Quemado Independent Schools

School Grading Summary The district grade is determined by the **District Grade C** average of school grades in the district. For a description of status see page 2. **Total Number** Percent **Schools Rated in District** 100.0 0 **Schools in Priority Status** 0.0 **Schools in Focus Status** 0 0.0 Schools in Strategic Status 0 0.0 **Schools in Reward Status** 0 0.0 Source: PED Assessment and Accountability Division

What are school grades?

School Grading is part of state and federal law that mandates accountability for all public schools. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) enacted in 1965, which was reauthorized in 2001 as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires schools to show annual improvement in mathematics and reading. In 2011, New Mexico lawmakers enacted additional requirements that schools demonstrate progress through a grading system similar to that applied to students, issuing an A through F letter grade to each school [22-2-1, 22-2-2, and 22-2E-1 to 22-2E-4] [6.19.8.1 NMAC-N, 12-15-11]. Individual school report cards can be found online at http://webapp2.ped.state.nm.us/SchoolData/SchoolGrading.aspx

What are School District Report Cards?

Each LEA under the jurisdiction of the Public Education Department (PED) annually receives a comprehensive report of their achievement, accountability, teacher qualifications, and postsecondary success. This report is compiled for 89 districts that include regular and locally-authorized charter schools, and all stateauthorized charter schools. Non-PED schools are exempt from both school grading and School District Report Cards, and include private, home, and Bureau of Indian Education schools.

What is contained in this report?

This report provides a concise summary of the LEA and its schools:

LEA Demographic Profile

Accountability

Summaries of School Grades

Cohort Graduation Rates (4, 5, and 6 Year)

Status of Non-Graduates

Attendance

Growth for Q1 and Q3 Subgroups

Achievement

Proficiencies in Reading, Mathematics, and Science

Participation in Assessments

NAEP Statewide Summary for Grades 4 and 8

School Board Member Training

Budgeted Expenditures

Teacher Credentials

Post-Secondary Achievement (College Going, Credit Accumulation) Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

Definitions and Abbreviations

LEA Local Educational Authority is a broad term that encompasses districts with multiple schools or independent state-authorized charter schools. Locally-authorized charter schools are not LEAs and are reported with their parent district.

Subgroups

Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander

Afr Am: African American

English Language Learners

Economically Disadvantaged as determined by eligibility for

Free or Reduced Lunch

SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special education

students who are gifted

Q1: The lowest performing 25% (one quarter) of students in

reading or mathematics

Q3: The higher performing 75% (three quarters) of students in

reading or mathematics

High/Low Poverty Schools Schools with students most economically disadvantaged (top 25%), and least disadvantaged (bottom 25%).

SGTs School Growth Targets, like the predecessor Annual Measurable Objectives or AMOs, increase annually for monitoring subgroup performance and growth. Figures reflect whether the percent of students for the school meet the current year's goals.

Recently Arrived These are ELL students new to U.S. schools who qualify for exemption from the reading assessment.

Student Demogra	phics							
	LEA		State					
	Number	%	Number	%				
All Students	146	100	337,054	100				
Female	72	49	164,148	49				
Male	74	51	172,906	51				
Caucasian	82	56	84,518	25				
African-American	4	3	7,530	2				
Hispanic	29	20	204,866	61				
Asian	1	1	4,489	1				
Pacific Islander	0	0	509	0				
American Indian	30	21	35,142	10				
Multiple Races	0	0	2	0				
ED	117	80	231,830	69				
SWD	19	13	48,479	14				
ELL	0	0	51,895	15				
Migrant	0	0	458	0				
Recently Arrived	0	0	19,389	6				
	Source: LEA 120th day submission to the PED							

Accountability - School Grading and Status

Status refers to schools that are in some form of improvement that requires increased monitoring and educational enhancement. The improvement categories are

*** Priority Status (5% of schools that are lowest performing)

- ** Focus Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing and with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- * Strategic Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- ^ Reward Status (the top 5% of schools in the state)

A school's status is footnoted next to their overall letter grade, and where blank, means the school is not in any status. Only schools receiving Title I funds are eligible, which in 2014 represented 654 schools.

