

**School Grading Summary**

The district grade is determined by the average of school grades in the district. For a description of status see page 2.

**District Grade B**

	Total Number	Percent
<b>Schools Rated in District</b>	4	100.0
<b>Schools in Priority Status</b>	0	0.0
<b>Schools in Focus Status</b>	0	0.0
<b>Schools in Strategic Status</b>	0	0.0
<b>Schools in Reward Status</b>	0	0.0

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability Division

**What are school grades?**

School Grading is part of state and federal law that mandates accountability for all public schools. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) enacted in 1965, which was reauthorized in 2001 as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires schools to show annual improvement in mathematics and reading. In 2011, New Mexico lawmakers enacted additional requirements that schools demonstrate progress through a grading system similar to that applied to students, issuing an A through F letter grade to each school [22-2-1, 22-2-2, and 22-2E-1 to 22-2E-4] [6.19.8.1 NMAC-N, 12-15-11]. Individual school report cards can be found online at <http://webapp2.ped.state.nm.us/SchoolData/SchoolGrading.aspx>

**What are School District Report Cards?**

Each LEA under the jurisdiction of the Public Education Department (PED) annually receives a comprehensive report of their achievement, accountability, teacher qualifications, and post-secondary success. This report is compiled for 89 districts that include regular and locally-authorized charter schools, and all state-authorized charter schools. Non-PED schools are exempt from both school grading and School District Report Cards, and include private, home, and Bureau of Indian Education schools.

**What is contained in this report?**

This report provides a concise summary of the LEA and its schools:

## LEA Demographic Profile

## Accountability

Summaries of School Grades

Cohort Graduation Rates (4, 5, and 6 Year)

Status of Non-Graduates

Attendance

Growth for Q1 and Q3 Subgroups

## Achievement

Proficiencies in Reading, Mathematics, and Science

Participation in Assessments

NAEP Statewide Summary for Grades 4 and 8

School Board Member Training

Budgeted Expenditures

Teacher Credentials

Post-Secondary Achievement (College Going, Credit Accumulation)

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

**Definitions and Abbreviations**

**LEA** Local Educational Authority is a broad term that encompasses districts with multiple schools or independent state-authorized charter schools. Locally-authorized charter schools are not LEAs and are reported with their parent district.

**Subgroups**

**Asian:** Asian or Pacific Islander

**Afr Am:** African American

**ELL:** English Language Learners

**ED:** Economically Disadvantaged as determined by eligibility for Free or Reduced Lunch

**SWD:** Students with disabilities; does not include special education students who are gifted

**Q1:** The lowest performing 25% (one quarter) of students in reading or mathematics

**Q3:** The higher performing 75% (three quarters) of students in reading or mathematics

**High/Low Poverty Schools** Schools with students most economically disadvantaged (top 25%), and least disadvantaged (bottom 25%).

**SGTs** School Growth Targets, like the predecessor Annual Measurable Objectives or AMOs, increase annually for monitoring subgroup performance and growth. Figures reflect whether the percent of students for the school meet the current year's goals.

**Recently Arrived** These are ELL students new to U.S. schools who qualify for exemption from the reading assessment.

**Student Demographics**

	LEA		State	
	Number	%	Number	%
All Students	505	100	337,054	100
Female	240	48	164,148	49
Male	265	53	172,906	51
Caucasian	244	48	84,518	25
African-American	10	2	7,530	2
Hispanic	245	49	204,866	61
Asian	3	1	4,489	1
Pacific Islander	0	0	509	0
American Indian	3	1	35,142	10
Multiple Races	0	0	2	0
ED	336	67	231,830	69
SWD	62	12	48,479	14
ELL	5	1	51,895	15
Migrant	0	0	458	0
Recently Arrived	2	0	19,389	6

Source: LEA 120th day submission to the PED

## Accountability - School Grading and Status

Status refers to schools that are in some form of improvement that requires increased monitoring and educational enhancement. The improvement categories are

- \*\*\* Priority Status (5% of schools that are lowest performing)
- \*\* Focus Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing and with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- \* Strategic Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- ^ Reward Status (the top 5% of schools in the state)

A school's status is footnoted next to their overall letter grade, and where blank, means the school is not in any status. Only schools receiving Title I funds are eligible, which in 2014 represented 654 schools.

