

**School Grading Summary**

The district grade is determined by the average of school grades in the district. For a description of status see page 2.

District Grade C

	Total Number	Percent
Schools Rated in District	10	100.0
Schools in Priority Status	0	0.0
Schools in Focus Status	0	0.0
Schools in Strategic Status	2	20.0
Schools in Reward Status	2	20.0

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability Division

What are school grades?

School Grading is part of state and federal law that mandates accountability for all public schools. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) enacted in 1965, which was reauthorized in 2001 as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires schools to show annual improvement in mathematics and reading. In 2011, New Mexico lawmakers enacted additional requirements that schools demonstrate progress through a grading system similar to that applied to students, issuing an A through F letter grade to each school [22-2-1, 22-2-2, and 22-2E-1 to 22-2E-4] [6.19.8.1 NMAC-N, 12-15-11]. Individual school report cards can be found online at <http://webapp2.ped.state.nm.us/SchoolData/SchoolGrading.aspx>

What are School District Report Cards?

Each LEA under the jurisdiction of the Public Education Department (PED) annually receives a comprehensive report of their achievement, accountability, teacher qualifications, and post-secondary success. This report is compiled for 89 districts that include regular and locally-authorized charter schools, and all state-authorized charter schools. Non-PED schools are exempt from both school grading and School District Report Cards, and include private, home, and Bureau of Indian Education schools.

What is contained in this report?

This report provides a concise summary of the LEA and its schools:

LEA Demographic Profile

Accountability

Summaries of School Grades

Cohort Graduation Rates (4, 5, and 6 Year)

Status of Non-Graduates

Attendance

Growth for Q1 and Q3 Subgroups

Achievement

Proficiencies in Reading, Mathematics, and Science

Participation in Assessments

NAEP Statewide Summary for Grades 4 and 8

School Board Member Training

Budgeted Expenditures

Teacher Credentials

Post-Secondary Achievement (College Going, Credit Accumulation)

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

Definitions and Abbreviations

LEA Local Educational Authority is a broad term that encompasses districts with multiple schools or independent state-authorized charter schools. Locally-authorized charter schools are not LEAs and are reported with their parent district.

Subgroups

Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander

Afr Am: African American

ELL: English Language Learners

ED: Economically Disadvantaged as determined by eligibility for Free or Reduced Lunch

SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special education students who are gifted

Q1: The lowest performing 25% (one quarter) of students in reading or mathematics

Q3: The higher performing 75% (three quarters) of students in reading or mathematics

High/Low Poverty Schools Schools with students most economically disadvantaged (top 25%), and least disadvantaged (bottom 25%).

SGTs School Growth Targets, like the predecessor Annual Measurable Objectives or AMOs, increase annually for monitoring subgroup performance and growth. Figures reflect whether the percent of students for the school meet the current year's goals.

Recently Arrived These are ELL students new to U.S. schools who qualify for exemption from the reading assessment.

Student Demographics

	LEA		State	
	Number	%	Number	%
All Students	2,933	100	337,054	100
Female	1,404	48	164,148	49
Male	1,529	52	172,906	51
Caucasian	723	25	84,518	25
African-American	14	1	7,530	2
Hispanic	1,957	67	204,866	61
Asian	22	1	4,489	1
Pacific Islander	4	0	509	0
American Indian	213	7	35,142	10
Multiple Races	0	0	2	0
ED	2,074	71	231,830	69
SWD	457	16	48,479	14
ELL	275	9	51,895	15
Migrant	0	0	458	0
Recently Arrived	162	6	19,389	6

Source: LEA 120th day submission to the PED

Accountability - School Grading and Status

Status refers to schools that are in some form of improvement that requires increased monitoring and educational enhancement. The improvement categories are

*** Priority Status (5% of schools that are lowest performing)

** Focus Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing and with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)

* Strategic Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)

^ Reward Status (the top 5% of schools in the state)

A school's status is footnoted next to their overall letter grade, and where blank, means the school is not in any status. Only schools receiving Title I funds are eligible, which in 2014 represented 654 schools.

