools in Reward Status	0	0.0
Source: P	ED Assessment and Acco	ountability Division

What are School Grades?

School Grading is part of a state and federal statute that mandates accountability for all public schools. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) enacted in 1965, which was reauthorized in 2001 as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires schools to show annual improvement in mathematics and reading. In 2011, New Mexico lawmakers enacted additional requirements that schools demonstrate progress through a grading system similar to that applied to students, issuing an A through F letter grade to each school [22-2-1, 22-2-2, and 22-2E-1 to 22-2E-4] [6.19.8.1 NMAC–N, 12-15-11].

Which schools are graded?

Schools and districts under the jurisdiction of the Public Education Department (PED) must participate in school grading, including:

- •School districts; New Mexico has 89 districts.
- District schools; New Mexico has more than 800 district and locally-authorized charter schools
- Charter Schools, in 2012 New Mexico had 40 state-authorized charter schools

Non-PED schools are exempt from school grading, including private, home, and Bureau of Indian Education schools.

What does this District Report Card tell me?

This report provides a concise summary of the district and its schools. Specifically:

Student Demographics
District Summary
Accountability Indicators by Subgroup
Assessment Summaries for Grades 3-8 and 11
School Board Memeber Training
Teacher Quality
Parent Survey on Quality of Education
NAEP Statewide Summary for Grades 4 and 8

Definitions and Abbreviations

LEA: Local Educational Authority is a broad term that encompasses districts with multiple schools and independent state-authorized charter schools. Locally-authorized charter schools are reported with their parent district.

Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander Afr Am: African American ELL: English Language Learners

ED: Economically Disadvantaged as determined by eligibility for Free or Reduced Lunch; sometimes noted as "FRL"

SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special education students who are gifted

Recent Arrival: ELL students new to the US who qualified for exemption from the reading assessment

High Poverty Schools: Schools with students most ED (top 25%) Low Poverty Schools: Schools with students least ED (bottom 25%)

SGTs: School Growth Targets, like predecessor AMOs, increase annually for monitoring subgroup performance and growth. Tables reflect whether the percent of students for the school meet the current year's target percentages.

Status refers to schools that are in some form of improvement that requires increased monitoring and educational enhancement. The three improvement statuses are

Priority Status (5% of schools)

Focus Status (additional 10% of schools)

Strategic Status (additional 10% of schools)

Reward schools are recognized as the top 5% in the state. To learn more about status consult the Technical Guide at:

http://webapp2.ped.state.nm.us/SchoolData/docs/1112/SchoolGrading

Student Demogra	phics			
	LEA		State	
	Number	%	Number	%
All Students	50	100	330,804	100
Female	27	54	161,546	48
Male	23	46	169,258	51
Caucasian	28	56	87,066	26
African-American	0	0	7,523	2
Hispanic	22	44	197,083	59
Asian	0	0	4,431	1
American Indian	0	0	34,369	10
ED	32	64	227,443	68
SWD	7	14	44,652	13
ELL	0	0	54,715	16
Migrant	0	0	437	0
Recently Arrived	0	0	450	0
	Sourc	e: LEA 120	l th day submission to th	ne PED

Letter Grades for Schools w	ithin the LEA		
School	Overall Grade	School	Overall Grade
Mosquero Elementary	D	Mosquero High	В

SGTs by Subgroup

Customized targets called School Growth Targets (SGTs) guide a school's path toward proficiency. These goals increase every year and challenge schools to identify student groups that might be struggling to keep up with their peers. Students who are not proficient but have made large enough gains to become proficient in the next 3 years are considered "on track" to proficiency and are included in the school's percentages. Percentages are available at: http://www.ped.state.nm.us/AssessmentAccountability/AcademicGrowth/NMSBA.html.

