Printed 11/21/2011

# **Logan Municipal Schools**

# Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Summary Logan Municipal Schools AYP Rating Not Met Improvement Status Progressing Schools rated in the district Schools in Improvement O Schools in Corrective Action Schools in Restructuring 0

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability

### What is Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?

Every school and district in the state must meet annual academic proficiency targets in mathematics and reading in order to be considered on track for 100% proficiency by school year 2013-14. AYP is part of state and federal statute. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 2001 says that each state shall establish a timeline for adequate yearly progress. The timeline shall ensure that no later than 12 years after the 2001-2002 school year all students in each group described in the law will meet or exceed the state's proficient level of academic achievement. New Mexico Statute states that "the Department shall measure the performance of every public school in New Mexico," (§ 22-2C-3, D)

AYP determinations result from assessments that are administered at the end of the prior school year. Therefore this report summarizes AYP findings that apply to 2011-2012, but that were derived from the achievement of students in the 2010-2011 school year.

# What do schools have to do in order to meet AYP? Schools need to:

- a) Achieve a 95% participation rate on state assessments
- b) Reach targets for proficiency or reduce non-proficiency
- c) Reach targets for attendance in elementary and middle schools and graduation for high schools.

### Who has to meet AYP?

Subgroups of 25 or more students within each school, district, and the state must meet AYP. The subgroups include Caucasian, African American, Asian/Pacific Islander, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native, Economically Disadvantaged (ED), Students with Disabilities (SWD), and English Language Learners (ELL).

### **Definitions and Abbreviations**

- Am Indian: American Indian or Alaskan Native
- Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander
- Afr Am: African American
- ELL: English language learner; assessments include students who exited ELL status the first and second years

- ED: Free or Reduced Lunch; qualifies the student as Economically Disadvantaged
- SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special education students who are gifted
- High Poverty Schools: Schools with the most students eligible for FRL (top 25%)
- Low Poverty Schools: Schools with the fewest students eligible for FRL (bottom 25%)
- LEA: Local Education Agency which refers to either a district or a charter school

Improvement Status refers to school or district augmentations that are mandated by AYP. Each successive designation carries greater requirements for school or district monitoring, parent choice, and educational enhancement:

- SI-1 = School Improvement 1
- SI-2 = School Improvement 2
- CA = Corrective Action
- R-1 = Restructuring 1
- R-2 = Restructuring 2

The word "delay" in any status means entity made AYP the first of the two years required to return to Progressing.

Student Demographics				
	LE	A	State	
	N	%	N	%
All Students	276	100	334,700	100
Female	142	51	163,202	49
Male	134	49	171,498	51
Caucasian	193	70	88,508	26
Afr Am	3	< 2	7,765	2
Hispanic	78	28	198,850	59
Asian	0	0	4,463	< 2
Am Indian	1	< 2	34,861	10
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1	< 2	253	< 2
SWD	48	17	47,480	14
ELL	0	0	53,815	16
Recently Arrived *	0	0	40	< 2
ED	160	58	228,186	68
Migrant	0	0	452	< 2

Source: LEA's 120th day submission to the NMPED STARS. \* ELL Students new to the U.S. who qualified for exemption from reading assessment.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AY	P) for Scho	ols within LE	A			
School	AYP Rating	Improvement Status		School	AYP Rating	Improvement Status
Logan Elementary	Met	Progressing		Logan High	Met	Progressing
Logan Middle	Not Met	Progressing				

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability

AYP is based on students who have attended a school for a full academic year. Three academic indicators are utilized: 1) mathematics, 2) reading, and 3) either attendance (elementary and middle schools), or graduation (high schools). Results are not reported for subgroups with fewer than 10 students (indicated by a blank).