School	Overall Grade	School	Overall Grade
Anansi Charter	B	Arroyo Del Norte Elementary	D
Chrysalis Alternative	C ^	Enos Garcia Elementary	D *
Ranchos De Taos Elementary	D *	Taos Cyber Magnet	A ^
Taos High	B	Taos Middle	D
Taos Municipal Charter	B	Vista Grande High Charter	B

Accountability - School Growth Targets (SGTs)

Customized targets called School Growth Targets (SGTs) guide a school's path toward proficiency. These goals increase every year and challenge schools to identify student groups that might be struggling to keep up with their peers. Students who are not proficient but have made large enough gains to become proficient in the next 3 years are considered proficient. They are combined with students who are proficient today for percentages. Growth figures for Q1 and Q3 are in scaled score units, and should be evaluated in the context of the possible score on the assessment which ranges from 0 to 80.

Target (%)	Proficient or On Target to Proficiency										Growth		
	All Students	Caucasian	Afr Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Amer Indian	ED	SWD	ELL	Target (SS/Yr)	Q1	Target (SS/Yr)	Q3
Anansi Charter													
Reading 61	89.1 ✓	90.6 ✓					88.0 ✓				2.5	0.25	-0.7 ✗
Mathematics 55	81.8 ✓	90.6 ✓					80.0 ✓				2.2	0.15	-1.1 ✗
Attendance 92	94.2 ✓	95.3 ✓		92.8 ✓			92.3 ✓	94.8 ✓					
Arroyo Del Norte Elementary													
Reading 61	48.3 ✗			48.0 ✗			46.7 ✗				2.5	1.1 ✗	0.25 -1.0 ✗
Mathematics 55	38.3 ✗			34.0 ✗			33.3 ✗				2.2	0.15	-2.7 ✗
Attendance 92	93.8 ✓	93.9 ✓		94.0 ✓			93.4 ✓	94.3 ✓	93.2 ✓				
Chrysalis Alternative													
Reading 61											2.5	0.25	
Mathematics 55											2.2	0.15	
Attendance 92													
Graduation 73.7													
Enos Garcia Elementary													
Reading 61	28.0 ✗	22.9 ✗		24.9 ✗			46.2 ✗	23.1 ✗	5.0 ✗	20.0 ✗	2.5	0.1 ✗	0.25 -3.0 ✗
Mathematics 55	17.8 ✗	25.0 ✗		14.5 ✗			15.4 ✗	13.4 ✗	2.5 ✗	7.3 ✗	2.2	-1.6 ✗	0.15 -4.8 ✗
Attendance 92	93.4 ✓	93.9 ✓		93.7 ✓			89.7 ✗	93.4 ✓	90.7 ✗	95.2 ✓			
LEA Current													
Reading 61	44.9 ✗	61.6 ✓		38.8 ✗			37.6 ✗	38.5 ✗	21.6 ✗	15.2 ✗	2.5	0.4 ✗	0.25 -1.5 ✗
Mathematics 55	31.6 ✗	50.5 ✗		25.4 ✗			19.5 ✗	24.3 ✗	15.5 ✗	5.3 ✗	2.2	-0.7 ✗	0.15 -2.4 ✗
Attendance 92	92.7 ✓	93.1 ✓	88.5 ✗	93.0 ✓	95.8 ✓		89.2 ✗	92.6 ✓	91.1 ✗	94.0 ✓			
Graduation 73.7	76.9 ✓	81.0 ✓		75.8 ✓			74.9 ✓	71.7 ✗	86.9 ✓	68.4 ✗			
Ranchos De Taos Elementary													
Reading 61	33.3 ✗			35.4 ✗			29.1 ✗	8.3 ✗			2.5	0.5 ✗	0.25 -1.8 ✗
Mathematics 55	21.0 ✗			20.7 ✗			18.4 ✗	7.9 ✗			2.2	-0.1 ✗	0.15 -3.0 ✗
Attendance 92	93.5 ✓	93.5 ✓		93.6 ✓			91.7 ✗	93.4 ✓	92.8 ✓	94.3 ✓			
Taos Cyber Magnet													
Reading 61	45.0 ✗										2.5	0.25	
Mathematics 55	45.0 ✗										2.2	0.15	
Attendance 92	91.8 ✗			91.1 ✗			92.1 ✓						
Graduation 73.7													
Taos High													
Reading 61	42.9 ✗	62.5 ✓		33.5 ✗			44.8 ✗	37.1 ✗	20.4 ✗		2.5	-2.3 ✗	0.25 -2.4 ✗
Mathematics 55	31.5 ✗	54.2 ✗		21.2 ✗			31.0 ✗	25.2 ✗	14.3 ✗		2.2	-0.9 ✗	0.15 -2.3 ✗
Attendance 92	90.1 ✗	91.1 ✗		90.4 ✗			86.5 ✗	89.3 ✗	87.8 ✗	88.6 ✗			
Graduation 73.7	76.3 ✓	77.2 ✓		77.6 ✓			70.5 ✗	70.1 ✗	85.9 ✓	63.4 ✗			