School	Indicator	SGT %	All Students	Cauc	Afr Amer	Hisp	Asian	Amer Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
Mosquero Elementary	Math	45.0	No	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>
	Reading	52.3	No	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>
Mosquero High	Math	45.0	Yes	<>	<>	No	<>	<>	No	<>	<>
	Reading	52.3	Yes	<>	<>	No	<>	<>	No	<>	<>
	Graduation	69.9	Yes	<>							
	<> Fewer t	han 10	students								

Proficiencies, Summary by Grade

Students are assessed in reading and mathematics in grades 3-8 and 10-11, and in science in grades 4, 8, and 11. The assessments, the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) and the NM Alternative Performance Assessment (NMAPA, for students with significant cognitive disabilities), were developed to measure grade-level standards that NM educators and the public determined are important for our students to master. Results include all students enrolled, regardless of full academic year (FAY) status. Groups with fewer than 10 students are not reported to meet confidentiality requirements. Percentages include tests that were not scorable due to an invalidation, so totals may not sum to 100%.

			Rea	ding				Ma	then	natio	s			5	Scier	се		
	Partici	pation	Pro	ficienc	, Level	(%)	Particip	ation	Pro	oficiend	y Leve	(%)	Partio	ipation	Pr	oficien	cy Level	l (%)
3rd Grade			Level	Level	Level	Level			Level	Level	Level	Level			Level	Level		Level
	N	%	4	3	2	1	N	%	4	3	2	1	N	%	4	3	2	1
State Current	25,176	99.7	5	47	25	22	25,173	99.7	4	49	34	13						
State Prior	25,771	99.7	6	47	25	22	25,768	99.8	4	48	35	13						
LEA Current	3						3											
LEA Prior	4						4											
Female	2						2											
Male	1						1											
Caucasian	1						1											
Hispanic	2						2											
ED	3						3											
SWD	1						1											

Percentages account for invalid tests and may not sum to 100% Level 4=Advanced, Level 3=Proficient, Level 2=Nearing Proficient, Level 1= Beginning Step Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

^{*} Science is assessed only in grades 4, 7, and 11

			Read	ding				Mat	hem	atic	s			Sc	ien	се		
4th Grade	Partic	ipation	Profi Level	•	Level	` ′	Particip		Profi Level		/ Leve Level	` '	Particip				/ Level	` '
	N	%	4	3	2	1	N	%	4	3	2	1	N	%	4	3	2	1
State Current	25,289	99.8	8	41	37	13	25,285	99.7	10	34	37	19	25,515		7	41	33	19
State Prior	25,808	99.8	7	40	40	14	25,817	99.7	9	36	37	19	25,800	99.7	5	42	33	20
LEA Current	3						3						3					
LEA Prior	1						1						1					
Female	1						1						1					
Male	2						2						2					
Caucasian	2						2						2					
Hispanic	1						1						1					
ED	1						1						1					
SWD	1						1						1					

Percentages account for invalid tests and may not sum to 100%

Level 4=Advanced, Level 3=Proficient, Level 2=Nearing Proficient, Level 1= Beginning Step

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

			Rea	ding				Mat	hen	natic	s			S	cien	се		
	Partic	ipation	Prof	iciency	/ Leve	el (%)	Particip	ation	Prof	ficienc	/ Leve	el (%)	Partic	ipation	Pro	ficienc	y Leve	el (%)
5th Grade		۰,				Level			Level	Level		Level		٠,	Level			Level
	N	%	4	3	2	1	N	%	4	3	2	1	N	%	4	3	2	1
State Current	25,327	99.7	9	46	32	13	25,320	99.7	11	33	37	19						
State Prior	25,481	99.8	9	43	36	12	25,481	99.8	9	33	39	19						
LEA Current	1						1											
LEA Prior	2						2											
Male	1						1											
Caucasian	1						1											

Percentages account for invalid tests and may not sum to 100% Level 4=Advanced, Level 3=Proficient, Level 2=Nearing Proficient, Level 1= Beginning Step Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