Adequate Yearly Progre	ess (AYP) for Subgrou	ıps w	ithin LEA								
	Academic Indicator	Goal	All	Cauc.	Afr	Hispanic	Asian	Am	ED	ELL	SWE
		%	Students %	%	Am %	%	%	Indian %	%	%	%
LEA	Reading Proficiency*	75	71	81		46			60		
Logan Elementary	Reading Proficiency*	77	82	87					74		
Logan High	Reading Proficiency*	75	62	80					50		
Logan Middle	Reading Proficiency*	72	65	73		45			48		
LEA	Reading Participation	95	99	100					100		
Logan Elementary	Reading Participation	95	100	100							
Logan High	Reading Participation	95									
Logan Middle	Reading Participation	95	97								
LEA	Math Proficiency*	66	60	67		42			53		
Logan Elementary	Math Proficiency*	68	85	84					78		
Logan High	Math Proficiency*	66	57	73					50		
Logan Middle	Math Proficiency*	63	35	42		18			24		
LEA	Math Participation	95	99	100					100		
Logan Elementary	Math Participation	95	100	100							
Logan High	Math Participation	95									
Logan Middle	Math Participation	95	97								
Logan Elementary	Attendance Rate	92	95	96		93			95		94
Logan Middle	Attendance Rate	92	94	94		95			94		
All Students in Grade 12	Graduation Rate	65	94	96					92		
Logan High	Graduation Rate	65	94	96					92		

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; Full Academic Year only;

Blank = Too few or no students to report

<sup>\* %</sup> of students scoring Proficient or Above;

Proficiencies by Grade S	pan for Subgroups ·	- All¹ -	withi	n LEA								
	Academic Indicator	Goal	AII %	Cauc.	Afr Am %	Hispanic	Asian	Am Indian %	ED %	ELL %	SWD %	Migrant %
District Wide-Grades 3, 4 & 5	Reading Proficiency*	75	80	81		75			75			
District Wide-Grades 6, 7 & 8	Reading Proficiency*	75	64	74		43			50			
District Wide-Grades 11	Reading Proficiency*	75	58	72					50			
District Wide-Grades 3, 4 & 5	Math Proficiency*	66	83	81		92			78			
District Wide-Grades 6, 7 & 8	Math Proficiency*	66	40	48		21			31			
District Wide-Grades 11	Math Proficiency*	66	62	78					56			

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; <sup>1</sup>All students tested – not limited to Full Academic Year;

<sup>\* %</sup> of students scoring Proficient or Above; Blank = Too few or no students to report

Expenditures		
	Expenditure (\$)	%
Direct Instruction	1,552,672	55
Instructional Support	1,236,639	44
Students	202,257	7
Instruction	53,864	2
General Administration	206,304	7
School Administration	100,301	4
Central Services	222,774	8
Operations Maintenance	445,221	16
Student Transportation	0	<1
Other	5,918	<1
Non-Instructional Support	24,499	1
Food Services	24,499	1
Community Services	0	<1
Capital Outlay	0	<1
Total	2,813,810	100

School Board Training	
Board Member	Number of Points*
Bill Cone	7
Clint Usrey	7
Lynn Birch	16
Tom Bruhn	8
Tom Humble	11

Source: New Mexico School Board Association
\* Board members must accumulate five points during
the year by attending specific training; does not reflect
additional training that board members may have
received.

### State Assessment Results (district results exclude charter schools)

Students are assessed in reading, mathematics and science in grades 3-8 and 11 by the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) and the NM Alternative Proficiency Assessment (NMAPA) for students with significant disabilities. These assessments were developed to measure the NM standards and benchmarks that educators and the public determined are important for our students to master. The assessment results are for all students enrolled and present during testing in March 2011. District summaries do not include students from charter schools, which are reported separately. Results for groups with fewer than 10 students are not reported to meet confidentiality requirements. Percents may not add to 100, due to rounding.

Page 3

Source: Projected expenditures reported to NMPED School Budget Office.

Proficien	cies	for Su				1 - \	withi	n LE	Α		A 1 1						001	ENO	_		
				ADIN t Each		iencv	l evel				ATH t Each	Profic	iencv	l evel				ENC t Each		iencv	Level
3rd Grade	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
State Current	100	25,771	6	47	25	22	0	100	25,768	4	48	35	13	0							
State Prior	100	25,674	8	49	27	16	0	100	25,677	10	48	38	4	0	100	25,663	10	73	16	1	0
LEA Current	100	17	6	76	12	6	0	100	17	6	82	12	0	0							
LEA Prior	100	20	5	75	20	0	0	100	20	15	85	0	0	0	100	20	45	55	0	0	0
Female		9							9												
Male		8							8												
Caucasian	100	12	0	83	8	8	0	100	12	8	75	17	0	0							
Afr Am		0							0							0					
Hispanic		5							5												
Asian		0							0							0					
Am Indian		0							0							0					
ELL		0							0							0					
ED		9							9												
SWD		5							5												

