Springer Municipal Schools

School Grading Summary

The district grade is determined by average of school grades in the district For a description of status see page	strict.	Grade D
	Total Number	Percent
Schools Rated in District	3	100.0
Schools in Priority Status	0	0.0
Schools in Focus Status	0	0.0
Schools in Strategic Status	1	33.3
Schools in Reward Status	0	0.0

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability Division

What are school grades?

School Grading is part of state and federal law that mandates accountability for all public schools. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) enacted in 1965, which was reauthorized in 2001 as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires schools to show annual improvement in mathematics and reading. In 2011, New Mexico lawmakers enacted additional requirements that schools demonstrate progress through a grading system similar to that applied to students, issuing an A through F letter grade to each school [22-2-1, 22-2-2, and 22-2E-1 to 22-2E-4] [6.19.8.1 NMAC–N, 12-15-11]. Individual school report cards can be found online at http://webapp2.ped.state.nm.us/SchoolData/SchoolGrading.aspx

What are School District Report Cards?

Each LEA under the jurisdiction of the Public Education Department (PED) annually receives a comprehensive report of their achievement, accountability, teacher qualifications, and post-secondary success. This report is compiled for 89 districts that include regular and locally-authorized charter schools, and all state-authorized charter schools. Non-PED schools are exempt from both school grading and School District Report Cards, and include private, home, and Bureau of Indian Education schools.

What is contained in this report?

This report provides a concise summary of the LEA and its schools:

LEA Demographic Profile

Accountability

Summaries of School Grades

Cohort Graduation Rates (4, 5, and 6 Year)

Status of Non-Graduates

Achievement

Proficiencies in Reading, Mathematics, and Science

NAEP Statewide Summary for Grades 4 and 8

School Board Member Training

Budgeted Expenditures

Teacher Credentials

Post-Secondary Achievement (College Going, Credit Accumulation)

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

Definitions and Abbreviations

LEA Local Educational Authority is a broad term that encompasses districts with multiple schools or independent state-authorized charter schools. Locally-authorized charter schools are not LEAs and are reported with their parent district.

Subgroups

Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander

Afr Am: African American

ELL: English Language Learners

ED: Economically Disadvantaged as determined by eligibility for

Free or Reduced Lunch

SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special education

students who are gifted

Q1: The lowest performing 25% (one quarter) of students in

reading or mathematics

Q3: The higher performing 75% (three guarters) of students in

reading or mathematics

High/Low Poverty Schools Schools with students most economically disadvantaged (top 25%), and least disadvantaged (bottom 25%).

Recently Arrived These are ELL students new to U.S. schools who qualify for exemption from the reading assessment.

Student Demographics									
	LEA		State						
	Number	%	Number	%					
All Students	179	100	338,550	100					
Female	89	50	165,208	49					
Male	90	50	173,342	51					
Caucasian	63	35	84,141	25					
African-American	0	0	7,051	2					
Hispanic	116	65	206,859	61					
Asian	0	0	4,338	1					
American Indian	0	0	35,637	11					
Pacific Islander	0	0	524	0					
Multiracial	0	0	5	0					
ED	175	98	242,595	72					
SWD	24	13	49,656	15					
ELL	0	0	50,059	15					
Migrant	0	0	354	0					
Recently Arrived	0	0	16,910	5					

Accountability - School Grading and Status

Status refers to schools that are in some form of improvement that requires increased monitoring and educational enhancement. The improvement categories are

*** Priority Status (5% of schools that are lowest performing)

- ** Focus Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing and with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- * Strategic Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- ^ Reward Status (the top 5% of schools in the state)

A school's status is footnoted next to their overall letter grade, and where blank, means the school is not in any status. Only schools receiving Title I funds are eligible, which in 2015 represented 654 schools.

