

**School Grading Summary**

The district grade is determined by the average of school grades in the district. For a description of status see page 2.

**District Grade B**

	Total Number	Percent
<b>Schools Rated in District</b>	6	100.0
<b>Schools in Priority Status</b>	0	0.0
<b>Schools in Focus Status</b>	0	0.0
<b>Schools in Strategic Status</b>	0	0.0
<b>Schools in Reward Status</b>	0	0.0

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability Division

**What are school grades?**

School Grading is part of state and federal law that mandates accountability for all public schools. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) enacted in 1965, which was reauthorized in 2001 as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires schools to show annual improvement in mathematics and reading. In 2011, New Mexico lawmakers enacted additional requirements that schools demonstrate progress through a grading system similar to that applied to students, issuing an A through F letter grade to each school [22-2-1, 22-2-2, and 22-2E-1 to 22-2E-4] [6.19.8.1 NMAC-N, 12-15-11]. Individual school report cards can be found online at <http://webapp2.ped.state.nm.us/SchoolData/SchoolGrading.aspx>

**What are School District Report Cards?**

Each LEA under the jurisdiction of the Public Education Department (PED) annually receives a comprehensive report of their achievement, accountability, teacher qualifications, and post-secondary success. This report is compiled for 89 districts that include regular and locally-authorized charter schools, and all state-authorized charter schools. Non-PED schools are exempt from both school grading and School District Report Cards, and include private, home, and Bureau of Indian Education schools.

**What is contained in this report?**

This report provides a concise summary of the LEA and its schools:

## LEA Demographic Profile

## Accountability

Summaries of School Grades

Cohort Graduation Rates (4, 5, and 6 Year)

Status of Non-Graduates

Attendance

Growth for Q1 and Q3 Subgroups

## Achievement

Proficiencies in Reading, Mathematics, and Science

Participation in Assessments

NAEP Statewide Summary for Grades 4 and 8

School Board Member Training

Budgeted Expenditures

Teacher Credentials

Post-Secondary Achievement (College Going, Credit Accumulation)

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

**Definitions and Abbreviations**

**LEA** Local Educational Authority is a broad term that encompasses districts with multiple schools or independent state-authorized charter schools. Locally-authorized charter schools are not LEAs and are reported with their parent district.

**Subgroups**

**Asian:** Asian or Pacific Islander

**Afr Am:** African American

**ELL:** English Language Learners

**ED:** Economically Disadvantaged as determined by eligibility for Free or Reduced Lunch

**SWD:** Students with disabilities; does not include special education students who are gifted

**Q1:** The lowest performing 25% (one quarter) of students in reading or mathematics

**Q3:** The higher performing 75% (three quarters) of students in reading or mathematics

**High/Low Poverty Schools** Schools with students most economically disadvantaged (top 25%), and least disadvantaged (bottom 25%).

**SGTs** School Growth Targets, like the predecessor Annual Measurable Objectives or AMOs, increase annually for monitoring subgroup performance and growth. Figures reflect whether the percent of students for the school meet the current year's goals.

**Recently Arrived** These are ELL students new to U.S. schools who qualify for exemption from the reading assessment.

**Student Demographics**

	LEA		State	
	Number	%	Number	%
All Students	434	100	337,054	100
Female	198	46	164,148	49
Male	236	54	172,906	51
Caucasian	251	58	84,518	25
African-American	6	1	7,530	2
Hispanic	175	40	204,866	61
Asian	1	0	4,489	1
Pacific Islander	0	0	509	0
American Indian	1	0	35,142	10
Multiple Races	0	0	2	0
ED	200	46	231,830	69
SWD	36	8	48,479	14
ELL	0	0	51,895	15
Migrant	0	0	458	0
Recently Arrived	0	0	19,389	6

Source: LEA 120th day submission to the PED

## Accountability - School Grading and Status

Status refers to schools that are in some form of improvement that requires increased monitoring and educational enhancement. The improvement categories are

- \*\*\* Priority Status (5% of schools that are lowest performing)
- \*\* Focus Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing and with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- \* Strategic Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- ^ Reward Status (the top 5% of schools in the state)

A school's status is footnoted next to their overall letter grade, and where blank, means the school is not in any status. Only schools receiving Title I funds are eligible, which in 2014 represented 654 schools.