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; ¹All students tested – not limited to Full Academic Year;

Blank = Too few or no students to report

Proficien	cies	for Su	ıbgr	oups	- A	1 - \	withi	n LE	Α												
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	ATH						SCI	ENC	Ε		
	F	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	Т	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	Р	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level
4th Grade	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	articipating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
State Current	100	25,808	7	40	40	14	0	100	25,817	9	36	37	19	0	100	25,800	5	42	33	20	0
State Prior	100	25,267	9	43	35	14	0	100	25,278	9	36	47	7	0	100	25,263	2	48	46	4	0
LEA Current	100	21	10	71	14	5	0	100	21	19	71	10	0	0	100	21	14	67	19	0	0
LEA Prior	100	15	13	80	7	0	0	100	15	13	80	7	0	0	100	15	33	60	7	0	0
Female	100	10	10	90	0	0	0	100	10	20	70	10	0	0	100	10	10	80	10	0	0
Male	100	11	9	55	27	9	0	100	11	18	73	9	0	0	100	11	18	55	27	0	0
Caucasian	100	15	7	80	13	0	0	100	15	20	73	7	0	0	100	15	13	80	7	0	0
Afr Am		0							0							0					
Hispanic		6							6							6					
Asian		0							0							0					
Am Indian		0							0							0					
ELL		0							0							0					
ED	100	15	13	67	13	7	0	100	15	13	73	13	0	0	100	15	7	67	27	0	0
SWD		1							1							1					

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; ¹All students tested – not limited to Full Academic Year;

Blank = Too few or no students to report

Proficien	cies	for Su	ıbgr	oups	- Al	1 <b>-</b> \	withi	n LE	Α												
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	ATH						SCI	ENC	E		
	F	Z	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	F	Z	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	П	Z	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level
5th Grade	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
State Current	100	25,481	9	43	36	12	0	100	25,481	9	33	39	19	0							
State Prior	100	25,322	14	45	33	8	0	100	25,345	11	34	45	9	0	100	25,332	4	48	43	4	0
LEA Current	100	16	25	50	19	6	0	100	16	12	56	31	0	0							
LEA Prior	100	13	15	54	31	0	0	100	13	23	46	31	0	0	100	13	8	54	38	0	0
Female		6							6												
Male	100	10	20	40	30	10	0	100	10	20	40	40	0	0							
Caucasian	100	15	27	47	20	7	0	100	15	13	53	33	0	0							
Afr Am		0							0							0					
Hispanic		1							1												
Asian		0							0							0					
Am Indian		0							0							0					
ELL		0							0							0					
ED	100	12	17	50	25	8	0	100	12	8	50	42	0	0							
SWD		1							1												

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; ¹All students tested – not limited to Full Academic Year; Blank = Too few or no students to report

Proficien	cies	for Su	bgr	oups	- Al	1 - \	withi	n LE	Α												
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	ATH						SCI	ENC	Е		
	F	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	П	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	Т	7	% a	t Each	Profic	ciency	Level
6th Grade	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
State Current	100	25,026	9	39	36	16	0	100	25,019	5	32	41	22	0							
State Prior	100	24,278	4	35	48	12	0	100	24,276	8	27	55	10	0	100	24,274	2	28	57	12	0
LEA Current	100	10	0	60	40	0	0	100	10	0	40	30	30	0							
LEA Prior	100	16	0	25	75	0	0	100	16	0	38	56	6	0	100	16	0	44	56	0	0
Female		5							5												
Male		5							5												
Caucasian		8							8												
Afr Am		0							0							0					
Hispanic		2							2												
Asian		0							0							0					
Am Indian		0							0							0					
ELL		0							0							0					
ED		5							5												
SWD		0							0							0					

Page 5

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; ¹All students tested – not limited to Full Academic Year; Blank = Too few or no students to report