School	Overall Grade	School	Overall Grade
Forrester Elementary	В *	Springer High	С
Wilferth Elementary	F		

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Grade

The assessments were developed to measure grade-level standards that New Mexico educators and the public determined are important for our students to master. Results include all students enrolled within the LEA or school, regardless of whether for a full academic year or not. Students are assessed in reading and mathematics in grades 3-11, and in science in grades 4, 7, and 11. Note that proficiencies do not include the assessment for grades KN, 1 and 2, in order to be consistent with prior reports.

		Re	ading	Mathe	ematics	Scie	nce
Grade		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)
3	State Current	26.1	73.9	25.6	74.4		
3	State Prior	51.8	48.2	49.4	50.6		
3	LEA Current	27.3	72.7	10.0	90.0		
3	LEA Prior						
4	State Current	23.8	76.2	18.8	81.2	42.5	57.5
4	State Prior	43.8	56.2	42.7	57.3	48.3	51.7
4	LEA Prior	23.5	76.5	11.8	88.2	35.3	64.7
5	State Current	24.1	75.9	20.8	79.2		
5	State Prior	53.2	46.8	43.7	56.3		
5	LEA Current	6.3	93.8	6.3	93.8		
5	LEA Prior	42.9	57.1	7.1	92.9		
6	State Current	22.0	78.0	18.8	81.2		
6	State Prior	43.3	56.7	37.0	63.0		
6	LEA Current	12.5	87.5	12.5	87.5		
6	LEA Prior	58.8	41.2	37.5	62.5		
7	State Current	21.4	78.6	15.5	84.5	40.0	60.0
7	State Prior	51.5	48.5	39.8	60.2	42.2	57.8
7	LEA Current	20.0	80.0	10.0	90.0	36.4	63.6
7	LEA Prior	50.0	50.0	16.7	83.3	41.7	58.3
8	State Current	22.9	77.1	17.2	82.8		
8	State Prior	58.7	41.3	40.0	60.0		
8	LEA Current	10.0	90.0	10.0	90.0		
8	LEA Prior	78.6	21.4	42.9	57.1		
9	State Current	26.7	73.3	16.0	84.0		
9	LEA Current	10.0	90.0	30.0	70.0		
10	State Current	31.0	69.0	12.4	87.6		
10	State Prior	37.7	62.3	30.4	69.6		
10	LEA Current	53.8	46.2	23.1	76.9		
10	LEA Prior	33.3	66.7	33.3	66.7		
11	State Current	44.4	55.6	10.0	90.0	36.2	63.8
11	State Prior	51.8	48.2	42.8	57.2	41.3	58.7
11	LEA Current			20.0	80.0	70.0	30.0
11	LEA Prior	62.5	37.5	43.8	56.3	37.5	62.5

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Subgroup									
	Reading	Mathematics	Science						
	Not	Not	Not						
Springer Municipal Schools		Page 2 of 5 Scho	ol District Report Card 2014-2015						

		Proficient	Proficient	Proficient	Proficient	Proficient	Proficient
		(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
All Students	LEA Current	20.0	80.0	12.0	88.0	50.0	50.0
All Students	State Current	26.6	73.4	17.6	82.4	39.8	60.2
Female	LEA Current	20.5	79.5	9.1	90.9	40.0	60.0
Female	State Current	31.7	68.3	17.7	82.3	38.4	61.6
Male	State Current	21.7	78.3	17.6	82.4	41.1	58.9
Male	LEA Current	19.6	80.4	14.3	85.7	56.3	43.8
Caucasian	State Current	42.5	57.5	29.9	70.1	61.0	39.0
Caucasian	LEA Current	32.3	67.7	20.0	80.0	46.2	53.8
African American	State Current	24.5	75.5	13.9	86.1	36.9	63.1
Hispanic	State Current	21.8	78.2	13.7	86.3	33.7	66.3
Hispanic	LEA Current	14.5	85.5	8.6	91.4	53.8	46.2
Asian	State Current	53.8	46.2	45.6	54.4	65.1	34.9
American Indian	State Current	13.9	86.1	9.0	91.0	20.6	79.4
Economically Disadvantaged	LEA Current	20.4	79.6	12.2	87.8	52.0	48.0
Economically Disadvantaged	State Current	19.0	81.0	12.4	87.6	31.1	68.9
Students w Disabilities	State Current	6.6	93.4	6.4	93.6	15.0	85.0
English Language Learners	State Current	7.1	92.9	5.3	94.7	10.2	89.8
Migrant	State Current	11.3	88.7	4.7	95.3	10.6	89.4
Q1	State Current	2.9	97.1	0.8	99.2	1.3	98.7
Q1	LEA Current	2.9	97.1	3.7	96.3		
Q3	LEA Current	28.8	71.2	16.4	83.6	65.0	35.0
Q3	State Current	35.5	64.5	23.6	76.4	53.5	46.5