School	Overall Grade	School	Overall Grade
Alvis Elementary	B	Clayton High	B
Clayton Junior	D	Kiser Elementary	C

## Accountability - School Growth Targets (SGTs)

Customized targets called School Growth Targets (SGTs) guide a school's path toward proficiency. These goals increase every year and challenge schools to identify student groups that might be struggling to keep up with their peers. Students who are not proficient but have made large enough gains to become proficient in the next 3 years are considered proficient. They are combined with students who are proficient today for percentages. Growth figures for Q1 and Q3 are in scaled score units, and should be evaluated in the context of the possible score on the assessment which ranges from 0 to 80.

Target (%)	Proficient or On Target to Proficiency									Growth				
	All Students	Caucasian	Afr Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Amer Indian	ED	SWD	ELL	Target (SS/Yr)	Q1	Target (SS/Yr)	Q3	
<b>Alvis Elementary</b>														
Reading 61	63.3 ✓	68.4 ✓		57.9 ✗			59.3 ✗				2.5	0.8 ✗	0.25	-0.7 ✗
Mathematics 55	79.7 ✓	78.9 ✓		81.6 ✓			74.1 ✓				2.2	1.5 ✗	0.15	-1.0 ✗
Attendance 92	96.7 ✓	97.2 ✓		96.3 ✓			96.4 ✓	96.1 ✓						
<b>Clayton High</b>														
Reading 61	58.1 ✗	70.0 ✓		45.5 ✗			41.0 ✗				2.5		0.25	-1.5 ✗
Mathematics 55	50.0 ✗	57.5 ✓		42.4 ✗			38.5 ✗				2.2		0.15	-1.7 ✗
Attendance 92	92.9 ✓	93.5 ✓		92.2 ✓			90.9 ✗							
Graduation 73.7	66.1 ✗	67.3 ✗		63.1 ✗			54.2 ✗							
<b>Clayton Junior</b>														
Reading 61	57.1 ✗	76.5 ✓		40.5 ✗			37.5 ✗				2.5	0.1 ✗	0.25	-0.6 ✗
Mathematics 55	45.5 ✗	55.9 ✓		35.7 ✗			41.7 ✗				2.2	-1.0 ✗	0.15	-1.9 ✗
Attendance 92	95.2 ✓	95.6 ✓		94.7 ✓			94.8 ✓							
<b>Kiser Elementary</b>														
Reading 61	68.8 ✓	70.7 ✓		66.7 ✓			62.5 ✓				2.5		0.25	-0.7 ✗
Mathematics 55	61.0 ✓	58.5 ✓		60.6 ✓			55.4 ✓				2.2		0.15	-2.5 ✗
Attendance 92	99.1 ✓	99.4 ✓		98.8 ✓			99.0 ✓							
<b>LEA Current</b>														
Reading 61	61.9 ✓	71.2 ✓		52.1 ✗			51.3 ✗	18.8 ✗			2.5	-0.1 ✗	0.25	-0.9 ✗
Mathematics 55	59.3 ✓	62.7 ✓		54.8 ✗			53.8 ✗	28.1 ✗			2.2	-0.6 ✗	0.15	-1.7 ✗
Attendance 92	95.8 ✓	96.2 ✓		95.4 ✓			95.4 ✓	95.8 ✓						
Graduation 73.7	66.1 ✗	67.3 ✗		63.1 ✗			54.2 ✗							

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau

## Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Grade

The assessments were developed to measure grade-level standards that New Mexico educators and the public determined are important for our students to master. Results include all students enrolled within the LEA or school, regardless of whether for a full academic year or not. Students are assessed in reading and mathematics in grades 3-8 and 10-11, and in science in grades 4, 7, and 11.

Grade		Reading				Mathematics				Science			
		Proficiency Level (%)											
		Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
3	State Current	6	45	24	24	4	45	34	16				
3	State Prior	6	49	24	21	4	47	36	13				
3	LEA Current	9	60	9	22	13	62	18	7				

