Printed 11/21/2011

Taos Integrated School for the Arts Charter

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Summary Taos Integrated School for the Arts Charter

AYP Rating Not Met
Improvement Status Progressing

Schools rated in the district	1
Schools in Improvement	0
Schools in Corrective Action	0
Schools in Restructuring	0

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability

What is Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?

Every school and district in the state must meet annual academic proficiency targets in mathematics and reading in order to be considered on track for 100% proficiency by school year 2013-14. AYP is part of state and federal statute. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 2001 says that each state shall establish a timeline for adequate yearly progress. The timeline shall ensure that no later than 12 years after the 2001-2002 school year all students in each group described in the law will meet or exceed the state's proficient level of academic achievement. New Mexico Statute states that "the Department shall measure the performance of every public school in New Mexico," (§ 22-2C-3, D)

AYP determinations result from assessments that are administered at the end of the prior school year. Therefore this report summarizes AYP findings that apply to 2011-2012, but that were derived from the achievement of students in the 2010-2011 school year.

What do schools have to do in order to meet AYP? Schools need to:

- a) Achieve a 95% participation rate on state assessments
- b) Reach targets for proficiency or reduce non-proficiency
- c) Reach targets for attendance in elementary and middle schools and graduation for high schools.

Who has to meet AYP?

Subgroups of 25 or more students within each school, district, and the state must meet AYP. The subgroups include Caucasian, African American, Asian/Pacific Islander, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native, Economically Disadvantaged (ED), Students with Disabilities (SWD), and English Language Learners (ELL).

Definitions and Abbreviations

- Am Indian: American Indian or Alaskan Native
- Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander
- Afr Am: African American
- ELL: English language learner; assessments include students who exited ELL status the first and second years

- ED: Free or Reduced Lunch; qualifies the student as Economically Disadvantaged
- SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special education students who are gifted
- High Poverty Schools: Schools with the most students eligible for FRL (top 25%)
- Low Poverty Schools: Schools with the fewest students eligible for FRL (bottom 25%)
- LEA: Local Education Agency which refers to either a district or a charter school

Improvement Status refers to school or district augmentations that are mandated by AYP. Each successive designation carries greater requirements for school or district monitoring, parent choice, and educational enhancement:

- SI-1 = School Improvement 1
- SI-2 = School Improvement 2
- CA = Corrective Action
- R-1 = Restructuring 1
- R-2 = Restructuring 2

The word "delay" in any status means entity made AYP the first of the two years required to return to Progressing.

Student Demographics				
	LE	Α	State	
	N	%	N	%
All Students	90	100	334,700	100
Female	38	42	163,202	49
Male	52	58	171,498	51
Caucasian	67	74	88,508	26
Afr Am	1	< 2	7,765	2
Hispanic	15	17	198,850	59
Asian	3	3	4,463	< 2
Am Indian	4	4	34,861	10
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	253	< 2
SWD	7	8	47,480	14
ELL	0	0	53,815	16
Recently Arrived *	0	0	40	< 2
ED	56	62	228,186	68
Migrant	0	0	452	< 2

Source: LEA's 120th day submission to the NMPED STARS. * ELL Students new to the U.S. who qualified for exemption from reading assessment.

Adequate Yearly Progress (Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for Schools within LEA													
School	AYP Rating	Improvement Status		School	AYP Rating	Improvement Status								
LEA	Not Met	Progressing												

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability

AYP is based on students who have attended a school for a full academic year. Three academic indicators are utilized: 1) mathematics, 2) reading, and 3) either attendance (elementary and middle schools), or graduation (high schools). Results are not reported for subgroups with fewer than 10 students (indicated by a blank).

Adequate Yearly Progress	Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for Subgroups within LEA													
	Academic Indicator	Goal %	All Students %	Cauc.	Afr Am %	Hispanic %	Asian	Am Indian %	ED %	ELL %	SWD %			
LEA	Reading Proficiency*	77	52	60					39					
LEA	Reading Participation	95												
LEA	Math Proficiency*	68	35	40					17					
LEA	Math Participation	95												
LEA	Attendance Rate	92	92	92		93			91					

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; Full Academic Year only;

Blank = Too few or no students to report

Expenditures		
	Expenditure (\$)	%
Direct Instruction	342,255	56
Instructional Support	269,822	44
Students	18,864	3
Instruction	0	<1
General Administration	22,000	4
School Administration	122,202	20
Central Services	50,000	8
Operations Maintenance	56,756	9
Student Transportation	0	<1
Other	0	<1
Non-Instructional Support	0	<1
Food Services	0	<1
Community Services	0	<1
Capital Outlay	0	<1
Total	612,077	100

School Board Training	
Board Member	Number of Points*
Chris Baker	5
Colby King	5
Matthew Spriggs	5
Shelly Ratigan	5
Suzanne Wollter	5

Source: New Mexico School Board Association
* Board members must accumulate five points during
the year by attending specific training; does not reflect
additional training that board members may have
received.

