Hondo Valley Public Schools

School Grading Summary The district grade is determined by the **District Grade C** average of school grades in the district. For a description of status see page 2.

Total Number	Percent
2	100.0
0	0.0
0	0.0
0	0.0
0	0.0
	_

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability Division

What are school grades?

School Grading is part of state and federal law that mandates accountability for all public schools. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) enacted in 1965, which was reauthorized in 2001 as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires schools to show annual improvement in mathematics and reading. In 2011, New Mexico lawmakers enacted additional requirements that schools demonstrate progress through a grading system similar to that applied to students, issuing an A through F letter grade to each school [22-2-1, 22-2-2, and 22-2E-1 to 22-2E-4] [6.19.8.1 NMAC-N, 12-15-11]. Individual school report cards can be found online at http://webapp2.ped.state.nm.us/SchoolData/SchoolGrading.aspx

What are School District Report Cards?

Each LEA under the jurisdiction of the Public Education Department (PED) annually receives a comprehensive report of their achievement, accountability, teacher qualifications, and postsecondary success. This report is compiled for 89 districts that include regular and locally-authorized charter schools, and all stateauthorized charter schools. Non-PED schools are exempt from both school grading and School District Report Cards, and include private, home, and Bureau of Indian Education schools.

What is contained in this report?

This report provides a concise summary of the LEA and its schools:

LEA Demographic Profile

Accountability

Summaries of School Grades

Cohort Graduation Rates (4, 5, and 6 Year)

Status of Non-Graduates

Attendance

Growth for Q1 and Q3 Subgroups

Achievement

Proficiencies in Reading, Mathematics, and Science

Participation in Assessments

NAEP Statewide Summary for Grades 4 and 8

School Board Member Training

Budgeted Expenditures

Teacher Credentials

Post-Secondary Achievement (College Going, Credit Accumulation)

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

Definitions and Abbreviations

LEA Local Educational Authority is a broad term that encompasses districts with multiple schools or independent state-authorized charter schools. Locally-authorized charter schools are not LEAs and are reported with their parent district.

Subgroups

Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander

Afr Am: African American

English Language Learners

Economically Disadvantaged as determined by eligibility for

Free or Reduced Lunch

SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special education

students who are gifted

Q1: The lowest performing 25% (one quarter) of students in

reading or mathematics

Q3: The higher performing 75% (three quarters) of students in

reading or mathematics

High/Low Poverty Schools Schools with students most economically disadvantaged (top 25%), and least disadvantaged (bottom 25%).

SGTs School Growth Targets, like the predecessor Annual Measurable Objectives or AMOs, increase annually for monitoring subgroup performance and growth. Figures reflect whether the percent of students for the school meet the current year's goals.

Recently Arrived These are ELL students new to U.S. schools who qualify for exemption from the reading assessment.

tudent Demogra	udent Demographics										
	LEA		State								
	Number	%	Number	%							
All Students	129	100	337,054	100							
Female	57	44	164,148	49							
Male	72	56	172,906	51							
Caucasian	14	11	84,518	25							
African-American	0	0	7,530	2							
Hispanic	111	86	204,866	61							
Asian	0	0	4,489	1							
Pacific Islander	2	2	509	0							
American Indian	2	2	35,142	10							
Multiple Races	0	0	2	0							
ED	129	100	231,830	69							
SWD	19	15	48,479	14							
ELL	36	28	51,895	15							
Migrant	0	0	458	0							
Recently Arrived	15	12	19,389	6							
	Sou	rce: LEA 12	Oth day submission to	the PED							

Accountability - School Grading and Status

Status refers to schools that are in some form of improvement that requires increased monitoring and educational enhancement. The improvement categories are

*** Priority Status (5% of schools that are lowest performing)

- ** Focus Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing and with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- * Strategic Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- ^ Reward Status (the top 5% of schools in the state)

A school's status is footnoted next to their overall letter grade, and where blank, means the school is not in any status. Only schools receiving Title I funds are eligible, which in 2014 represented 654 schools.