		Reading				Mathematics				Science			
Grade		Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)			
		Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
3	LEA Prior	<2	59	24	16	3	59	24	14				
4	State Current	8	36	41	15	10	33	35	23	6	43	31	21
4	State Prior	10	36	41	13	9	36	35	19	7	46	28	19
4	LEA Current	9	44	38	9	6	59	21	15	3	56	29	12
4	LEA Prior	14	34	48	5	14	57	25	5	9	57	23	11
5	State Current	10	44	35	12	11	33	34	22				
5	State Prior	9	42	36	12	10	33	37	20				
5	LEA Current	12	53	21	14	19	42	26	14				
5	LEA Prior	7	67	27	<2	10	55	32	3				
6	State Current	9	34	40	17	5	32	38	24				
6	State Prior	8	39	40	13	6	34	38	23				
6	LEA Current	6	62	26	6	3	59	29	9				
6	LEA Prior	14	42	40	4	6	44	40	10				
7	State Current	5	46	34	14	5	35	37	23	10	32	34	24
7	State Prior	5	45	36	14	6	36	38	21	10	32	35	23
7	LEA Current	4	48	40	8	4	34	50	12	2	40	50	8
7	LEA Prior	12	46	35	8	12	65	15	8	23	35	35	8
8	State Current	7	51	29	13	5	35	43	17				
8	State Prior	5	55	28	12	5	38	44	14				
8	LEA Current	19	44	26	11	11	48	26	15				
8	LEA Prior	9	63	25	3	9	44	38	9				
10	State Current	5	33	41	21	5	26	52	17				
10	State Prior	8	33	40	19	4	26	53	17				
10	LEA Current	6	52	36	6	3	33	55	9				
10	LEA Prior	16	50	25	9	4	33	56	7				
11	State Current	9	43	36	13	9	34	46	11	4	37	41	18
11	State Prior	12	44	33	11	7	35	47	11	3	37	41	20
11	LEA Current	10	51	28	10	13	51	26	10	3	49	41	8
11	LEA Prior	12	48	33	6	9	47	38	6	9	47	41	3

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Subgroup														
		Reading				Mathematics				Science				
		Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)				
		Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	
All Students	LEA	9	52	28	11	9	48	31	12	2	47	41	9	
Female	LEA	14	59	20	6	9	59	23	9	2	56	38	5	
Male	LEA	4	45	35	16	9	38	39	14	4	37	46	14	
Caucasian	LEA	10	59	20	10	12	48	28	12	4	51	32	13	
African American	LEA													
Hispanic	LEA	8	43	36	13	6	47	36	11	<2	43	50	6	
Asian	LEA													
American Indian	LEA													
Economically Disadvantaged	LEA	8	42	35	15	7	45	31	17	<2	42	45	14	
Students w Disabilities	LEA	9	9	19	63	6	16	25	53	<2	47	27	27	
English Language Learners, Current	LEA													
Q1	LEA	<2	8	49	43	<2	6	51	43	<2	<2	68	32	

		Reading				Mathematics				Science			
Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient Level 1=Beginning Step		Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)			
		Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
Q3	LEA	12	67	21	<2	12	63	24	<2	3	65	31	<2

### Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by School

		Reading				Mathematics				Science			
Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient Level 1=Beginning Step		Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)			
		Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
Alvis Elementary		9	53	22	16	10	61	19	10	3	56	29	12
Clayton High		8	50	32	9	8	42	38	12	3	49	41	8
Clayton Junior		9	47	35	9	6	39	42	13	2	40	50	8
Kiser Elementary		9	57	23	10	12	49	27	12				

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10). Schools without tested grades (i.e. kindergarten only) will not have data. Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau

### Budgeted Expenditures

Locally-authorized charter schools manage their budgets independently of their parent district. For detailed information please contact either the individual school or the PED Budget and Finance Office for the budget analyst assigned to that school. The district summary includes their locally authorized charter schools.

	Amount	Percent
	\$	%
Capital Outlay	\$148,357	2.3
Central Services	\$180,616	2.8
Community Services	\$17	0.0
Debt Service		
Food Services	\$213,903	3.3
General Administration	\$219,762	3.4
Instruction	\$3,024,608	46.5
Instruction Support Services	\$79,610	1.2
Operations & Maintenance	\$633,209	9.7
Other Support Services	\$5,936	0.1
School Administration	\$643,587	9.9
Student Support Services	\$569,986	8.8
Student Transportation	\$778,833	12.0

Source: The PED School Budget and Financial Analysis Bureau

### School Board Training

School board members must accumulate five points during the year by attending specific training. These figures do not reflect additional training that board members may have received.

Board Member	Number of Points
Chad Deason	8
Craig Reeves	6
Lynette Keeth	0
Monte Anderson	18
Rebecca Hatch	11

Source: NM School Board Association

### Graduation - 5 Year Cohort of 2012

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2012 and either graduated on time, or required one additional year. The 4-year rates for the cohort of 2013 are displayed under School Growth Targets (SGT) earlier in this report. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
State Current	74.0	79.7	71.7	71.6	87.6	70.7	69.6	63.0	70.8
LEA Current	67.8	74.2		66.5			62.4		
Clayton High	67.8	74.2		66.5			62.4		

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10). Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau

### Graduation - 6 Year Cohort of 2011

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2011 and either graduated on time, or required up to two additional years. The cohort includes all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
State Current	73.5	80.2	69.4	71.1	83.6	68.3	68.8	63.6	69.7