Proficienc	cies	for Su	ıbgr	oups	- Al	1 - \	withi	n LE	Α												
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	<b>ATH</b>						SCI	ENC			
	70	z	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	ъ	z	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	Р	z	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level
7th Grade	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
State Current	100	24,366	6	42	36	16	0	100	24,375	5	33	38	24	0	99	24,362	9	31	35	25	1
State Prior	100	24,156	8	42	40	10	0	100	24,159	9	24	50	16	0	100	24,152	3	36	49	10	0
LEA Current	100	18	6	61	28	6	0	100	18	0	44	44	11	0	100	18	17	44	28	11	0
LEA Prior	100	14	14	57	29	0	0	100	14	0	29	57	14	0	100	14	0	50	43	7	0
Female		9							9							9					
Male		9							9							9					
Caucasian	100	13	8	69	23	0	0	100	13	0	54	38	8	0	100	13	23	54	15	8	0
Afr Am		0							0							0					
Hispanic		5							5							5					
Asian		0							0							0					
Am Indian		0							0							0					
ELL		0							0							0					
ED	100	10	0	50	40	10	0	100	10	0	30	50	20	0	100	10	20	30	30	20	0
SWD		0							0							0					

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; ¹All students tested – not limited to Full Academic Year; Blank = Too few or no students to report

Proficien	cies	for Su	ıbgr	oups	5 - Al	1 - \	withi	n LE	Α												
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	ATH						SCI	ENC	Ε		
	F	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	Р	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	Р	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level
8th Grade	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	articipating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	articipating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
State Current	100	24,055	7	47	29	17	0	100	24,058	4	36	43	16	0		1					
State Prior	100	23,689	6	54	30	9	0	100	23,693	8	32	53	7	0	99	23,688	1	28	58	13	1
LEA Current	94	17	12	53	29	0	6	94	17	0	35	41	18	6							
LEA Prior	100	21	0	71	24	5	0	100	21	5	38	57	0	0	100	21	0	29	67	5	0
Female	90	10	20	40	30	0	10	90	10	0	30	40	20	10							
Male		7							7												
Caucasian	100	10	10	60	30	0	0	100	10	0	40	40	20	0							
Afr Am		0							0							0					
Hispanic		7							7												
Asian		0							0							0					
Am Indian		0							0							0					
ELL		0							0							0					
ED	100	11	9	45	45	0	0	100	11	0	27	45	27	0							
SWD		1							1												

Page 6

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; ¹All students tested – not limited to Full Academic Year; Blank = Too few or no students to report

Proficience	cies	for Su		oups \DIN		hin I	LEA			M	ATH						SCI	ENC	E		
	ŀ	7	% at	Each	Profic	iency	Level	J	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	ŀ	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level
11th Grade	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
State Current	99	20,737	10	38	38	14	1	99	20,733	6	32	49	12	1	98	20,726	4	35	42	17	2
State Prior	99	20,199	8	46	34	10	1	99	20,190	10	26	37	25	1	98	20,184	1	32	56	9	2
LEA Current	100	26	12	46	38	4	0	100	26	15	46	35	4	0	96	26	12	35	42	8	4
LEA Prior	100	19	5	47	37	11	0	100	19	0	16	42	42	0	100	19	0	32	47	21	0
Female	100	12	17	42	42	0	0	100	12	8	50	42	0	0	100	12	8	33	58	0	0
Male	100	14	7	50	36	7	0	100	14	21	43	29	7	0	93	14	14	36	29	14	7
Caucasian	100	18	17	56	28	0	0	100	18	22	56	22	0	0	94	18	17	44	33	0	6
Afr Am		0							0							0					
Hispanic		8							8							8					
Asian		0							0							0					
Am Indian		0							0							0					
ELL		0							0							0					
ED	100	16	6	44	44	6	0	100	16	19	38	38	6	0	94	16	12	25	44	12	6
SWD		1							1							1					

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability; All students tested, not limited to Full Academic Year Blanks = Too few students to report