School	Overall Grade	School	Overall Grade
Hondo Elementary	С	Hondo High	В

Accountability - School Growth Targets (SGTs)

Customized targets called School Growth Targets (SGTs) guide a school's path toward proficiency. These goals increase every year and challenge schools to identify student groups that might be struggling to keep up with their peers. Students who are not proficient but have made large enough gains to become proficient in the next 3 years are considered proficient. They are combined with students who are proficient today for percentages. Growth figures for Q1 and Q3 are in scaled score units, and should be evaluated in the context of the possible score on the assessment which ranges from 0 to 80.

				Profi	cient or O	n Target	to Proficie	ncy			Growth				
	Target (%)	All Students	Caucasian	Afr Amer	Hispanic		Amer Indian	ED	SWD	ELL	Targe (SS/Y	et r) Q1	Targe (SS/Y		
Hondo Elemen	tary														
Reading	61	60.5 ×			61.1 🗸			60.5 🗴			2.5		0.25	0.7 🗸	
Mathematics	55	23.7 🗴			19.4 😕			23.7 😕			2.2		0.15	-0.5 🗶	
Attendance	92	94.8 🗸			94.8 🗸			94.8 🗸	95.3 🗸	95.9 ✓					
Hondo High															
Reading	61	43.9 🗴			45.2 ×			43.9 🗴			2.5		0.25	-0.4 🗴	
Mathematics	55	36.6 ×			32.3 🗴			36.6 🖊			2.2		0.15	-0.3 🗴	
Attendance	92	97.9 ✓			97.8 ✓			97.9 🗸							
Graduation	73.7	81.7 ✓			84.1 🗸			81.7 🗸							
LEA Current															
Reading	61	51.9 ×			53.7 🔀			51.9 🗶		39.1 ×	2.5	2.5 🗶	0.25	0.1 🗶	
Mathematics	55	30.4 ×			25.4 🗴			30.4 🖊		17.4 ×	2.2		0.15	-0.4 ×	
Attendance	92	95.5 ✓			95.4 ✓			95.5 ✓	95.7 ✓	96.2 🗸					
Graduation	73.7	81.7 🗸			84.1 🗸			81.7 🗸							
Blanks indicate too fee	w students	to report (N<10))						Sou	ırce: PED Data	Planning a	and Analysis E	Bureau		

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Grade

The assessments were developed to measure grade-level standards that New Mexico educators and the public determined are important for our students to master. Results include all students enrolled within the LEA or school, regardless of whether for a full academic year or not. Students are assessed in reading and mathematics in grades 3-8 and 10-11, and in science in grades 4, 7, and 11.

			Re	ading		Mathematics			ics Science				
Grade	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient Level 1=Beginning Step e				Level	Proficiency Level (%) Level Level Level 4 3 2 1				Level 4	Proficienc Level 3	y Level (% Level 2	Level
3	State Current	6	45	24	24	4	45	34	16				
3	State Prior	6	49	24	21	4	47	36	13				
3	LEA Current												
3	LEA Prior	<2	40	40	20	<2	20	70	10				
4	State Current	8	36	41	15	10	33	35	23	6	43	31	21
4	State Prior	10	36	41	13	9	36	35	19	7	46	28	19
4	LEA Current	<2	20	70	10	20	<2	80	<2	10	40	50	<2
4	LEA Prior	8	33	58	<2	8	33	50	8	8	42	42	8
5	State Current	10	44	35	12	11	33	34	22				
5	State Prior	9	42	36	12	10	33	37	20				