Source: Projected expenditures reported to NMPED School Budget Office.

State Assessment Results (district results exclude charter schools)

Students are assessed in reading, mathematics and science in grades 3-8 and 11 by the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) and the NM Alternative Proficiency Assessment (NMAPA) for students with significant disabilities. These assessments were developed to measure the NM standards and benchmarks that educators and the public determined are important for our students to master. The assessment results are for all students enrolled and present during testing in March 2011. District summaries do not include students from charter schools, which are reported separately. Results for groups with fewer than 10 students are not reported to meet confidentiality requirements. Percents may not add to 100, due to rounding.

^{* %} of students scoring Proficient or Above;

Proficienc	cies	for Su	bgr	oups	- Al	1 - \	withi	n LE	Α												
			RE <i>A</i>	ADIN	G					M	ATH						SCI	ENC	Ε		
	F	7	% at	Each	Profic	iency	Level	F	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	F	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level
3rd Grade	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
State Current	100	25,771	6	47	25	22	0	100	25,768	4	48	35	13	0							
State Prior	100	25,674	8	49	27	16	0	100	25,677	10	48	38	4	0	100	25,663	10	73	16	1	0
LEA Current	100	15	7	40	40	13	0	100	15	0	27	60	13	0							
LEA Prior																					
Female		5							5												
Male	100	10	0	30	60	10	0	100	10	0	20	70	10	0							
Caucasian	100	10	10	40	40	10	0	100	10	0	20	60	20	0							
Afr Am		1							1												
Hispanic		3							3												
Asian		1							1												
Am Indian		0							0							0					
ELL		0							0							0					
ED		9							9												
SWD		1							1												

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; ¹All students tested – not limited to Full Academic Year;

Blank = Too few or no students to report

Proficien	cies	for Su	ıbgr	oups	- A	1 - \	withi	n LE	Α												
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	ATH						SCI	ENC	Е		
	П	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	П	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	F	7	% a	t Each	Profic	ciency	Level
4th Grade	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
State Current	100	25,808	7	40	40	14	0	100	25,817	9	36	37	19	0	100	25,800	5	42	33	20	0
State Prior	100	25,267	9	43	35	14	0	100	25,278	9	36	47	7	0	100	25,263	2	48	46	4	0
LEA Current	100	11	0	36	64	0	0	100	11	0	27	36	36	0	100	11	0	45	18	36	0
LEA Prior																					
Female		6							6							6					
Male		5							5							5					
Caucasian		8							8							8					
Afr Am		0							0							0					
Hispanic		2							2							2					
Asian		1							1							1					
Am Indian		0							0							0					
ELL		0							0							0					
ED		7							7							7					
SWD		1							1							1					

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; ¹All students tested – not limited to Full Academic Year;

Blank = Too few or no students to report

Proficien	cies	for Su	ıbgr	oups	5 - Al	1 - \	withi	n LE	Α												
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	ATH						SCI	ENC	Е		
	ŀ	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	ŀ	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	ŀ	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level
5th Grade	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating %	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
State Current	100	25,481	9	43	36	12	0	100	25,481	9	33	39	19	0							
State Prior	100	25,322	14	45	33	8	0	100	25,345	11	34	45	9	0	100	25,332	4	48	43	4	0
LEA Current		8							8												
LEA Prior																					
Female		3							3												
Male		5							5												
Caucasian		7							7												
Afr Am		0							0							0					
Hispanic		1							1												
Asian		0							0							0					
Am Indian		0							0							0					
ELL		0							0							0					
ED		3							3												
SWD		0							0							0					

Source: NMPED Assessment and Accountability; ¹All students tested – not limited to Full Academic Year;

Blank = Too few or no students to report

Teacher Quality, Credentials		
	Emergency/Provisional Credentials %	Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers %
STATE	0	0.7
LEA	0	40.0

Source: LEA's 120th day submission to NMPED;

Blank = No data available

Teacher Quality, Level of Educ	Teacher Quality, Level of Education												
		Highest	Degree										
	Number of Teachers	Bachelors %	Advanced Degrees %										
STATE	22,031	56	42										
LEA	6	67	33										