Page 7

Graduation - High Sc	hool 4	-Ye	ar Co	ho	rt Grad	dua	ition v	vith	in L	EΑ	\											
Group	Ì	<u> </u>	Female		Male		Caucasian		2	<b>&gt;</b>	Hispanic		Asidii	2.	Am Ind		ELL		SWD	!	ED	1
	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATE	26,490	67	12,895	72	13,595	63	7,863	76	616	62	14,394	64	351	84	3,266	60	9,271	61	5,209	66	14,089	61
LEA	22	94	11	94	11	95	14	96			8						1		3		13	92
Logan High	22	94	11	94	11	95	14	96			8						1		3		13	92

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability. Class of 2010; Aall students ever enrolled including part time. Blanks = Too few or no students to report

Graduation - High Sc	hool 5	-Ye	ear Col	ho	rt Grad	dua	ation w	vith	in L	EΑ	\											
Group	Ì	<u> </u>	Female		Male		Caucasian		71 7110	÷ >	Hispanic		Asian	<b>&gt;</b>	Am Ind		ELL		SWD	!	ED	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATE	27,290	70	13,270	74	14,020	68	8,440	78	744	68	14,137	67	340	85	3,629	64	9,820	68	5,530	68	13,486	65
LEA	32	72	20	81	12	54	22	76			10	61					1		4		21	68
Logan High	32	71	20	81	12	54	22	76			10	61					1		4		21	68

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability. Class of 2009; All students ever enrolled including part time. Blanks = Too few or no students to report

Graduation - High School Non-Grad	duates wit	hin LEA						
Group	Certif	icate	Status U	nkown	Exit C	Out	Still En	olled
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATE	310	<2	5,489	21	1,734	6	1,334	5
LEA								
Logan High						•		

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability; Class of 2010; All students ever enrolled including part time; Blank = Too few or no students to report; Certificate: completed course work but did not pass exit exam; Status Unknown: dropped out or whereabouts unknown; Exit Out: exited with intent to get GED or other vocational credential; Still Enrolled: continuing high school enrollment past 4th year

Teacher Quality, Credentials		
	Emergency/Provisional Credentials %	Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers %
STATE	0	0.7
LEA	0	0.0
High Poverty Schools	N/A	N/A
Low Poverty Schools	0	<0.1
Logan Elementary	0	0.0
Logan High	0	0.0
Logan Middle	0	0.0

Source: LEA's 120th day submission to NMPED;

Blank = No data available

Teacher Quality, Level of Educ	cation		
		Highest	Degree
	Number of Teachers	Bachelors	Advanced
	or reachers	%	Degrees %
STATE	22,031	56	42
LEA	21	33	57
Logan Elementary	11	27	54
Logan High	14	36	64
Logan Middle	11	46	54

Source: LEA's 120th day submission to NMPED Teacher totals may differ because of district assignments

# **Quality of Education Survey**

### Questions:

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

Q		Total N	Strongly Agree %	Agree %	Disagree %	Strongly Disagree %	Do Not Know %	No Opinion %
1	STATE	90,980	36.5	54.3	3.7	1.3	3.2	1.1
2	STATE	91,126	33.0	52.8	7.6	2.6	3.1	0.9
3	STATE	91,050	36.9	52.2	5.5	1.4	3.1	0.9
4	STATE	91,192	35.9	50.8	7.7	2.0	2.1	1.5
5	STATE	91,185	31.0	50.9	6.0	1.9	9.2	1.1
6	STATE	91,109	31.7	53.0	6.8	2.5	4.7	1.2
7	STATE	91,168	25.3	48.5	12.8	3.7	7.7	2.0
8	STATE	91,172	44.5	46.6	5.2	1.7	1.2	0.9
9	STATE	91,082	32.3	53.3	5.9	1.8	5.4	1.3
10	STATE	91,006	38.5	51.3	6.2	1.4	1.4	1.2
1	LEA	83	63.9	33.7	1.2	0.0	0.0	1.2
2	LEA	83	69.9	27.7	1.2	1.2	0.0	0.0
3	LEA	83	74.7	22.9	1.2	0.0	0.0	1.2
4	LEA	83	60.2	38.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	LEA	83	61.4	31.3	1.2	1.2	4.8	0.0
6	LEA	83	55.4	34.9	4.8	1.2	2.4	1.2
7	LEA	83	48.2	39.8	3.6	4.8	1.2	2.4
8	LEA	83	72.3	26.5	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0
9	LEA	83	57.8	38.6	2.4	0.0	1.2	0.0
10	LEA	83	48.2	48.2	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	Logan Elementary	75	62.7	34.7	1.3	0.0	0.0	1.3
2	Logan Elementary	75	69.3	29.3	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0
3	Logan Elementary	75	74.7	22.7	1.3	0.0	0.0	1.3