Target (%)	Proficient or On Target to Proficiency									Growth			
	All Students	Caucasian	Afr Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Amer Indian	ED	SWD	ELL	Target (SS/Yr) Q1	Target (SS/Yr) Q3		
Taos Middle													
Reading 61	44.3 *	58.3 *		39.5 *		33.3 *	40.5 *	17.5 *	12.5 *	2.5	1.7 *	0.25	-0.8 *
Mathematics 55	24.4 *	32.3 *		22.3 *		10.0 *	18.3 *	6.2 *	3.1 *	2.2	-0.6 *	0.15	-1.9 *
Attendance 92	94.5 ✓	94.3 ✓		94.8 ✓		92.1 ✓	94.2 ✓	93.4 ✓	95.6 ✓				
Taos Municipal Charter													
Reading 61	89.7 ✓	93.5 ✓		84.6 ✓			88.3 ✓	68.0 ✓		2.5	2.6 ✓	0.25	1.2 ✓
Mathematics 55	81.2 ✓	84.2 ✓		81.5 ✓			76.6 ✓	66.7 ✓		2.2	1.3 *	0.15	0.5 ✓
Attendance 92	95.2 ✓	95.0 ✓		95.2 ✓		95.8 ✓	95.2 ✓	95.8 ✓					
Vista Grande High Charter													
Reading 61	22.5 *						20.8 *			2.5		0.25	-2.3 *
Mathematics 55	12.5 *						20.8 *			2.2		0.15	-3.1 *
Attendance 92	84.1 *	83.7 *		82.9 *		85.8 *	84.1 *	81.5 *	90.0 *				
Graduation 73.7	68.6 *						71.0 *						

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Grade

The assessments were developed to measure grade-level standards that New Mexico educators and the public determined are important for our students to master. Results include all students enrolled within the LEA or school, regardless of whether for a full academic year or not. Students are assessed in reading and mathematics in grades 3-8 and 10-11, and in science in grades 4, 7, and 11.

Grade	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient Level 1=Beginning Step	Reading				Mathematics				Science			
		Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)			
		Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
3	State Current	6	45	24	24	4	45	34	16				
3	State Prior	6	49	24	21	4	47	36	13				
3	LEA Current	4	35	28	33	<2	30	38	32				
3	LEA Prior	7	39	27	28	4	39	34	23				
4	State Current	8	36	41	15	10	33	35	23	6	43	31	21
4	State Prior	10	36	41	13	9	36	35	19	7	46	28	19
4	LEA Current	7	28	47	19	6	25	31	38	4	38	29	29
4	LEA Prior	7	38	40	15	8	29	33	30	7	44	28	22
5	State Current	10	44	35	12	11	33	34	22				
5	State Prior	9	42	36	12	10	33	37	20				
5	LEA Current	9	38	37	16	7	25	36	32				
5	LEA Prior	8	43	34	15	11	29	35	25				
6	State Current	9	34	40	17	5	32	38	24				
6	State Prior	8	39	40	13	6	34	38	23				
6	LEA Current	4	26	42	27	5	26	35	34				
6	LEA Prior	6	35	44	14	6	29	36	29				
7	State Current	5	46	34	14	5	35	37	23	10	32	34	24
7	State Prior	5	45	36	14	6	36	38	21	10	32	35	23
7	LEA Current	8	41	38	14	7	24	36	33	9	27	34	29
7	LEA Prior	7	45	34	14	6	33	37	24	12	30	33	25
8	State Current	7	51	29	13	5	35	43	17				
8	State Prior	5	55	28	12	5	38	44	14				
8	LEA Current	9	50	26	15	7	24	47	22				
8	LEA Prior	8	50	27	15	6	33	46	16				
10	State Current	5	33	41	21	5	26	52	17				
10	State Prior	8	33	40	19	4	26	53	17				