School	Overall Grade	School	Overall Grade
Datil Elementary	С	Quemado Elementary	С
Quemado High	В		

Accountability - School Growth Targets (SGTs)

Customized targets called School Growth Targets (SGTs) guide a school's path toward proficiency. These goals increase every year and challenge schools to identify student groups that might be struggling to keep up with their peers. Students who are not proficient but have made large enough gains to become proficient in the next 3 years are considered proficient. They are combined with students who are proficient today for percentages. Growth figures for Q1 and Q3 are in scaled score units, and should be evaluated in the context of the possible score on the assessment which ranges from 0 to 80.

			Proficient or On Target to Proficiency										wth	
	Target (%)	All Students	Caucasian	Afr Amer	Hispanic		Amer Indian	ED	SWD	ELL	Targe (SS/Y	et r) Q1	Targe (SS/Y	
Datil Elementa	ıry													
Reading	61												0.25	
Mathematics	55										2.2		0.15	
Attendance	92	95.1 🗸	95.5 ✓					95.4 🗸						
LEA Current														
Reading	61	60.0 🗴	63.2 🗸					57.5 🗶			2.5	1.5 🗴	0.25	-1.0 🗴
Mathematics	55	38.9 🗴	47.4 🗴					36.3 🗴			2.2	-0.4 ×	0.15	-1.9 🗴
Attendance	92	93.6 🗸	93.6 🗸		94.4 🗸		92.1 🗸	93.8 🗸	90.1 🖊					
Graduation	73.7	85.4 ✓	81.0 🗸											
Quemado Eler	nentary													
Reading	61	61.9 🗸	57.1 🗴					61.5 🗸			2.5		0.25	-0.9 🗴
Mathematics	55	47.6 ×	57.1 🗸					48.7 🗴			2.2		0.15	-2.7 🗴
Attendance	92	94.4 🗸	94.7 🗸		94.8 🗸		92.9 🗸	94.4 🗸						
Quemado High	า													
Reading	61	56.3 🗴	63.6 🗸					51.4 😕			2.5		0.25	-1.2 🖊
Mathematics	55	29.2 🗴	39.4 🗴					21.6 🗴			2.2		0.15	-1.0 🗴
Attendance	92	90.7 🗴	91.0 🗴					91.0 🗴						
Graduation	73.7	85.4 ✓	81.0 🗸											
Blanks indicate too fe	w students	to report (N<10)							Soi	ırce: PED Data	Planning	and Analysis B	ureau	

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Grade

The assessments were developed to measure grade-level standards that New Mexico educators and the public determined are important for our students to master. Results include all students enrolled within the LEA or school, regardless of whether for a full academic year or not. Students are assessed in reading and mathematics in grades 3-8 and 10-11, and in science in grades 4, 7, and 11.

			Re	eading		Mathematics				Science			
	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient						Proficienc	y Level (%)	Proficiency Level (%)			
	Level 1=Beginning Step	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level
Grade	2	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1
3	State Current	6	45	24	24	4	45	34	16				
3	State Prior	6	49	24	21	4	47	36	13				
3	LEA Current	14	50	29	7	<2	86	7	7				
3	LEA Prior												
4	State Current	8	36	41	15	10	33	35	23	6	43	31	21
4	State Prior	10	36	41	13	9	36	35	19	7	46	28	19
4	LEA Current												
Quemado Independent Schools					Page 2 of 6				School District Report Card 2013-2014				