## Questions:

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

Q		Total N	Strongly Agree %	Agree %	Disagree %	Strongly Disagree %	Do Not Know %	No Opinion %
4	Logan Elementary	75	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	Logan Elementary	75	61.3	32.0	1.3	0.0	5.3	0.0
6	Logan Elementary	75	54.7	37.3	2.7	1.3	2.7	1.3
7	Logan Elementary	75	48.0	40.0	2.7	5.3	1.3	2.7
8	Logan Elementary	75	74.7	25.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	Logan Elementary	75	58.7	38.7	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	Logan Elementary	75	48.0	49.3	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	Logan High	8	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	Logan High	8	75.0	12.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	Logan High	8	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	Logan High	8	62.5	25.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	Logan High	8	62.5	25.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
6	Logan High	8	62.5	12.5	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	Logan High	8	50.0	37.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	Logan High	8	50.0	37.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
9	Logan High	8	50.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
10	Logan High	8	50.0	37.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Logan Middle							

Source: NMPED online anonymous parent survey

Blanks = No data available

## Statewide Results for the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student performance across states and for the nation as a whole. NAEP does not replace the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) that students take every year, which measures student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students in New Mexico are required to take the SBA, while the NAEP selects representative samples of students. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district, much like a political poll does not sample and report by neighborhood. For further information, visit the NAEP web site at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard.

NAEP assessments have been given in subjects such as reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, geography, the arts, and others. Reading and mathematics are assessed every two years at grades 4 and 8. Science is assessed every four years. Assessment year is the most recent available at the time of this report

4th		Reading (	2009)			Math (20	009)			Science (2	2009)	
Grade	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)
Nation	7	24	34	34	6	33	43	19	1	32	71	29
New Mexico	4	16	32	48	3	23	46	28	0	24	63	37
ED	1	11	31	57	1	16	46	36	0	15	55	45
ELL	#	3	13	84	#	5	36	60	#	2	22	78
SWD	1	4	12	83	#	9	41	50	#	13	43	57
Am Indian	1	9	24	66	#	13	43	43	#	8	44	56
Asian	12	27	32	29								
Hispanic	1	12	32	55	1	16	48	34	0	15	55	45
Af Amer	1	13	36	50	2	18	48	33	#	16	56	44
Caucasian	9	26	36	30	7	40	42	12	1	48	86	14
8th		Reading	(2009)			Math (2	009)			Science (	2009)	
Grade	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)
Nation	2	28	43	26	7	25	39	29	1	29	62	38
New Mexico	1	20	44	34	3	17	39	41	1	21	55	45
ED	1	14	43	43	1	10	38	50	0	13	43	57
ELL	#	1	13	87	#	2	18	80	#	1	13	87
SWD	#	4	21	75	#	5	17	77	0	9	23	77
Am Indian	1	11	38	50	1	9	36	54	0	10	36	64
Asian												
Hispanic	#	14	44	42	1	10	39	50	0	14	44	56
Af Amer	1	15	40	44	2	11	42	45				
Caucasian	4	34	46	16	7	32	41	19	2	39	81	19

<sup>\*</sup> Information at http://nationsreportcard.gov/

Basic1 is most comparable to Proficient on New Mexico's Standards Based Assessment

Blank = Too few or no students to report; # Rounds to zero

	in the National Assessme		_ ` _ `
	Reading (2009) %	Math (2009) %	Science (2009) %
4th Grade ELL	73	96	94
4th Grade SWD**	64	85	86
8th Grade ELL	82	94	91
8th Grade SWD**	64	78	77

Page 11

<sup>\*</sup> Information at http://nationsreportcard.gov/

<sup>\*\*</sup> NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities; participation is not mandatory