Grady Municipal Schools

School Grading Summary

The district grade is determined by the

average of school grades in the dis For a description of status, see page		
	Total Number	Percent
Schools Rated in District	3	100.0
Schools in Priority Status	0	0.0
Schools in Focus Status	0	0.0
Schools in Strategic Status	0	0.0
Schools in Reward Status	1	33.3
	Source: PED Acc	countability Bureau

District Grade A

What are school grades?

School Grading is part of state and federal law that mandates accountability for all public schools. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) enacted in 1965 requires schools to show annual improvement in mathematics and reading. New Mexico statute specifies additional requirements that schools demonstrate progress through an A-F letter grade for each school. Individual school report cards can be found online at http://aae.ped.state.nm.us/.

What are School District Report Cards?

Each LEA under the jurisdiction of the Public Education Department (PED) annually receives a comprehensive report of their achievement, accountability, teacher qualifications, and post-secondary success. This report is compiled for 89 districts that include regular and locally authorized charter schools, and all state-authorized charter schools. Non-PED schools are exempt from both school grading and School District Report Cards and include private, home, and Bureau of Indian Education schools.

What is contained in this report?

This report provides a concise summary of the LEA and its schools:

LEA Demographic Profile

Accountability

Summaries of School Grades

Cohort Graduation Rates (4, 5, and 6 Year)

Status of Non-Graduates

Achievement

Proficiencies in Reading, Mathematics, and Science

NAEP Statewide Summary for Grades 4 and 8

School Board Member Training

Budgeted Expenditures

Teacher Credentials

Post-Secondary Achievement (College Going, Credit Accumulation)

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

Definitions and Abbreviations

LEA Local Educational Authority is a broad term that encompasses districts with multiple schools or independent state-authorized charter schools. Locally authorized charter schools are not LEAs and are reported with their parent district.

Subgroups

Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander
Afr Am: African American
Amer Indian: American Indian
Cauc: Caucasian

ELL: English Language Learners

ED: Economically Disadvantaged as determined by

eligibility for Free or Reduced Price Lunch Program

SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special

education students who are gifted

Q1: The lowest performing 25% (one quarter) of students

in reading or mathematics

Q3: The higher performing 75% (three quarters) of

students in reading or mathematics

High/Low Poverty Schools Schools with students most economically disadvantaged (top 25%) and least disadvantaged (bottom 25%).

Recently Arrived These are ELL students new to U.S. schools who qualify for exemption from the reading assessment.

Student Demographics								
	LEA		State					
	Number	%	Number	%				
All Students	124	100.0	335,694	100.0				
Female	53	42.7	164,149	48.9				
Male	71	57.3	171,545	51.1				
Caucasian	81	65.3	82,116	24.5				
African American	4	3.2	7,302	2.2				
Hispanic	37	29.8	205,853	61.3				
Asian	1	0.8	4,345	1.3				
American Indian	1	0.8	35,543	10.6				
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	535	0.2				
Multiracial	0	0.0	12	0.0				
ED	83	66.9	240,438	71.6				
SWD	26	21.0	49,729	14.8				
ELL	0	0.0	48,275	14.4				
Migrant	0	0.0	329	0.1				
Recently Arrived	0	0.0	14,844	4.4				
	Source: LEA 12	20th-day	submission to th	ne PED				

Accountability - School Grading and Status

Status refers to schools that are in some form of improvement that requires increased monitoring and educational enhancement. The improvement categories are

*** Priority Status (5% of schools that are lowest performing)

- ** Focus Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- * Strategic Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- ^ Reward Status (the top 5% of schools in the state)

A school's status is footnoted next to its overall letter grade and, where blank, means the school is not in any status. Only schools receiving Title I funds are eligible, which in 2016 represented 654 schools.

	Overall		Overall
School	Grade	School	Grade
Grady Elementary	Α	Grady High	Α ^
Grady Middle	В		

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Grade

The assessments were developed to measure grade-level standards that New Mexico educators and the public determined are important for students to master. Results include all students enrolled within the LEA or school, regardless of whether for a full academic year or not. Students are assessed in reading and mathematics in grades 3-11 and in science in grades 4, 7, and 11. Note that proficiencies do not include the assessment for grades KN, 1 and 2.