^{*} Science is assessed only in grades 4, 7, and 11

			Rea	ding				Ma	then	natic	s			5	Scien	се		
	Partic	ipation	Prof	iciency	Leve	l (%)	Particip	ation	Prof	icienc	y Leve	el (%)	Partic	ipatior	Pro	ficienc	y Leve	। (%)
6th Grade	N	%	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	N	%	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level	N	%	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level
State Current	24,724	99.8	. 8	-	36	15		99.8		-	41	22	.,	70	•		_	
State Prior	25,026	99.7	9	39	36	16	25,019	99.7	5	32	41	22						
LEA Current	3						3											
LEA Prior	4						4											
Female	1						1											
Male	2						2											
Caucasian	1						1											
Hispanic	2						2											
ED	3						3											

Percentages account for invalid tests and may not sum to 100% Level 4=Advanced, Level 3=Proficient, Level 2=Nearing Proficient, Level 1= Beginning Step Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

^{*} Science is assessed only in grades 4, 7, and 11

			Rea	ding				Mat	:hem	atic	s			S	cien	се		
	Partic	ipation	Prof	ficiency	/ Leve	l (%)	Particip	ation	Profi	cienc	y Leve	el (%)	Particip	ation	Prof	iciency	/ Leve	el (%)
7th Grade			Level	Level	Level	Level			Level	Level	Level	Level			Level	Level	Level	Level
	N	%	4	3	2	1	N	%	4	3	2	1	N	%	4	3	2	1
State Current	24,520	99.7	6	3 44	34	15	24,522	99.6	5	37	39	19	24,666		9	29	38	25
State Prior	24,366	99.7	6	42	36	16	24,375	99.6	5	33	38	24	24,362	99.3	9	31	35	25
LEA Current	3						3						4					
LEA Prior	6						6						6					
Female	3						3						4					
Caucasian	1						1						2					
Hispanic	2						2						2					

Percentages account for invalid tests and may not sum to 100% Level 4=Advanced, Level 3=Proficient, Level 2=Nearing Proficient, Level 1= Beginning Step Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

			Rea	ding				Mat	them	atic	s			S	cier	ice		
	Partic	ipation	Profi	iciency	Leve	l (%)	Particip	oation	Prof	iciency	/ Leve	ıl (%)	Partio	cipation	Pro	ficienc	y Leve	el (%)
8th Grade	N	%	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	N	%	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	N	%	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
State Current	23,724	99.7	7	-	29	17	23,722			-	42	16	•••	70	•		-	
State Prior	24,055	99.7	7	47	29	17	24,058	99.6	4	36	43	16		1				
LEA Current	7						7											
LEA Prior	4						4											
Female	3						3											
Male	4						4											
Caucasian	3						3											
Hispanic	4						4											
ED	6						6											

Percentages account for invalid tests and may not sum to 100%

Level 4=Advanced, Level 3=Proficient, Level 2=Nearing Proficient, Level 1= Beginning Step

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

* Science is assessed only in grades 4, 7, and 11

	Reading	Mathematics	Science
11th Grade	Participation Proficiency Level (%)	Participation Proficiency Level (%) Level Level Level Level	Participation Proficiency Level (%)
Mosquero Municipal Schools	Level Level Level Level		chool District Report Card 2011-2012

	N	%	4	3	2	1	N	%	4	3	2	1	N	%	4	3	2	1
State Current	22,943	98.6	11	34	38	15	22,931	98.5	7	32	47	13	22,258		3	35	42	19
State Prior	20,737	99.1	10	38	38	14	20,733	99	6	32	49	12	20,726	98.4	4	35	42	17
LEA Current	1						1						1					
LEA Prior	4						4						4					
Female	1						1						1					
Hispanic	1						1						1					
ED	1						1						1					

Percentages account for invalid tests and may not sum to 100% Level 4=Advanced, Level 3=Proficient, Level 2=Nearing Proficient, Level 1= Beginning Step Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

Budgeted Expenditures

Locally-authorized charter schools manage their budgets independently of their parent district. For detailed information please contact either the individual schools or the PED Budget and Finance Office for the budget analyst assigned to that school. These figures represent the district summary for non-charter schools.