School	Overall Grade	School	Overall Grade
Cimarron Elementary	C	Cimarron High	A
Cimarron Middle	B	Eagle Nest Elementary	B
Eagle Nest Middle	A	Moreno Valley High Charter	A

## Accountability - School Growth Targets (SGTs)

Customized targets called School Growth Targets (SGTs) guide a school's path toward proficiency. These goals increase every year and challenge schools to identify student groups that might be struggling to keep up with their peers. Students who are not proficient but have made large enough gains to become proficient in the next 3 years are considered proficient. They are combined with students who are proficient today for percentages. Growth figures for Q1 and Q3 are in scaled score units, and should be evaluated in the context of the possible score on the assessment which ranges from 0 to 80.

Target (%)	Proficient or On Target to Proficiency									Growth				
	All Students	Caucasian	Afr Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Amer Indian	ED	SWD	ELL	Target (SS/Yr)	Q1	Target (SS/Yr)	Q3	
<b>Cimarron Elementary</b>														
Reading 61	46.4 *										2.5	0.25	-2.1 *	
Mathematics 55	46.4 *										2.2	0.15	-0.9 *	
Attendance 92	93.7 ✓	94.5 ✓		93.2 ✓			94.7 ✓							
<b>Cimarron High</b>														
Reading 61	54.3 *										2.5	0.25	-2.7 *	
Mathematics 55	34.3 *										2.2	0.15	-1.4 *	
Attendance 92	91.9 *	92.1 ✓		91.6 *			90.5 *							
Graduation 73.7	78.1 ✓													
<b>Cimarron Middle</b>														
Reading 61	80.0 ✓	83.3 ✓		76.7 ✓			77.4 ✓				2.5	0.25	0.4 ✓	
Mathematics 55	47.3 *	54.2 *		43.3 *			41.9 *				2.2	0.15	-1.1 *	
Attendance 92	96.1 ✓	96.5 ✓		95.6 ✓			95.5 ✓							
<b>Eagle Nest Elementary</b>														
Reading 61	65.9 ✓	80.6 ✓					50.0 *				2.5	0.25	-0.8 *	
Mathematics 55	54.5 *	64.5 ✓					42.9 *				2.2	0.15	-1.2 *	
Attendance 92	100.0 ✓	100.0 ✓		100.0 ✓			100.0 ✓							
<b>Eagle Nest Middle</b>														
Reading 61	92.6 ✓	90.9 ✓		95.7 ✓			89.2 ✓				2.5	0.25	1.7 ✓	
Mathematics 55	65.2 ✓	71.4 ✓		52.2 *			52.8 *				2.2	0.15	-0.3 *	
Attendance 92	97.8 ✓	98.1 ✓		97.2 ✓			97.6 ✓							
<b>LEA Current</b>														
Reading 61	73.3 ✓	78.9 ✓		63.5 ✓			64.8 ✓	42.9 *			2.5	0.4 *	0.25	-0.5 *
Mathematics 55	52.0 *	58.6 ✓		41.7 *			43.3 *	14.8 *			2.2	-0.3 *	0.15	-1.1 *
Attendance 92	95.4 ✓	95.3 ✓		95.5 ✓			96.4 ✓	95.0 ✓						
Graduation 73.7	87.5 ✓	91.6 ✓					76.9 ✓							
<b>Moreno Valley High Charter</b>														
Reading 61	74.4 ✓	77.5 ✓									2.5	0.25	-2.1 *	
Mathematics 55	53.5 *	55.0 ✓									2.2	0.15	-2.3 *	
Attendance 92	90.4 *	90.1 *		92.2 ✓										
Graduation 73.7	93.8 ✓	99.4 ✓												

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau

## Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Grade

The assessments were developed to measure grade-level standards that New Mexico educators and the public determined are important for our students to master. Results include all students enrolled within the LEA or school, regardless of whether for a full academic year or not. Students are assessed in reading and mathematics in grades 3-8 and 10-11, and in science in grades 4, 7, and 11.