		Rea	ading	Mathematics Mathematics		Science	
Grade		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)
3	State Current	25	75	30	70		
3	LEA Current	60	40	70	30		
6	State Prior	22	78	19	81		
6	LEA Prior	45	55	64	36		
7	State Current	23	77	18	82	45	55
7	LEA Current	67	33	25	75	75	25
9	State Prior	27	73	16	84		
9	LEA Prior	50	50	10	90		
10	State Current	32	68	14	87		
10	LEA Current	36	64	18	82		
11	State Prior	44	56	10	90	36	64
11	LEA Prior	67	33	19	81	72	28
Blanks or m	nissing rows indicate t	oo few students to re	port (N<10)				

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Subgroup									
		Reading		Mathen	natics	Science			
		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)		
All Students	State Current	28	72	20	80	43	57		
All Students	LEA Current	55	45	27	73	78	22		
Female	LEA Current	68	32	27	73	79	21		
Female	State Current	34	66	20	80	41	59		
Male	State Current	22	78	20	80	44	56		
Male	LEA Current	44	56	27	73	77	23		
Caucasian	LEA Current	56	44	29	71	84	16		
Caucasian	State Current	43	57	33	67	64	36		
African American	State Current	24	76	15	85	38	62		
Hispanic	State Current	23	77	16	84	37	63		
Hispanic	LEA Current	48	52	20	80				
Asian	State Current	55	45	48	52	65	35		
American Indian	State Current	17	83	11	89	22	78		
Economically Disadvantaged	LEA Current	45	55	27	73	64	36		
Economically Disadvantaged	State Current	21	79	15	85	34	66		
Students w Disabilities	State Current	7	93	7	93	16	84		
Students w Disabilities	LEA Current	31	69	31	69				
English Language Learners	State Current	8	92	7	93	11	89		

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by School Reading **Science Mathematics** Not Not Not **Proficient Proficient Proficient Proficient Proficient Proficient** (%)(%) (%) (%) (%)(%) 50 50 47 53 **Grady Elementary** Grady High 57 43 11 89 Grady Middle 61 17 83 75 25 Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10). Schools without tested grades 3 through 11 will not have data. Source: PED Accountability Bureau

Budgeted Expenditures

Locally authorized charter schools manage their budgets independently of their parent district. For detailed information please contact either the individual school or the PED Budget and Finance Office for the budget analyst assigned to that school. The district summary includes its locally authorized charter schools.

	Amount	Percent							
	\$	%							
Capital Outlay	\$153,035	5.9							
Central Services	\$76,501	3.0							
Community Services	\$0	0.0							
Debt Service	\$62,618	2.4							
Food Services	\$117,505	4.6							
General Administration	\$198,602	7.7							
Instruction	\$1,221,629	47.3							
Instructional Support Services	\$7,911	0.3							
Operations & Maintenance	\$348,863	13.5							
Other Support Services	\$1,418	0.1							
School Administration	\$97,725	3.8							
Student Support Services	\$105,169	4.1							
Student Transportation	\$190,654	7.4							
	Source: PED School Bud	Source: PED School Budget and Financial Analysis Bureau							

School Board Training

School board members must accumulate five points during the year by attending specific training. These figures do not reflect additional training that board members may have received.

Board Member		Number of Points					
Gerald Garland		0					
Jimmy Schell		6					
Phillip Borden		2					
Quentin Woods		0					
Wade Edwards		6					
	Source: NM School Board Association						

Graduation - 4-Year Cohort of 2015

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2015, and graduated on time. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
State Current	68.6	73.6	61.0	67.2	78.9	62.9	63.5	59.3	64.0
LEA Current	96	>98.0							
Grady High	96	>98.0							
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).						Sourc	e: PED Aco	countability I	Bureau

Graduation - 5-Year Cohort of 2014

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2014, and either graduated on time or required one additional year. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer			
	Students	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
State Current	70.5	76.4	65.8	69.0	86.1	62.9	64.6	59.5	66.3
LEA Current	90.3								
Grady High	90.3								
Rlanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).								itv Bureau	

Graduation - 6-Year Cohort of 2013

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2013, and either graduated on time or required up to two additional years. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer			
Sto	udents	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Grady Municipal Schools Page 3 of 5 School District Report Card 2015-2016

State Current	71.8	78.7	69.5	69.5	87.4	65.7	66.6	62.3	67.2
LEA Current	95.2	96.7							
Grady High	95.2	96.7							
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).						So	urce: PED	Accountabi	lity Bureau

Graduation - 4-Year Cohort of 2015, Status of Non-Graduates

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2015, but did not graduate. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students. Percentages do not use the Shared Accountability method of calculation. For details see the Cohort Graduation Rate Technical Manual on the PED website:

http://ped.state.nm.us/ped/Graduation_guides.html.