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by School

•	Re	ading	Mather	natics	Scier	ice
	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)
Springer High	25.0	75.0	18.9	81.1	50.0	50.0
Wilferth Elementary	14.6	85.4	4.3	95.7		
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10). Schools without tested grad	des (i.e. kindergarten	only) will not have data.			Source: PED Data Planning	g and Analysis Bureau

Budgeted Expenditures

Locally-authorized charter schools manage their budgets independently of their parent district. For detailed information please contact either the individual school or the PED Budget and Finance Office for the budget analyst assigned to that school. The district summary includes their locally authorized charter schools.

<u> </u>		
	Amount	Percent
	\$	%
Capital Outlay	\$388,385	10.3
Central Services	\$94,974	2.5
Community Services		
Debt Service	\$240,556	6.4
Food Services	\$127,335	3.4
General Administration	\$178,147	4.7
Instruction	\$1,651,523	43.8
Instruction Support Services	\$14,628	0.4
Operations & Maintenance	\$389,073	10.3
Other Support Services	\$3,459	0.1
School Administration	\$210,116	5.6
Student Support Services	\$331,968	8.8
Student Transportation	\$143,547	3.8
	Source: The PED School Bud	dget and Financial Analysis Bureau

School Board Training

School board members must accumulate five points during the year by attending specific training. These figures do not reflect additional training that board members may have received.

Board Member	Number of Points
Gerald Ward	2
Joe Apodaca	15
Linda Baca	2
Monica Burton	20
Raughn Ramirez	22
Robert Tafoya	27
Ronnie Gurule	16
	Source: NM School Board Association

Graduation - 4 Year Cohort of 2014

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2014 and graduated on time. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

All		Afr			Amer			
Students	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Springer Municipal Schools Page 3 of 5 School District Report Card 2014-2015

Statewide	69.3	75.7	64.3	67.6	85.4	61.7	63.0	57.4	64.5
Districtwide	88.4	79.6					93.7		
Springer High	88.4	79.6					93.7		
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)					Sou	rce: PED Da	ata Plannino	and Analy	sis Rureau

Graduation - 5 Year Cohort of 2013

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2013 and either graduated on time, or required one additional year. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
Statewide	71.4	78.3	69.1	69.1	87.4	65.4	66.1	61.6	66.7
Districtwide	95.9			93.0			95.9		
Springer High	95.9			93.0			95.9		
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)					Sou	rce: PED Da	ta Planning	and Analys	sis Bureau

Graduation - 6 Year Cohort of 2012

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2012 and either graduated on time, or required up to two additional years. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
Statewide	74.4	80.1	71.9	72.1	87.9	70.8	70.0	63.6	71.4
Districtwide	92.0	85.3		>98.0			92.0		
Springer High	92.0	85.3		>98.0			92.0		
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)					Sou	rce: PED Da	ita Planning	and Analys	is Bureau

Graduation - 4 Year Cohort of 2014, Status of Non Graduates

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2014 but did not graduate. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students. Percentages do not use the Shared Accountability method of calculation. For details see the Graduation Technical Guide on the PED website: http://ped.state.nm.us/ped/Graduation_guides.html

	Certificate	Status Unknown	Exit Out	Still Enrolled
	Completed coursework but did not pass exit exam %	Dropped out or whereabouts unknown %	Exit with intent to get GED or vocational credential %	Continuing high school enrollment past 4th year %
wide	0.2	21.9	6.2	9.5
twide	0.0	15.8	0.0	0.0
r High	0.0	15.8	0.0	0.0
icate too few students to report (N<10)			Source: PED Data	Planning and Analysis Bureau

College Going and College Credit Accumulation

These figures represent students who graduated in 2013 (College Going) and 2011 (Credits Earned) and were tracked for post-secondary education both inside and outside the state.