			Re	ading			Mathe	ematics			Scie	nce	
	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient		Proficienc	y Level (%	5)		Proficienc	y Level (%)		Proficienc	y Level (%	.)
	Level 1=Beginning Step	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level
Grade	2	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1
5	LEA Current	17	33	50	<2	8	33	33	25				
5	LEA Prior	<2	8	62	31	<2	8	38	54				
6	State Current	9	34	40	17	5	32	38	24				
6	State Prior	8	39	40	13	6	34	38	23				
6	LEA Current												
6	LEA Prior												
7	State Current	5	46	34	14	5	35	37	23	10	32	34	24
7	State Prior	5	45	36	14	6	36	38	21	10	32	35	23
7	LEA Current												
7	LEA Prior	<2	42	50	8	<2	33	42	25	8	25	42	25
8	State Current	7	51	29	13	5	35	43	17				
8	State Prior	5	55	28	12	5	38	44	14				
8	LEA Current	<2	50	50	<2	<2	30	40	30				
8	LEA Prior	<2	63	25	13	<2	56	38	6				
10	State Current	5	33	41	21	5	26	52	17				
10	State Prior	8	33	40	19	4	26	53	17				
10	LEA Current	8	23	62	8	<2	31	69	<2				
10	LEA Prior	10	40	50	<2	<2	20	70	10				
11	State Current	9	43	36	13	9	34	46	11	4	37	41	18
11	State Prior	12	44	33	11	7	35	47	11	3	37	41	20
11	LEA Current												
11	LEA Prior												

Achievemer	Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Subgroup													
			Reading				N	lather	natics	5	Science			
	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient		Pr	oficienc	y Level (%)	Pro	oficiency	Level (%)	Pr	oficiency	y Level (%)
	Level 2=Nearing Proficient		Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level
	Level 1=Beginning Step		4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1
All Students		LEA	5	34	51	10	4	25	51	20	4	46	39	11
Female		LEA	11	26	54	9	6	17	49	29	<2	30	50	20
Male		LEA	<2	41	48	11	2	32	52	14	6	56	33	6
Caucasian		LEA	10	30	60	<2	10	40	40	10				
Hispanic		LEA	4	34	51	10	3	22	52	22	4	43	43	9
Asian		LEA												
Economically Disa	dvantaged	LEA	5	34	51	10	4	25	51	20	4	46	39	11
Students w Disabi	lities	LEA	<2	20	40	40	<2	20	40	40				
English Language	English Language Learners, Current LEA		<2	17	65	17	4	13	48	35				
Q1 LEA		LEA	<2	13	58	29	<2	<2	37	63				
Q3		LEA	7	44	47	2	5	33	55	7	5	68	26	<2

Achievement	Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by School												
		Reading				N	Mathematics				Science		
	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient	Pr	oficienc	y Level (%)	Pro	oficiency	Level (%)	Pro	oficiency	y Level (9	%)
	Level 2=Nearing Proficient	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level
	Level 1=Beginning Step	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1
Hondo Elementary		5	34	45	16	8	16	53	24	10	40	50	<2
Hondo High		5	34	56	5	<2	34	49	17	<2	50	33	17
Blanks indicate too few stude	ents to report (N<10). Schools without tested gra	des (i.e. kinc	lergarten on	ly) will not h	nave data.					Source: PED	Data Planni	ng and Analy	ysis Bureau

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10). Schools without tested grades (i.e. kindergarten only) will not have dat

Budgeted Expenditures

Locally-authorized charter schools manage their budgets independently of their parent district. For detailed information please contact either the individual school or the PED Budget and Finance Office for the budget analyst assigned to that school. The district summary includes their locally authorized charter schools.

	Amount	Percent
	\$	%
Capital Outlay	\$182,913	6.2
Central Services	\$78,388	2.7
Community Services		
Debt Service	\$231,074	7.8
Food Services	\$114,609	3.9
General Administration	\$198,452	6.7
Instruction	\$1,392,218	47.2
Instruction Support Services	\$18,349	0.6
Operations & Maintenance	\$223,517	7.6
Other Support Services		
School Administration	\$52,970	1.8
Student Support Services	\$205,272	7.0
Student Transportation	\$250,396	8.5
	Source: The PED School E	Budget and Financial Analysis Bureau

School Board Training

School board members must accumulate five points during the year by attending specific training. These figures do not reflect additional training that board members may have received.

