NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

School District Report Card 2017-2018

Clayton Municipal Schools

School Grading Summary

The district grade is determined by the average of school grades in the district.

For a description of status, see page 2.

Total Number Percent

Schools Rated in District 4 100.0

Source: PED Accountability Bureau

0.0

0.0

0.0

0

0

0

What are school grades?

Schools in CSI Status

Schools in TSI Status

Schools in MRI Status

School Grading is part of state and federal law that mandates accountability for all public schools. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) enacted in 1965 requires schools to show annual improvement in mathematics and reading. New Mexico statute specifies additional requirements that schools demonstrate progress through an A-F letter grade for each school. Individual school report cards can be found online at http://aae.ped.state.nm.us/.

What are School District Report Cards?

Each LEA under the jurisdiction of the Public Education Department (PED) annually receives a comprehensive report of their achievement, accountability, and teacher qualifications. This report is compiled for 89 districts that include traditional public schools, locally authorized charter schools, and all state-authorized charter schools. Non-PED schools are exempt from both school grading and School District Report Cards and include private, home, and Bureau of Indian Education schools.

What is contained in this report?

This report provides a concise summary of the LEA and its schools:

LEA Demographic Profile

Accountability

Summaries of School Grades

Cohort Graduation Rates (4, 5, and 6 Year)

Status of Non-Graduates

Achievement

Proficiencies in Reading, Mathematics, and Science NAEP Statewide Summary for Grades 4 and 8

School Board Member Training

Actual Expenditures

Teacher Credentials

Definitions and Abbreviations

<u>LEA</u> Local Educational Authority is a broad term that encompasses districts with multiple schools or independent state-authorized charter schools. Locally authorized charter schools are not LEAs and are reported with their parent district.

Subgroups

ELL: English Language Learners

ED: Economically Disadvantaged as determined by

eligibility for Free or Reduced Price Lunch Program

SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special

education students who are gifted

<u>High/Low Poverty Schools</u> Schools with students most economically disadvantaged (top 25%) and least disadvantaged (bottom 25%).

<u>Recently Arrived</u> These are ELL students new to U.S. schools who qualify for exemption from the reading assessment.

Student Demogr	Student Demographics									
	LEA		State							
	Number	%	Number	%						
All Students	490	100.0	335,793	100.0						
Female	254	51.8	163,876	48.8						
Male	236	48.2	171,917	51.2						
Caucasian	231	47.1	80,432	24.0						
African American	9	1.8	7,739	2.3						
Hispanic	242	49.4	206,856	61.6						
Asian	4	0.8	4,458	1.3						
American Indian	4	0.8	35,655	10.6						
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	653	0.2						
Multiracial	0	0.0	27	0.0						
ED	317	64.7	250,974	74.7						
SWD	84	17.1	54,359	16.2						
ELL	16	3.3	50,179	14.9						
Migrant	0	0.0	538	0.2						
Recently Arrived	16	3.3	50,178	14.9						
	Source: LEA 12	20th-day	submission to th	ne PED						

Accountability - School Grading and Designation

In addition to earning a letter grade, a school may also earn one of three designations that signify the level of required school support and improvement activities:

- *** More Rigorous Interventions (MRI): To be identified as in need of More Rigorous Interventions (MRI), schools have earned 5 or 6 "F" grades in a row and are the four most struggling schools in the State.
- ** Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI): To be identified as in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), the school is in the lowest-performing five percent of Title I schools or is a high school where at least one-third of the students are not graduating on time.
- * Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI): To be identified as in need of Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), one or more subgroups of students (for example, race/ethnicity) are performing significantly lower than the rest of the student population.

A school's designation, if applicable, is noted next to its overall letter grade.

	Overall		Overall
School	Grade	School	Grade
Alvis Elementary School	В	Clayton High School	В
Clayton Junior High School	F	Kiser Elementary School	A

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Grade

This table shows the school- and LEA-level results of statewide assessments administered during the 2017-2018 school year. These assessments include the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment, the New Mexico Alternate Performance Assessment (NMAPA), the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) in Science and Spanish Reading, and the Istation assessment of early literacy skills. In order to protect student privacy, some results have been left blank or reported as a percentage range.