Eligible Students earning a regular high school diploma.

Enrolled Students who enrolled in an institution of higher education within 16 months of earning a regular high school diploma.

Credits Earned Students who enrolled and earned one year of college credit within two years of enrollment.

		All Students N	Cauc N	Afr Amer N	Hisp N	Asian N	Amer Indian N	ED N	SWD N	ELL N
Springer High	Eligible	13						13		
Springer High	Enrolled, In-State									
Springer High	Enrolled, Out-of-State									
Springer High	Credits Earned									
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)							Source: N	ational St	tudent Clear	inghouse

Teacher Credentials		
	Statewide %	LEA %
Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	.3	.0

Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	High Povert	y Schools	3	.6 .0
Core classes Not raught by highly Qualified reachers	Low Povert	y Schools	N	IA NA
NA= Not applicable; LEA did not have schools that qualified as h	nigh or low pove	rty		
Professsional Qualifications	Number of	Highest I	Degree*	Core Classes Not Taught by Highly
	Teachers	Bachelor's	Advanced	Qualified Teachers
Forrester Elementary	6	83.3	0	0
Springer High	8	25	25	0
Wilferth Elementary	5	80	0	0
* Does not include Below Bachelors				
Blank=no data available, or not applicable		Sou	rce: LEA 120th	day submission to PED

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

- Q1 My child is safe at school.
- Q2 My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3 My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4 School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5 The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6 School staff maintain consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7 My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8 My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9 The school staff employ various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10 My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	Cum and	Agree and Strongly Agree (% of Responder							ndents))	
	Count	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10
Districtwide	51	82	72	73	80	73	60	52	73	70	96
Forrester Elementary	14	80	50	70	71	91	58	40	92	69	85
Springer High	19	76	92	71	76	71	50	50	57	64	100
Wilferth Elementary	15	100	71	86	93	61	91	60	73	78	100
				Sou	rce: PED	anonym	ous surv	ey collect	ed from	parents	annually

National Assessment of Educational Progress Statewide Results

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student achievement across states and for the nation as a whole. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district or by school. For further information please visit http://NCES.ED.Gov/NationsReportCard.

NAEP does not replace assessments which annually measure student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students are required to take the standards based assessments, whereas the NAEP selects representative samples of students and districts. Because not all subject areas or grade levels are tested every year, these statewide results are for the most recent year assessed in that subject area and grade.

Statewide Participation											
	Reading %	Math %	Science %								
4th Grade ELL	91	95	94 (2009)								
4th Grade SWD*	93	88	86 (2009)								
8th Grade ELL	92	95	93 (2011)								
8th Grade SWD*	89	90	87 (2011)								
* NAEP does not acco	mmodate	students	with severe								

NAEP does not accommodate students with sever disabilities

Participation in NAEP is not mandatory

4th	Reading (2015)				Reading (2015) Math (2015)						Scienc	e (2009)	
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	
New Mexico	4	19	31	46	3	24	47	27	#	24	39	37	
Nation	8	27	33	32	7	32	42	19	1	32	39	29	

8th	Reading (2015)				Reading (2015) Math (2015)						Scienc	e (2011)	
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	
New Mexico	1	19	45	35	3	17	41	39	1	22	35	43	
Nation	3	29	42	25	8	24	38	30	2	29	34	36	

^{*} Basic is most comparable to Proficient on New Mexico's Standards Based Assessment

Rounds to zero

Blanks indicate too few students to report