Grade	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient Level 1=Beginning Step	Reading				Mathematics				Science			
		Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)			
		Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
3	State Current	6	45	24	24	4	45	34	16				
3	State Prior	6	49	24	21	4	47	36	13				
3	LEA Current	9	46	23	23	3	51	40	6				
3	LEA Prior	14	57	26	3	<2	54	46	<2				
4	State Current	8	36	41	15	10	33	35	23	6	43	31	21
4	State Prior	10	36	41	13	9	36	35	19	7	46	28	19
4	LEA Current	14	46	38	3	8	38	46	8	11	54	32	3
4	LEA Prior	13	42	42	4	8	58	25	8	4	75	17	4
5	State Current	10	44	35	12	11	33	34	22				
5	State Prior	9	42	36	12	10	33	37	20				
5	LEA Current	15	56	19	11	11	44	22	22				
5	LEA Prior	18	45	36	<2	12	42	39	6				
6	State Current	9	34	40	17	5	32	38	24				
6	State Prior	8	39	40	13	6	34	38	23				
6	LEA Current	25	44	31	<2	13	41	41	6				
6	LEA Prior	21	55	21	3	10	55	21	14				
7	State Current	5	46	34	14	5	35	37	23	10	32	34	24
7	State Prior	5	45	36	14	6	36	38	21	10	32	35	23
7	LEA Current	18	56	15	12	18	36	33	12	23	45	16	16
7	LEA Prior	7	56	33	4	4	33	48	15	<2	56	37	7
8	State Current	7	51	29	13	5	35	43	17				
8	State Prior	5	55	28	12	5	38	44	14				
8	LEA Current	20	70	10	<2	7	52	41	<2				
8	LEA Prior	13	68	13	6	3	52	39	6				
10	State Current	5	33	41	21	5	26	52	17				
10	State Prior	8	33	40	19	4	26	53	17				
10	LEA Current	8	41	41	10	3	26	59	13				
10	LEA Prior	21	50	29	<2	7	37	49	7				
11	State Current	9	43	36	13	9	34	46	11	4	37	41	18
11	State Prior	12	44	33	11	7	35	47	11	3	37	41	20
11	LEA Current	28	54	15	3	23	38	38	<2	23	51	23	3
11	LEA Prior	21	59	21	<2	15	50	32	3	3	68	29	<2

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Subgroup														
	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient Level 1=Beginning Step	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
		Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)				
		Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	
All Students	LEA	17	51	25	8	11	40	41	8	19	50	24	7	
Female	LEA	21	53	19	7	12	37	41	10	17	50	29	5	
Male	LEA	13	49	30	8	9	43	41	7	20	51	22	8	
Caucasian	LEA	20	54	20	5	14	44	37	5	24	54	18	4	
African American	LEA													
Hispanic	LEA	10	47	32	10	5	35	46	14	9	44	35	12	
American Indian	LEA													
Economically Disadvantaged	LEA	9	47	30	13	6	35	47	12	10	42	34	14	
Students w Disabilities	LEA	4	21	36	39	4	11	56	30					

		Reading				Mathematics				Science			
Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient Level 1=Beginning Step		Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)			
		Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
Q1	LEA	<2	26	43	29	<2	9	64	27	<2	13	66	22
Q3	LEA	22	60	18	<2	15	53	32	<2	27	67	7	<2

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by School													
		Reading				Mathematics				Science			
Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient Level 1=Beginning Step		Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)			
		Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
Cimarron Elementary		14	32	36	18	7	39	43	11	19	56	19	6
Cimarron High		3	51	34	11	11	23	54	11	13	44	38	6
Cimarron Middle		11	60	20	9	5	42	40	13	<2	67	20	13
Eagle Nest Elementary		9	55	27	9	5	48	43	5	5	52	43	<2
Eagle Nest Middle		26	53	18	3	18	44	30	8	44	25	13	19
Moreno Valley High Charter		30	44	23	2	14	40	44	2	30	57	13	<2

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10). Schools without tested grades (i.e. kindergarten only) will not have data. Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau

Budgeted Expenditures		
Locally-authorized charter schools manage their budgets independently of their parent district. For detailed information please contact either the individual school or the PED Budget and Finance Office for the budget analyst assigned to that school. The district summary includes their locally authorized charter schools.		
	Amount \$	Percent %
Capital Outlay	\$1,456,774	16.7
Central Services	\$148,884	1.7
Community Services	\$0	0.0
Debt Service	\$1,295,206	14.8
Food Services	\$214,270	2.5
General Administration	\$290,665	3.3
Instruction	\$3,166,335	36.3
Instruction Support Services	\$67,092	0.8
Operations & Maintenance	\$631,802	7.2
Other Support Services	\$1,614	0.0
School Administration	\$405,410	4.6
Student Support Services	\$646,651	7.4
Student Transportation	\$407,578	4.7

Source: The PED School Budget and Financial Analysis Bureau

School Board Training	
School board members must accumulate five points during the year by attending specific training. These figures do not reflect additional training that board members may have received.	
Board Member	Number of Points
Adan Estrada	5
Annie Jo Lindsey	19
Bret Wier	16
Deb Coscia	5
Jeff Weeks	5
Kathy Westlund	5
Misty Ogata	14
Ronald Anderson	29
Trini Bradley	5
Valorie Garcia	10