		Reading				Mathematics				Science			
Grade	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient Level 1=Beginning Step	Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)			
		Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
10	LEA Current	6	28	35	31	5	20	50	25				
10	LEA Prior	14	31	35	20	7	19	56	18				
11	State Current	9	43	36	13	9	34	46	11	4	37	41	18
11	State Prior	12	44	33	11	7	35	47	11	3	37	41	20
11	LEA Current	10	37	41	12	11	24	53	12	4	35	45	17
11	LEA Prior	14	43	32	11	6	31	53	10	2	34	47	17

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Subgroup														
		Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient Level 1=Beginning Step	Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)				
		Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	
All Students	LEA	7	35	37	21	6	25	40	29	6	34	35	25	
Female	LEA	8	37	37	18	5	25	42	28	6	31	36	27	
Male	LEA	6	33	37	24	6	25	39	30	6	36	35	24	
Caucasian	LEA	15	44	28	13	13	36	33	18	14	42	30	14	
African American	LEA													
Hispanic	LEA	4	31	40	24	3	22	42	33	3	30	37	30	
Asian	LEA	24	53	6	18	35	29	24	12					
American Indian	LEA	4	32	43	21	5	15	48	32	4	28	37	31	
Economically Disadvantaged	LEA	5	30	39	26	4	20	41	36	4	28	38	30	
Students w Disabilities	LEA	6	12	25	57	4	10	23	63	4	25	19	53	
English Language Learners, Current	LEA	<2	11	45	43	<2	5	31	64	<2	8	40	52	
Q1	LEA	<2	8	34	57	<2	5	28	67	<2	4	18	78	
Q3	LEA	9	45	38	8	8	32	45	15	8	45	42	6	

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by School														
		Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient Level 1=Beginning Step	Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)				
		Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	
Anansi Charter		31	56	13	<2	25	53	22	<2	26	58	16	<2	
Arroyo Del Norte Elementary		5	40	30	25	3	35	25	37	5	50	25	20	
Chrysalis Alternative		<2	<2	30	70	<2	<2	10	90					
Enos Garcia Elementary		<2	27	45	28	<2	17	39	43	<2	24	40	36	
Ranchos De Taos Elementary		3	28	42	26	2	18	39	41	2	37	25	36	
Taos Cyber Magnet		10	35	45	10	10	35	50	5	<2	40	53	7	
Taos High		9	34	35	22	9	23	52	16	5	35	43	16	
Taos Middle		5	35	39	21	3	21	42	34	5	25	38	32	
Taos Municipal Charter		19	58	17	6	19	55	22	3	25	58	12	6	
Vista Grande High Charter		<2	23	53	25	<2	13	50	38	<2	33	52	14	

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10). Schools without tested grades (i.e. kindergarten only) will not have data.

Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau

Budgeted Expenditures		
Locally-authorized charter schools manage their budgets independently of their parent district. For detailed information please contact either the individual school or the PED Budget and Finance Office for the budget analyst assigned to that school. The district summary includes their locally authorized charter schools.		
	Amount	Percent
	\$	%
Capital Outlay	\$3,160,555	40.2

School Board Training	
School board members must accumulate five points during the year by attending specific training. These figures do not reflect additional training that board members may have received.	
Board Member	Number of Points

	Amount \$	Percent %
Central Services	\$150,005	1.9
Community Services	\$12,097	0.2
Debt Service	\$465,878	5.9
Food Services	\$170,985	2.2
General Administration	\$169,672	2.2
Instruction	\$2,553,406	32.5
Instruction Support Services	\$93,172	1.2
Operations & Maintenance	\$461,254	5.9
Other Support Services	\$3,221	0.0
School Administration	\$226,732	2.9
Student Support Services	\$143,765	1.8
Student Transportation	\$256,169	3.3

Source: The PED School Budget and Financial Analysis Bureau

Board Member	Number of Points
Cipry Jaramillo	5
Dan Greenwald	5
Daniel Peralta	5
David Chavez	28
Dawin Kittner	5
Dennis Hedges	5
Due Vigil	5
Eleanor Romero	5
Elizabeth Roth	5
Hitana Espinoza	5
James Sanborn	5
Jason Silva	22
Kay Ann Tyssee	5
Mark Flores	7
Melissa McNulty	5
Necia Etheridge	5
Rachel Kolman	5
Rich Nichols	5
Romy Colonius-Cert.sent	5
Steve Donalson	5
Tere Pasillas	5
Traci Chavez McAdams	5
Willow Lopez	5
Zach Cordova	10

Source: NM School Board Association

Graduation - 5 Year Cohort of 2012

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2012 and either graduated on time, or required one additional year. The 4-year rates for the cohort of 2013 are displayed under School Growth Targets (SGT) earlier in this report. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
State Current	74.0	79.7	71.7	71.6	87.6	70.7	69.6	63.0	70.8
LEA Current	77.0	87.4		75.3		67.0	76.8	83.2	64.2
Chrysalis Alternative	66.1			67.6			66.1		
Taos Cyber Magnet	27.6								
Taos High	79.4	90.1		77.3		69.5	79.5	82.4	67.9
Vista Grande High Charter	71.0	84.8		61.7		70.8	67.8	93.6	59.3

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau

Graduation - 6 Year Cohort of 2011

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2011 and either graduated on time, or required up to two additional years. The cohort includes all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
State Current	73.5	80.2	69.4	71.1	83.6	68.3	68.8	63.6	69.7
LEA Current	70.2	74.8		70.5		54.2	71.5	51.4	70.9
Chrysalis Alternative	40.5			50.3			41.2	38.1	40.5
Taos Cyber Magnet	67.1						67.1		55.0
Taos High	74.9	79.8		75.0		52.7	75.3	58.0	76.4
Vista Grande High Charter	54.4	61.9		45.2		63.9	59.4	38.6	53.3

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau

Graduation - 4 Year Cohort of 2013, Status of Non Graduates

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2013 but did not graduate. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students. Percentages do not use the Shared Accountability method of calculation. For details see the Graduation Technical Guide on the PED website: http://ped.state.nm.us/ped/Graduation_guides.html

	Certificate Completed coursework but did not pass exit exam %	Status Unknown Dropped out or whereabouts unknown %	Exit Out Exit with intent to get GED or vocational credential %	Still Enrolled Continuing high school enrollment past 4th year %
State Current	<2.0	20.8	7.3	8.0
LEA Current		20.3	4.2	
Vista Grande High Charter		26.8		
Taos High		19.9		

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10) Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau

College Going and College Credit Accumulation

These figures represent students who graduated in 2012 (College Going) and 2010 (Credits Earned) and were tracked for post-secondary education both inside and outside the state.

Eligible Students earning a regular high school diploma.

Enrolled Students who enrolled in an institution of higher education within 16 months of earning a regular high school diploma.

Credits Earned Students who enrolled and earned one year of college credit within two years of enrollment.