Source: LEA's 120th day submission to NMPED Teacher totals may differ because of district assignments

Quality of Education Survey

Questions:

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

Q		Total	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Do Not Know	No Opinion
Q		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
1	STATE	90,980	36.5	54.3	3.7	1.3	3.2	1.1
2	STATE	91,126	33.0	52.8	7.6	2.6	3.1	0.9
3	STATE	91,050	36.9	52.2	5.5	1.4	3.1	0.9
4	STATE	91,192	35.9	50.8	7.7	2.0	2.1	1.5
5	STATE	91,185	31.0	50.9	6.0	1.9	9.2	1.1
6	STATE	91,109	31.7	53.0	6.8	2.5	4.7	1.2
7	STATE	91,168	25.3	48.5	12.8	3.7	7.7	2.0
8	STATE	91,172	44.5	46.6	5.2	1.7	1.2	0.9
9	STATE	91,082	32.3	53.3	5.9	1.8	5.4	1.3
10	STATE	91,006	38.5	51.3	6.2	1.4	1.4	1.2
1	LEA	12	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	LEA	12	41.7	50.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	LEA	12	16.7	50.0	16.7	0.0	8.3	8.3
4	LEA	12	50.0	41.7	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0

Questions:

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

Q	Total N	Strongly Agree %	Agree %	Disagree %	Strongly Disagree %	Do Not Know %	No Opinion %
5 LEA	12	0.0	25.0	33.3	25.0	8.3	8.3
6 LEA	12	33.3	33.3	8.3	16.7	8.3	0.0
7 LEA	12	0.0	8.3	66.7	16.7	8.3	0.0
8 LEA	12	41.7	50.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
9 LEA	12	33.3	50.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
10 LEA	12	41.7	41.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0

Source: NMPED online anonymous parent survey

Blanks = No data available

Statewide Results for the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student performance across states and for the nation as a whole. NAEP does not replace the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) that students take every year, which measures student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students in New Mexico are required to take the SBA, while the NAEP selects representative samples of students. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district, much like a political poll does not sample and report by neighborhood. For further information, visit the NAEP web site at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard.

NAEP assessments have been given in subjects such as reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, geography, the arts, and others. Reading and mathematics are assessed every two years at grades 4 and 8. Science is assessed every four years. Assessment year is the most recent available at the time of this report

4th		Reading (2009)			Math (20	009)			Science (2	2009)	
Grade	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)
Nation	7	24	34	34	6	33	43	19	1	32	71	29
New Mexico	4	16	32	48	3	23	46	28	0	24	63	37
ED	1	11	31	57	1	16	46	36	0	15	55	45
ELL	#	3	13	84	#	5	36	60	#	2	22	78
SWD	1	4	12	83	#	9	41	50	#	13	43	57
Am Indian	1	9	24	66	#	13	43	43	#	8	44	56
Asian	12	27	32	29								
Hispanic	1	12	32	55	1	16	48	34	0	15	55	45
Af Amer	1	13	36	50	2	18	48	33	#	16	56	44
Caucasian	9	26	36	30	7	40	42	12	1	48	86	14
8th		Reading	(2009)			Math (2	009)			Science (2009)	
Grade	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic¹ (%)	Below Basic (%)
Nation	2	28	43	26	7	25	39	29	1	29	62	38
New Mexico	1	20	44	34	3	17	39	41	1	21	55	45
ED	1	14	43	43	1	10	38	50	0	13	43	57
ELL	#	1	13	87	#	2	18	80	#	1	13	87
SWD	#	4	21	75	#	5	17	77	0	9	23	77
Am Indian	1	11	38	50	1	9	36	54	0	10	36	64
Asian												
Hispanic	#	14	44	42	1	10	39	50	0	14	44	56
Af Amer	1	15	40	44	2	11	42	45				
Caucasian	4	34	46	16	7	32	41	19	2	39	81	19

^{*} Information at http://nationsreportcard.gov/

Basic1 is most comparable to Proficient on New Mexico's Standards Based Assessment

Blank = Too few or no students to report; # Rounds to zero

	Reading (2009)	Math (2009)	Science (2009)		
	%`	%	%`		
4th Grade ELL	73	96	94		
4th Grade SWD**	64	85	86		
8th Grade ELL	82	94	91		
8th Grade SWD**	64	78	77		

^{*} Information at http://nationsreportcard.gov/

^{**} NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities; participation is not mandatory