			Re	ading			Mathe	matics			Scie	nce	
	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient		Proficienc	y Level (%)		Proficienc	y Level (%)		Proficienc	y Level (%)
	Level 1=Beginning Step	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level
Grade	!	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1
4	LEA Prior	7	43	36	14	<2	36	36	29	<2	36	43	21
5	State Current	10	44	35	12	11	33	34	22				
5	State Prior	9	42	36	12	10	33	37	20				
5	LEA Current	<2	50	50	<2	<2	6	44	50				
5	LEA Prior	<2	46	38	15	<2	54	23	23				
6	State Current	9	34	40	17	5	32	38	24				
6	State Prior	8	39	40	13	6	34	38	23				
6	LEA Current												
6	LEA Prior												
7	State Current	5	46	34	14	5	35	37	23	10	32	34	24
7	State Prior	5	45	36	14	6	36	38	21	10	32	35	23
7	LEA Current	8	67	17	8	17	25	50	8	<2	50	25	25
7	LEA Prior	<2	50	28	22	6	22	39	33	6	33	39	22
8	State Current	7	51	29	13	5	35	43	17				
8	State Prior	5	55	28	12	5	38	44	14				
8	LEA Current	<2	44	50	6	<2	6	63	31				
8	LEA Prior	<2	67	25	8	<2	33	58	8				
10	State Current	5	33	41	21	5	26	52	17				
10	State Prior	8	33	40	19	4	26	53	17				
10	LEA Current	<2	58	25	17	<2	33	50	17				
10	LEA Prior	<2	54	23	23	8	38	23	31				
11	State Current	9	43	36	13	9	34	46	11	4	37	41	18
11	State Prior	12	44	33	11	7	35	47	11	3	37	41	20
11	LEA Current												
11	LEA Prior	<2	50	30	20	<2	30	50	20	<2	20	50	30
	==: 71 1101					=							

Achievement	Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Subgroup													
				Rea	ding		N	lather	natics	;		Scie	nce	
	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient Level 1=Beginning Step		Pro Level 4	oficiency Level 3	y Level (Level 2	%) Level 1	Pro Level 4	oficiency Level 3	Level (9 Level 2	%) Level 1	Pro Level 4	oficienc Level 3	y Level (' Level 2	%) Level 1
All Students		LEA	4	49	38	8	5	33	39	23	<2	57	21	21
Female		LEA	4	51	39	6	4	33	41	22	<2	46	31	23
Male		LEA	4	48	37	11	7	33	37	24	<2	67	13	20
Caucasian		LEA	5	54	33	7	9	37	37	18	<2	74	16	11
African American		LEA												
Hispanic		LEA	6	47	35	12	<2	47	35	18				
Asian		LEA												
American Indian		LEA	<2	44	50	6	<2	13	44	44				
Economically Disadv	antaged	LEA	4	49	38	10	3	33	38	28	<2	45	27	27
Students w Disabiliti	es	LEA												
Q1		LEA	<2	9	61	30	<2	<2	42	58				
Q3		LEA	6	63	31	<2	7	44	38	11	<2	71	29	<2

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by School										
	Reading	Mathematics	Science							
Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient	Proficiency Level (%)	Proficiency Level (%)	Proficiency Level (%)							
Quemado Independent Schools		Page 3 of 6 School	I District Report Card 2013-2014							

	Level 2=Nearing Proficient Level 1=Beginning Step	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
Datil Elementary													
Quemado Elementary		5	48	43	5	5	43	29	24				
Quemado High		2	50	35	13	6	21	50	23	<2	50	25	25
Blanks indicate too few stude	Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10). Schools without tested grades (i.e. kindergarten only) will not have data.									ysis Bureau			

Budgeted Expenditures

Locally-authorized charter schools manage their budgets independently of their parent district. For detailed information please contact either the individual school or the PED Budget and Finance Office for the budget analyst assigned to that school. The district summary includes their locally authorized charter schools.

	Amount \$	Percent %
Capital Outlay	\$237,390	7.3
Central Services	\$149,424	4.6
Community Services		
Debt Service	\$162,211	5.0
Food Services	\$109,552	3.3
General Administration	\$134,210	4.1
Instruction	\$1,320,023	40.4
Instruction Support Services	\$104,562	3.2
Operations & Maintenance	\$369,875	11.3
Other Support Services	\$3,117	0.1
School Administration	\$152,449	4.7
Student Support Services	\$92,270	2.8
Student Transportation	\$436,171	13.3
	Source: The PED School	Budget and Financial Analysis Bureau

School Board Training

School board members must accumulate five points during the year by attending specific training. These figures do not reflect additional training that board members may have received.