Certificate	Status Unknown	Exit Out	Still Enrolled	
Completed coursework	Dropped out	Exited with intent to	Continued high school	
but did not	or whereabouts	get GED or	enrollment	
pass exit exam	unknown	vocational credential	past 4th year	i
%	%	%	%	
<2	29	6	3	1
			·	

LEA Current

Grady High

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).

Source: PED Accountability Bureau

College Going and College Credit Accumulation

These figures represent students who graduated in 2014 (College Going) and 2012 (Credits Earned) and were tracked for post-secondary education both inside and outside the state.

Eligible Students earning a regular high school diploma.

Enrolled Students who enrolled in an institution of higher education within 16 months of earning a regular high school diploma.

Credits Earned Students who enrolled and earned one year of college credit within two years of enrollment.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-							
		All		Afr			Amer			
		Students	Cauc	Amer	Hisp	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
LEA Current	Eligible									
LEA Current	Enrolled in state									
LEA Current	Credits Earned									
Grady High	Eligible									
Grady High	Enrolled in state									
Grady High	Credits Earned									
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).							Source: N	ational S	Student Clear	ringhouse

Teacher Credentials				
		S	Statewide	LEA
			%	%
Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials			.3	7.1
Care Classes Net Taught by Highly Qualified Tageham	High Pover	ty Schools	NA	NA
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Low Pover	ty Schools	2.2	.0
NA= Not applicable; LEA did not have schools that qualified as	high or low pov	erty.		
Professsional Qualifications		Highest Degree*		Classes Not

Professsional Qualifications	Number of Teachers	Highest D Bachelor's %	Degree* Advanced %	Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers %
Grady Elementary	10	40.0	60.0	0.0
Grady High	6	83.3	16.7	0.0
Grady Middle	7	42.9	57.1	0.0

* Does not include Below Bachelors

Blank=no data available or not applicable

Source: LEA 120th-day submission to PED

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

- Q1 My child is safe at school.
- Q2 My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3 My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4 School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5 The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6 School staff maintain consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.

- Q7 My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8 My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9 The school staff employ various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10 My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	Cumian	Agree and Strongly Agree (% of Respondents))	
	Survey Count	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10
LEA Current	85	98	97	100	94	98	97	84	98	93	98
Grady Elementary	51	100	98	100	96	100	100	86	100	94	98
Grady High	18	94	94	100	83	94	94	77	94	89	100
Grady Middle	16	100	100	100	100	100	93	87	100	93	100
Source: PED anonymous survey collected from parents annuall											annually

National Assessment of Educational Progress Statewide Results

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student achievement across states and for the nation as a whole. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district or by school. For further information please visit http://NCES.ED.Gov/NationsReportCard.

NAEP does not replace assessments that annually measure student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students are required to take the standards-based assessments, whereas the NAEP selects representative samples of students and districts. Because not all subject areas or grade levels are tested every year, these statewide results are for the most recent year assessed in that subject area and grade.

Statewide Participation 2015											
	Reading %	Math %	Science %								
4th Grade ELL	91	95	95								
4th Grade SWD*	93	88	93								
8th Grade ELL	92	95	96								
8th Grade SWD*	89	90	92								

^{*} NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities.

4th	Reading (2015)				Reading (2015) Math (2015)					Science (2015)			
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	
New Mexico	4	19	31	46	3	24	47	27	#	24	40	37	
Nation	8	27	33	32	7	32	42	19	1	36	39	25	

8th	Reading (2015)				Math (2015)				Science (2015)			
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %
New Mexico	1	19	45	35	3	17	41	39	1	20	35	45
Nation	3	29	42	25	8	24	38	30	2	31	34	33

[#] Rounds to zero