Board Member		umber Points
Coda Omness		9
Donna Brady		23
Godfrey Gomez		19
Jim Cooper		10
Justine Ortiz		13
	Source: NM School Board	Association

Graduation - 5 Year Cohort of 2012

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2012 and either graduated on time, or required one additional year. The 4-year rates for the cohort of 2013 are displayed under School Growth Targets (SGT) earlier in this report. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer			
		Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
State Current	74.0	79.7	71.7	71.6	87.6	70.7	69.6	63.0	70.8
LEA Current	>98.0			>98.0			>98.0		>98.0
Hondo High	>98.0			>98.0			>98.0		>98.0
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)					Sou	rce: PED Da	ata Planning	g and Analy	sis Bureau

Graduation - 6 Year Cohort of 2011

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2011 and either graduated on time, or required up to two additional years. The cohort includes all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer			
	Students	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
State Current	73.5	80.2	69.4	71.1	83.6	68.3	68.8	63.6	69.7
LEA Current	87.8			90.9			87.8		
Hondo High	87.8			90.9			87.8		
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)					Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau				

College Going and College Credit Accumulation

These figures represent students who graduated in 2012 (College Going) and 2010 (Credits Earned) and were tracked for post-secondary education both inside and outside the state.

Eligible Students earning a regular high school diploma.

Enrolled Students who enrolled in an institution of higher education within 16 months of earning a regular high school diploma. **Credits Earned** Students who enrolled and earned one year of college credit within two years of enrollment.

		All		Afr			Amer			
		Students	Cauc	Amer	Hisp	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
LEA Current	Eligible	15			15			15		
Hondo High	Eligible	15			15			15		
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)							Source: N	ational S	tudent Clea	ringhouse

Teacher Credentials

		%	%	
Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials		.3	.0	
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	High Poverty Schools	.5	.0 .0 .0 NA	
Core classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Low Poverty Schools	NA	NA	

NA= Not applicable; LEA did not have schools that qualified as high or low poverty

Professsional Qualifications	Number of	Highest	Degree*	Core Classes Not Taught by Highly		
	Teachers	Bachelor's	Advanced	Qualified Teachers		
Hondo Elementary	9	77.8	22.2	0		
Hondo High	10	50	50	0		

^{*} Does not include Below Bachelors Blank=no data available, or not applicable

Source: LEA 120th day submission to PED

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

- Q1 My child is safe at school.
- Q2 My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3 My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4 School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5 The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6 School staff maintain consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7 My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8 My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9 The school staff employ various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10 My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	Curvov	Agree and Strongly Agree (% of Respondents))	
	Survey Count	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10
Districtwide	23	100	100	100	100	100	95	96	100	91	100
Hondo Elementary	7	100	100	100	100	100	100	86	100	71	100
Hondo High	15	100	100	100	100	100	93	100	100	100	100
		Source: PED anonymous survey collected from parents annua									

National Assessment of Educational Progress Statewide Results

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student achievement across states and for the nation as a whole. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district or by school. For further information please visit http://NCES.ED.Gov/NationsReportCard.

NAEP does not replace assessments which annually measure student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students are required to take the standards based assessments, whereas the NAEP selects representative samples of students and districts. Because not all subject areas or grade levels are tested every year, these statewide results are for the most recent year assessed in that subject area and grade.

Statewide Participation											
	Reading %	Math %	Science %								
4th Grade ELL	98	98	94								
4th Grade SWD*	93	92	86								
8th Grade ELL	93	98	93								
8th Grade SWD*	91	89	87								

^{*} NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities

Participation in NAEP is not mandatory

4th	Reading (2013)				Math (2013)				Science (2009)				
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	
New Mexico	4	18	31	48	4	27	43	26	#	24	39	37	
Nation	8	26	33	33	8	34	41	18	1	32	39	29	

8th	Reading (2011)				Math (2011)				Science (2011)				
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	
New Mexico	1	21	45	33	4	18	40	37	1	22	35	43	
Nation	4	31	42	23	8	26	39	27	2	29	34	36	

Basic is most comparable to Proficient on New Mexico's Standards Based Assessment

Rounds to zero

Blanks indicate too few students to report