	_	Rea	ading	Math	Mathematics Science		ience		
Grade		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)		
KN	State Current	62	38						
KN	State Prior	60	40						
KN	LEA Current	81	19						
KN	LEA Prior	82	18						
1	State Current	60	40						
1	State Prior	57	43						
1	LEA Current	69	31						
1	LEA Prior	78	22						
2	State Current	68	32						
2	State Prior	66	34						
2	LEA Current	71	29						
2	LEA Prior	83	17						
3	State Current	30	70	32	68				
3	State Prior	27	73	30	70				
3	LEA Current	41	59	59	41				
3	LEA Prior	39	61	58	42				
4	State Current	30	70	26	74	47	53		
4	State Prior	26	74	23	77	40	60		
4	LEA Current	46	54	40	60	52	48		
4	LEA Prior	20	80	33	67	31	69		
5	State Current	31	69	28	72				
5	State Current State Prior	30	70	24	76				
5	LEA Current	47	53	53	47				
5	LEA Prior	23	77	31	69				
Clayton Mu	nicipal Schools			Pa	age 2 of 6	School District Rep	ort Card 2017-2018		

		Rea	iding	Mathe	matics	Scie	nce
Grade		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)
6	State Current	29	71	21	79		
6	State Prior	26	74	20	80		
6	LEA Current	24	76	42	58		
6	LEA Prior	47	53	58	42		
7	State Current	30	70	21	79	43	57
7	State Prior	27	73	17	83	45	55
7	LEA Current	53	47	39	61	53	47
7	LEA Prior	29	71	20	80	26	74
8	State Current	30	70	22	78		
8	State Prior	29	71	21	79		
8	LEA Current	14	86	16	84		
8	LEA Prior	45	55	27	73		
9	State Current	29	71	19	81		
9	State Prior	26	74	17	83		
9	LEA Current	40	60	32	68		
9	LEA Prior	31	69	28	72		
10	State Current	33	67	15	85		
10	State Prior	32	68	15	85		
10	LEA Current	43	57	19	81		
10	LEA Prior	29	71	23	77		
11	State Current	42	58	10	90	27	73
11	State Prior	43	57	9	91	35	65
11	LEA Current	50	50	28	72	40	60
11	LEA Prior	57	43	32	68	45	55
Blanks or	r missing rows indicate to	oo few students to re	oort (N<10)				

		Reading		Mather	natics	Science	
		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)
All Students	State Current	39	61	21	79	38	62
All Students	LEA Current	48	52	36	64	47	53
Female	State Current	44	56	21	79	37	63
Female	LEA Current	55	45	33	67	41	59
Male	State Current	34	66	21	79	39	61
Male	LEA Current	41	59	40	60	53	47
Caucasian	State Current	54	46	35	65	60	40
Caucasian	LEA Current	53	47	44	56	57	43
African American	State Current	36	64	16	84	33	67
African American	LEA Current	30	70				
Hispanic	State Current	34	66	18	82	33	67
Hispanic	LEA Current	44	56	31	69	40	60
Asian	State Current	62	38	50	50	61	39
Asian	LEA Current						
American Indian	State Current	29	71	12	88	21	79
American Indian	LEA Current						
Clayton Municipal Schools				Page 3 of 6	School	District Report Ca	rd 2017-2018

		Reading		Mather	natics	Science		
		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	
Economically Disadvantaged	State Current	33	67	16	84	31	69	
Economically Disadvantaged	LEA Current	42	58	30	70	37	63	
Students w Disabilities	State Current	14	86	7	93	14	86	
Students w Disabilities	LEA Current	21	79	16	84	29	71	
English Language Learners, Current	State Current	21	79	8	92	13	87	
English Language Learners, Current	LEA Current	24	76	≤ 20	≥ 80			

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by School

	Re	ading	Mather	natics	Science		
	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	
Alvis Elementary School	60	40	50	50	52	48	
Clayton High School	45	55	26	74	40	60	
Clayton Junior High School	33	67	28	72	53	47	
Kiser Elementary School	37	63	48	52			
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).					Source: PED /	Accountability Bureau	

Actual Expenditures

Locally authorized charter schools manage their budgets independently of their parent district. For detailed information please contact either the individual school or the PED Budget and Finance Office for the budget analyst assigned to that school. The district summary includes its locally authorized charter schools.

-							
	Amount \$	Percent %					
Capital Outlay	\$4,356,873	40.6					
Central Services	\$165,293	1.5					
Community Services	\$0	0.0					
Debt Service	\$601,963	5.6					
Food Services	\$229,694	2.1					
General Administration	\$375,450	3.5					
Instruction	\$2,761,741	25.7					
Instructional Support Services	\$82,638	0.8					
Operations & Maintenance	\$572,667	5.3					
Other Support Services	\$376	0.0					
School Administration	\$443,293	4.1					
Student Support Services	\$536,662	5.0					
Student Transportation	\$616,007	5.7					
	Source: PED School Budge	Source: PED School Budget and Financial Analysis Bureau					

School Board Training

School district board members must complete a total of five hours of training annually. The annual training requirement varies for new and continuing stateauthorized charter board members, and it must include training in specific topics. Please visit

https://webnew.ped.state.nm.us/bureaus/ac countability/district-report-cards for specific requirements.