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
LEA Current	>98.0	>98.0		>98.0			>98.0		
Clayton High	>98.0	>98.0		>98.0			>98.0		

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau

### Graduation - 4 Year Cohort of 2013, Status of Non Graduates

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2013 but did not graduate. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students. Percentages do not use the Shared Accountability method of calculation. For details see the Graduation Technical Guide on the PED website: [http://ped.state.nm.us/ped/Graduation\\_guides.html](http://ped.state.nm.us/ped/Graduation_guides.html)

	Certificate Completed coursework but did not pass exit exam %	Status Unknown Dropped out or whereabouts unknown %	Exit Out Exit with intent to get GED or vocational credential %	Still Enrolled Continuing high school enrollment past 4th year %
State Current	<2.0	20.8	7.3	8.0
LEA Current		31.6		
Clayton High		31.6		

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau

### College Going and College Credit Accumulation

These figures represent students who graduated in 2012 (College Going) and 2010 (Credits Earned) and were tracked for post-secondary education both inside and outside the state.

**Eligible** Students earning a regular high school diploma.

**Enrolled** Students who enrolled in an institution of higher education within 16 months of earning a regular high school diploma.

**Credits Earned** Students who enrolled and earned one year of college credit within two years of enrollment.

		All Students N	Cauc N	Afr Amer N	Hisp N	Asian N	Amer Indian N	ED N	SWD N	ELL N
LEA Current	Eligible	38	21		17			22		
LEA Current	Enrolled, In-State	20	12					10		
LEA Current	Enrolled, Out-of-State	14								
LEA Current	Credits Earned	16	10							
Clayton High	Eligible	38	21		17			22		
Clayton High	Enrolled, In-State	20	12					10		
Clayton High	Enrolled, Out-of-State	14								
Clayton High	Credits Earned	16	10							

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)

Source: National Student Clearinghouse

### Teacher Credentials

	Statewide %	LEA %
Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	.3	.0
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	High Poverty Schools	NA
	Low Poverty Schools	.6

NA= Not applicable; LEA did not have schools that qualified as high or low poverty

Professional Qualifications	Number of Teachers	Highest Degree*		Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
		Bachelor's	Advanced	
Alvis Elementary	17	47.1	52.9	0
Clayton High	16	43.8	56.3	0
Clayton Junior	6	66.7	33.3	4.2
Kiser Elementary	7	71.4	28.6	0

\* Does not include Below Bachelors

Blank=no data available, or not applicable

Source: LEA 120th day submission to PED

### Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

- Q1 My child is safe at school.
- Q2 My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3 My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4 School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.

- Q5 The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6 School staff maintain consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7 My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8 My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9 The school staff employ various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10 My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	Survey Count	Agree and Strongly Agree (% of Respondents)									
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10
Districtwide	20	85	70	80	95	53	84	58	85	89	95
Alvis Elementary	2	50	0	50	100	0	50	0	100	50	100
Clayton High	3	67	67	67	67	33	100	67	67	100	100
Clayton Junior	13	100	85	92	100	67	92	69	85	92	92
Kiser Elementary	2	50	50	50	100	50	50	0	100	100	100

Source: PED anonymous survey collected from parents annually

## National Assessment of Educational Progress Statewide Results

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student achievement across states and for the nation as a whole. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district or by school. For further information please visit <http://NCES.ED.Gov/NationsReportCard>.

NAEP does not replace assessments which annually measure student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students are required to take the standards based assessments, whereas the NAEP selects representative samples of students and districts. Because not all subject areas or grade levels are tested every year, these statewide results are for the most recent year assessed in that subject area and grade.

### Statewide Participation

	Reading %	Math %	Science %
4th Grade ELL	98	98	94
4th Grade SWD*	93	92	86
8th Grade ELL	93	98	93
8th Grade SWD*	91	89	87

\* NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities  
Participation in NAEP is not mandatory

4th Grade	Reading (2013)				Math (2013)				Science (2009)			
	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic* %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic* %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic* %	Below %
New Mexico	4	18	31	48	4	27	43	26	#	24	39	37
Nation	8	26	33	33	8	34	41	18	1	32	39	29

8th Grade	Reading (2011)				Math (2011)				Science (2011)			
	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic* %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic* %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic* %	Below %
New Mexico	1	21	45	33	4	18	40	37	1	22	35	43
Nation	4	31	42	23	8	26	39	27	2	29	34	36

\* Basic is most comparable to Proficient on New Mexico's Standards Based Assessment

# Rounds to zero

Blanks indicate too few students to report