		All Students N	Cauc N	Afr Amer N	Hisp N	Asian N	Amer Indian N	ED N	SWD N	ELL N
LEA Current	Eligible	153	38		96		17	148	30	
LEA Current	Enrolled, In-State	120	32		75		11	116	16	
LEA Current	Enrolled, Out-of-State	109	25		71		11	105	14	
LEA Current	Credits Earned	87	16		65			86		
Taos High	Eligible	119	30		79			119	17	
Taos High	Enrolled, In-State	100	25		66			100		
Taos High	Enrolled, Out-of-State	92	19		64			92		
Taos High	Credits Earned	81	14		62			81		
Vista Grande High Charter	Eligible	26			10			21		
Vista Grande High Charter	Enrolled, In-State	17						13		
Vista Grande High Charter	Enrolled, Out-of-State	15						11		

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10) Source: National Student Clearinghouse

Teacher Credentials

	Statewide %	LEA %
Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	.3	.0
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	High Poverty Schools	NA
	Low Poverty Schools	.6

NA= Not applicable; LEA did not have schools that qualified as high or low poverty

Professional Qualifications	Number of Teachers	Highest Degree*		Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
		Bachelor's	Advanced	
Anansi Charter	10	40	40	0
Arroyo Del Norte Elementary	15	40	60	0
Chrysalis Alternative	4	0	100	0
Enos Garcia Elementary	51	62.7	37.3	2.2
Ranchos De Taos Elementary	36	66.7	33.3	0
Taos Cyber Magnet	1	0	100	0
Taos High	45	55.6	44.4	2
Taos Middle	37	81.1	16.2	4.2
Taos Municipal Charter	15	33.3	66.7	0
Vista Grande High Charter	7	85.7	14.3	0

* Does not include Below Bachelors

Blank=no data available, or not applicable

Source: LEA 120th day submission to PED

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

- Q1 My child is safe at school.
 Q2 My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
 Q3 My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
 Q4 School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
 Q5 The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
 Q6 School staff maintain consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
 Q7 My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
 Q8 My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
 Q9 The school staff employ various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
 Q10 My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	Survey Count	Agree and Strongly Agree (% of Respondents)									
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10
Districtwide	1,200	96	91	94	93	93	93	87	94	94	93
Anansi Charter	24	100	100	100	100	96	100	91	100	100	100
Arroyo Del Norte Elementary	90	99	100	99	100	99	98	83	99	98	99
Chrysalis Alternative	12	100	50	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Enos Garcia Elementary	315	93	96	94	95	91	94	87	97	96	96
Ranchos De Taos Elementary	267	97	88	96	98	90	93	81	96	96	91
Taos Cyber Magnet	7	100	71	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Taos High	55	89	62	72	58	81	69	81	69	62	90
Taos Middle	363	97	89	92	89	96	94	93	89	93	91
Taos Municipal Charter	0										
Vista Grande High Charter	74	100	96	95	97	100	96	91	96	96	90

Source: PED anonymous survey collected from parents annually

National Assessment of Educational Progress Statewide Results

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student achievement across states and for the nation as a whole. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district or by school. For further information please visit <http://NCES.ED.Gov/NationsReportCard>.

NAEP does not replace assessments which annually measure student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students are required to take the standards based assessments, whereas the NAEP selects representative samples of students and districts. Because not all subject areas or grade levels are tested every year, these statewide results are for the most recent year assessed in that subject area and grade.

Statewide Participation

	Reading %	Math %	Science %
4th Grade ELL	98	98	94
4th Grade SWD*	93	92	86
8th Grade ELL	93	98	93
8th Grade SWD*	91	89	87

* NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities

Participation in NAEP is not mandatory

4th Grade	Reading (2013)				Math (2013)				Science (2009)			
	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic* %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic* %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic* %	Below %
New Mexico	4	18	31	48	4	27	43	26	#	24	39	37
Nation	8	26	33	33	8	34	41	18	1	32	39	29

8th Grade	Reading (2011)				Math (2011)				Science (2011)			
	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic* %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic* %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic* %	Below %
New Mexico	1	21	45	33	4	18	40	37	1	22	35	43
Nation	4	31	42	23	8	26	39	27	2	29	34	36

* Basic is most comparable to Proficient on New Mexico's Standards Based Assessment

Rounds to zero

Blanks indicate too few students to report