Board Men	nber	Met Requirement				
Jennifer Gonza	les (Dillon)	Yes				
John Trujillo		Yes				
Kim Leal		Yes				
Owida Franz		Yes				
Rick Baker		Yes				
	Source: NM School Board Association and PED Options for Parents and Families Division					

Graduation - 4-Year Cohort of 2017

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2017, and graduated on time. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer			
	Students	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
State Current	71	76	68	71	85	61	66	62	68
LEA Current	79	92	79	66			73	83	65
Clayton High School	79	92	79	66			73	83	65
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).						Sourc	e: PED Acc	countability E	Bureau

Graduation - 5-Year Cohort of 2016

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2016, and either graduated on time or required one additional year. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer			
	Students	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Clayton Municipal Schools		Page 4 of 6 School District Report Card 20						7-2018	

State Current	76	80	68	75	87	69	73	70	73
LEA Current	91	94		87			85		
Clayton High School	91	94		87			85		
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).					Source: PED Accountability Bureau				

Graduation - 6-Year Cohort of 2015

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2015, and either graduated on time or required up to two additional years. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
State Current	77	81	72	76	86	73	74	71	75
LEA Current	≥98	96					95		
Clayton High School	≥98	96					95		
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).						So	urce: PED	Accountabili	ty Bureau

Graduation - 4-Year Cohort of 2017, Status of Non-Graduates

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2017, but did not graduate. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students. Percentages do not use the Shared Accountability method of calculation. For details see the Cohort Graduation Rate Technical Manual on the PED website:

https://webnew.ped.state.nm.us/bureaus/accountability/graduation/.

	Certificate	Status Unknown	Exit Out	Still Enrolled
	Completed coursework	Dropped out	Exited with intent to	Continued high school
	but did not	or whereabouts	get GED or	enrollment
	pass exit exam	unknown	vocational credential	past 4th year
	%	%	%	%
State Current	<2	15	4	10
LEA Current	<2	9	9	6
Clayton High School	<2	9	9	6
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).			Sour	ce: PED Accountability Bureau

Teacher Credentials									
				ewide LEA					
				% %					
Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials			C	0.0					
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	High Povert	ty Schools	2	2.6 NA					
core classes not raught by riightly qualified reactions	Low Povert	y Schools	2	2.2 0.0					
NA= Not applicable; LEA did not have schools that qualified as high or low poverty.									
Professsional Qualifications	Number	Highest [Degree*	Core Classes Not Taught by Highly					
	of Teachers	Bachelor's %	Advanced %	Qualified Teachers					
Alvis Elementary	14	50.0	50.0	0.0					
Clayton High	12	50.0	41.7	0.0					
Clayton Junior High	9	55.6	44.4	25.0					
Kiser Elementary	8	25.0	62.5	0.0					
* Does not include Below Bachelors Blank=no data available or not applicable		So	ource: LEA 120th	-day submission to PED					

National Assessment of Educational Progress Statewide Results

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student achievement across states and for the nation as a whole. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district or by school. For further information please visit http://NCES.ED.Gov/NationsReportCard.

NAEP does not replace assessments that annually measure student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students are required to take the standards-based assessments, whereas the NAEP selects representative samples of students and districts. Because not all subject areas or grade levels are tested every year, these statewide results are for the most recent year assessed in that subject area and grade.

Statewide Participation										
	Reading %	Math %	Science %							
4th Grade ELL	95	95	95							
4th Grade SWD*	91	92	93							
8th Grade ELL	91	93	96							
8th Grade SWD*	90	90	92							

^{*} NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities.

4th	Reading (2017)				Math (2017)				Science (2015)			
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %
New Mexico	5	19	29	46	4	23	42	31	#	24	40	37
Nation	9	27	31	33	8	32	39	21	1	36	39	25

8th		Reading	(2017)		Math (2017)			Science (2015)				
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %
New Mexico	2	22	41	34	5	16	37	43	1	20	35	45
Nation	4	31	41	25	10	24	36	31	2	31	34	33

[#] Rounds to zero