	Amount \$	Percent %
Total Expenditures	\$1,280,008	100
Capital Outlay	\$0	0
Community Services	\$0	0
Food Services	\$28,865	2
Non-Instructional Support	\$28,865	2
Other	\$5,996	<1
Student Transportation	\$5,500	<1
Operations & Maintenance	\$220,820	18
Central Services	\$79,297	7
School Administration	\$37,313	3
General Administration	\$155,486	13
Instruction	\$0	0
Students	\$155,475	9
Instructional Support Services	\$659,887	50
Direct Instruction	\$591,256	48
	Source: The PED School Bu	udget and Financial Analysis Bureau

School Board Training

School board members must accumulate five points during the year by attending specific training. These figures do not reflect additional training that board members may have received.

Board Member		Number of Points				
Catherine/Kathy Wh	eeler	6.00				
Curtis Jeffrey		6.00				
Jack Chatfield		6.00				
Jacob Green		6.00				
Victor R. Vigil		8.00				
Source: NM School Board Association						

Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau

Graduation - 4 Year Cohort of 2011

Graduation accountability is lagged by one year to allow seniors the summer of their final year to complete all requirements. These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2011. The cohort includes all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students. Blanks indicate too few students to report.

	All				Afr			Amer			
	Students	Female	Male	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Statewide	68.7	72.9	64.8	77.4	63.0	66.2	79.3	60.4	62.7	54.0	63.0
Districtwide	>98.0										
Mosquero High	>98.0										
						Source: F	PED Data F	Planning and	l Analysis B	Bureau	

Graduation - 5 Year Cohort of 2010

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2010 and either graduated on time, or required one additional year. The cohort includes all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students. Blanks indicate too few students to report.

	All				Afr			Amer			
	Students	Female	Male	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Statewide	66.5	71.4	61.8	74.6	59.3	63.4	83.4	60.8	61.7	62.3	61.2
Districtwide											
Mosquero High											

Graduation - 4 Year Cohort of 2011, Status of Non Graduates

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2011 but did not graduate. The cohort includes all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students. Blanks indicate too few students to report.

	Certificate	Status Unknown	Exit Out	Still Enrolled
	Completed coursework	Dropped out	Exit with intent to	Continuing high school
	but did not	or whereabouts	get GED or	enrollment
	pass exit exam	unknown	vocational credential	past 4th year
	%	%	%	%
Mosquero Municipal Schools		Page 4	School District I	Report Card 2011-2012

	Certificate	Status Unknown	Exit Out	Still Enrolled
	Completed coursework but did not pass exit exam %	Dropped out or whereabouts unknown %	Exit with intent to get GED or vocational credential %	Continuing high school enrollment past 4th year %
Mosquero High				
Districtwide				
			Source: PED Data	Planning and Analysis Bureau

Teacher Credentials									
			Statew	ride LEA					
			%	%					
Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials			.(0. 0					
Care Classes Not Tought by Highly Qualified Touchers	High Poverty	y Schools	1.6	6 NA					
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Low Povert	y Schools	1.4	1 NA					
NA= Not applicable; LEA did not have schools that qualified as high or low poverty									
	Number of	Highest	Degree*	Core Classes Not Taught by Highly					
	Teachers	Bachelor's	Advanced	Qualified Teachers					
Mosquero Elementary	3	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%					
Mosquero High	4	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%					
* Does not include Below Bachelors									
Blank=no data available, or not applicable		Sc	urce: LEA 120th d	ay submission to PED					

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

- Q1 My child is safe at school.
- Q2 My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3 My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4 School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5 The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6 School staff maintain consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7 My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8 My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9 The school staff employ various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10 My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

			Strongly			Strongly	Do Not	No
	Survey	Question	Agree	Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Know	Opinion
	Count	Number	%	%	%	%	%	%
Districtwide		No Data Available						
Mosquero Elementary		1			No Data	Available		
Mosquero High		1 No Data Available						
				Source: P	ED anonymous	survey collect	ed from pare	nts annually

National Assessment of Educational Progress Statewide Results

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student achievement across states and for the nation as a whole. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district or by school. For further information please visit http://NCES.ED.Gov/NationsReportCard.