Source: NM School Board Association

Graduation - 5 Year Cohort of 2012										
These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2012 and either graduated on time, or required one additional year. The 4-year rates for the cohort of 2013 are displayed under School Growth Targets (SGT) earlier in this report. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.										
	All Students	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %	
State Current	74.0	79.7	71.7	71.6	87.6	70.7	69.6	63.0	70.8	
LEA Current	79.9	79.7		78.8			75.9	93.8		
Cimarron High	85.7	90.7		80.8			77.2			
Moreno Valley High Charter	73.1	72.4								

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10) Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau

Graduation - 6 Year Cohort of 2011	
These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2011 and either graduated on time, or required up to two additional years. The cohort includes all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.	
Cimarron Municipal Schools	

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
State Current	73.5	80.2	69.4	71.1	83.6	68.3	68.8	63.6	69.7
LEA Current	82.5	85.7		76.2			68.3		
Cimarron High	77.0			74.2			70.6		
Moreno Valley High Charter	87.6	87.0							

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau

### College Going and College Credit Accumulation

These figures represent students who graduated in 2012 (College Going) and 2010 (Credits Earned) and were tracked for post-secondary education both inside and outside the state.

**Eligible** Students earning a regular high school diploma.

**Enrolled** Students who enrolled in an institution of higher education within 16 months of earning a regular high school diploma.

**Credits Earned** Students who enrolled and earned one year of college credit within two years of enrollment.

		All Students N	Cauc N	Afr Amer N	Hisp N	Asian N	Amer Indian N	ED N	SWD N	ELL N
LEA Current	Eligible	24	11		12					
LEA Current	Enrolled, In-State	12								
LEA Current	Credits Earned	20	13							
Cimarron High	Eligible	15								
Cimarron High	Credits Earned	15	10							

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

Source: National Student Clearinghouse

### Teacher Credentials

		Statewide %	LEA %
Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials		.3	.0
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	High Poverty Schools	NA	NA
	Low Poverty Schools	.6	.6

NA= Not applicable; LEA did not have schools that qualified as high or low poverty

Professional Qualifications	Number of Teachers	Highest Degree*		Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
		Bachelor's	Advanced	
Cimarron Elementary	6	50	50	0
Cimarron High	8	62.5	37.5	0
Cimarron Middle	7	71.4	28.6	0
Eagle Nest Elementary	8	50	50	0
Eagle Nest Middle	5	40	60	0
Moreno Valley High Charter	12	75	25	1.9

\* Does not include Below Bachelors

Blank=no data available, or not applicable

Source: LEA 120th day submission to PED

### Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

- Q1 My child is safe at school.
- Q2 My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3 My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4 School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5 The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6 School staff maintain consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7 My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8 My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9 The school staff employ various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10 My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	Survey Count	Agree and Strongly Agree (% of Respondents)									
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10
Districtwide	68	100	94	94	94	97	88	93	96	97	94
Cimarron Elementary	0										

- Q1 My child is safe at school.
- Q2 My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3 My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4 School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5 The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6 School staff maintain consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7 My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8 My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9 The school staff employ various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10 My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	Survey Count	Agree and Strongly Agree (% of Respondents)									
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10
Cimarron High	0										
Cimarron Middle	10	100	90	100	90	90	100	90	90	100	100
Eagle Nest Elementary	0										
Eagle Nest Middle	0										
Moreno Valley High Charter	0										

Source: PED anonymous survey collected from parents annually

### National Assessment of Educational Progress Statewide Results

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student achievement across states and for the nation as a whole. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district or by school. For further information please visit <http://NCES.ED.Gov/NationsReportCard>.

NAEP does not replace assessments which annually measure student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students are required to take the standards based assessments, whereas the NAEP selects representative samples of students and districts. Because not all subject areas or grade levels are tested every year, these statewide results are for the most recent year assessed in that subject area and grade.

#### Statewide Participation

	Reading %	Math %	Science %
4th Grade ELL	98	98	94
4th Grade SWD*	93	92	86
8th Grade ELL	93	98	93
8th Grade SWD*	91	89	87

\* NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities  
Participation in NAEP is not mandatory

4th Grade	Reading (2013)				Math (2013)				Science (2009)			
	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic* %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic* %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic* %	Below %
New Mexico	4	18	31	48	4	27	43	26	#	24	39	37
Nation	8	26	33	33	8	34	41	18	1	32	39	29

8th Grade	Reading (2011)				Math (2011)				Science (2011)			
	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic* %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic* %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic* %	Below %
New Mexico	1	21	45	33	4	18	40	37	1	22	35	43
Nation	4	31	42	23	8	26	39	27	2	29	34	36

\* Basic is most comparable to Proficient on New Mexico's Standards Based Assessment

# Rounds to zero

Blanks indicate too few students to report