Board Member	Number of Points
Denny Carver	7
Elena Farr	9
Gary Roberts	2
Marilyn Bunney	2
Polly Pierson	6
Sour	ce: NM School Board Association

Graduation - 5 Year Cohort of 2012

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2012 and either graduated on time, or required one additional year. The 4-year rates for the cohort of 2013 are displayed under School Growth Targets (SGT) earlier in this report. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer			
	Students	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
State Current	74.0	79.7	71.7	71.6	87.6	70.7	69.6	63.0	70.8
LEA Current	83.9	96.4							
Quemado High	83.9	96.4							
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)					Sou	rce: PED Da	ata Plannin	g and Analys	sis Bureau

Graduation - 6 Year Cohort of 2011

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2011 and either graduated on time, or required up to two additional years. The cohort includes all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer			
	Students	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
State Current	73.5	80.2	69.4	71.1	83.6	68.3	68.8	63.6	69.7
LEA Current	94.9	93.8					>98.0		
Quemado High	94.9	93.8					>98.0		
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)					Soul	ce: PED D	ata Plannin	g and Analys	sis Bureau

College Going and College Credit Accumulation

These figures represent students who graduated in 2012 (College Going) and 2010 (Credits Earned) and were tracked for post-secondary education both inside and outside the state.

Eligible Students earning a regular high school diploma.

Enrolled Students who enrolled in an institution of higher education within 16 months of earning a regular high school diploma.

Credits Earned Students who enrolled and earned one year of college credit within two years of enrollment.

	All		Afr			Amer			
	Students	Cauc	Amer	Hisp	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Quemado Independent Schools		Page 4 of 6 School District Report Card 2013						13-2014	

		**			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
LEA Current	Eligible	13	10				
Quemado High	Eligible	13	10				
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)				Sou	rce: National Stud	lent Clearingh	ouse

Teacher Credentials										
			Statew	ride LEA						
			%	%						
Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials				3 .0						
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	High Poverty	y Schools	N/	A NA						
Core classes Not raught by Highly Qualified reachers	Low Poverty	y Schools	N	A NA						
NA= Not applicable; LEA did not have schools that qualified as high or low poverty										
Professsional Qualifications	Number Highest D		Degree*	Core Classes Not Taught by Highly						
	Teachers	Bachelor's	Advanced	Qualified Teachers						
Datil Elementary	3	0	0	0						
Quemado Elementary	8	62.5	12.5	0						
Quemado High	10	40	20	0						
* Does not include Below Bachelors										
Blank=no data available, or not applicable Source: LEA 120th day submission to PED										

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

- Q1 My child is safe at school.
- Q2 My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3 My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4 School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5 The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6 School staff maintain consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7 My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8 My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9 The school staff employ various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10 My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	Survey	Agree and Strongly Agree (% of Respondents)									
	Count	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10
Districtwide	42	90	90	89	95	94	89	73	90	93	90
Datil Elementary	4	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Quemado Elementary	34	88	87	86	94	93	87	65	88	91	91
Quemado High	3	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Source: PED anonymous survey collected from parents annually											

National Assessment of Educational Progress Statewide Results

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student achievement across states and for the nation as a whole. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district or by school. For further information please visit http://NCES.ED.Gov/NationsReportCard.

NAEP does not replace assessments which annually measure student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students are required to take the standards based assessments, whereas the NAEP selects representative samples of students and districts. Because not all subject areas or grade levels are tested every year, these statewide results are for the most recent year assessed in that subject area and grade.

Statewide Participation										
	Reading %	Math %	Science %							
4th Grade ELL	98	98	94							
4th Grade SWD*	93	92	86							
8th Grade ELL	93	98	93							
8th Grade SWD*	91	89	87							
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *										

* NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities

Participation in NAEP is not mandatory

4th	Reading (2013)				Math (2013)				Science (2009)				
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	
New Mexico	4	18	31	48	4	27	43	26	#	24	39	37	
Nation	8	26	33	33	8	34	41	18	1	32	39	29	

8th	Reading (2011)				Math (2011)				Science (2011)				
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic* %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	
New Mexico	1	21	45	33	4	18	40	37	1	22	35	43	
Nation	4	31	42	23	8	26	39	27	2	29	34	36	

^{*} Basic is most comparable to Proficient on New Mexico's Standards Based Assessment

Blanks indicate too few students to report

[#] Rounds to zero