NAEP does not replace the New Mexico Standards Based Assessment (SBA) which annually measures student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students are required to take the SBA, whereas the NAEP selects representative samples of students and districts. Because not all subject areas or grade levels are tested every year, these statewide results are for the most recent year assessed in that subject area and grade.

4th		Reading ((2011)		Math (2011)			Science (2009)				
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %
Caucasian	8.0	27.0	38.0	28.0	8.0	40.0	41.0	11.0	1.0	47.0	38.0	14.0
Afr Amer	2.0	15.0	35.0	47.0	3.0	16.0	49.0	32.0	#	16.0	40.0	44.0
Hispanic	1.0	13.0	31.0	54.0	2.0	21.0	48.0	29.0	#	15.0	40.0	45.0
Asian	11.0	28.0	29.0	31.0	19.0	45.0	26.0	11.0	***	***	***	***
Amer Indian	2.0	10.0	25.0	64.0	2.0	14.0	43.0	42.0	#	8.0	37.0	56.0
SWD	1.0	5.0	16.0	78.0	1.0	10.0	33.0	56.0	#	11.0	30.0	59.0
ELL	#	2.0	12.0	86.0	#	5.0	40.0	56.0	#	2.0	20.0	78.0
ED	2.0	12.0	31.0	56.0	2.0	19.0	48.0	31.0	#	15.0	39.0	45.0
New Mexico	3.0	17.0	33.0	47.0	4.0	26.0	45.0	25.0	#	24.0	39.0	37.0
Nation	7.0	25.0	34.0	34.0	6.0	33.0	42.0	18.0	1	32.0	39.0	29.0

8th		Reading ((2011)			Math (2011)				Scienc	e (2011)	
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %
Caucasian	2.0	33.0	47.0	17.0	8.0	33.0	41.0	19.0	2.0	38.0	37.0	23.0
Afr Amer	#	14.0	47.0	39.0	2.0	14.0	35.0	49.0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	1.0	16.0	47.0	37.0	2.0	16.0	41.0	41.0	#	15.0	35.0	50.0
Asian	10.0	29.0	40.0	21.0	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Amer Indian	1.0	15.0	36.0	48.0	1.0	6.0	37.0	56.0	#	9.0	32.0	59.0
SWD	#	4.0	24.0	72.0	1.0	5.0	23.0	72.0	#	6.0	20.0	73.0
ELL	#	1.0	21.0	78.0	#	2.0	24.0	75.0	#	2.0	11.0	87.0
ED	#	15.0	45.0	39.0	1.0	14.0	41.0	44.0	#	14.0	33.0	52.0
New Mexico	1.0	21.0	46.0	32.0	4.0	20.0	40.0	36.0	1.0	22.0	35.0	43.0
Nation	3.0	29.0	43.0	25.0	8.0	26.0	39.0	28.0	2.0	29.0	34.0	36.0

^{*} Basic is most comparable to Proficient on New Mexico's Standards Based Assessment

[#] Rounds to zero Blank=Too few students to report

Statewide Participation											
	Reading %	Math %	Science %								
4th Grade ELL	82.0	93.0	94.0 (2009)								
4th Grade SWD*	72.0	84.0	86.0 (2009)								
8th Grade ELL	80.0	94.0	93.0 (2011)								
8th Grade SWD*	66.0	86.0	87.0 (2011)								
* NAEP does not accom	modate students with se	evere disabilities; participation	* NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities; participation in NAEP is not mandatory								