Hagerman Municipal Schools

School Grading Summary The district grade is determined by the

District Grade C

average of school grades in the district.
For a description of status see page 2.

. o. a accomplian or status see pag	,0 =.			
	Total Number			
Schools Rated in District	3	100.0		
Schools in Priority Status	0	0.0		
Schools in Focus Status	0	0.0		
Schools in Strategic Status	0	0.0		
Schools in Reward Status	0	0.0		

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability Division

What are school grades?

School Grading is part of state and federal law that mandates accountability for all public schools. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) enacted in 1965, which was reauthorized in 2001 as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires schools to show annual improvement in mathematics and reading. In 2011, New Mexico lawmakers enacted additional requirements that schools demonstrate progress through a grading system similar to that applied to students, issuing an A through F letter grade to each school [22-2-1, 22-2-2, and 22-2E-1 to 22-2E-4] [6.19.8.1 NMAC–N, 12-15-11]. Individual school report cards can be found online at http://webapp2.ped.state.nm.us/SchoolData/SchoolGrading.aspx

What are School District Report Cards?

Each LEA under the jurisdiction of the Public Education Department (PED) annually receives a comprehensive report of their achievement, accountability, teacher qualifications, and post-secondary success. This report is compiled for 89 districts that include regular and locally-authorized charter schools, and all state-authorized charter schools. Non-PED schools are exempt from both school grading and School District Report Cards, and include private, home, and Bureau of Indian Education schools.

What is contained in this report?

This report provides a concise summary of the LEA and its schools:

LEA Demographic Profile

Accountability

Summaries of School Grades

Cohort Graduation Rates (4, 5, and 6 Year)

Status of Non-Graduates

Achievement

Proficiencies in Reading, Mathematics, and Science

NAEP Statewide Summary for Grades 4 and 8

School Board Member Training

Budgeted Expenditures

Teacher Credentials

Post-Secondary Achievement (College Going, Credit Accumulation)

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

Definitions and Abbreviations

LEA Local Educational Authority is a broad term that encompasses districts with multiple schools or independent state-authorized charter schools. Locally-authorized charter schools are not LEAs and are reported with their parent district.

Subgroups

Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander

Afr Am: African American

ELL: English Language Learners

ED: Economically Disadvantaged as determined by eligibility for

Free or Reduced Lunch

SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special education

students who are gifted

Q1: The lowest performing 25% (one quarter) of students in

reading or mathematics

Q3: The higher performing 75% (three quarters) of students in

reading or mathematics

High/Low Poverty Schools Schools with students most economically disadvantaged (top 25%), and least disadvantaged (bottom 25%).

Recently Arrived These are ELL students new to U.S. schools who qualify for exemption from the reading assessment.

Student Demographics								
	LEA		State					
	Number	%	Number	%				
All Students	460	100	338,550	100				
Female	221	48	165,208	49				
Male	239	52	173,342	51				
Caucasian	131	29	84,141	25				
African-American	1	0	7,051	2				
Hispanic	325	71	206,859	61				
Asian	2	0	4,338	1				
American Indian	1	0	35,637	11				
Pacific Islander	0	0	524	0				
Multiracial	0	0	5	0				
ED	460	100	242,595	72				
SWD	73	16	49,656	15				
ELL	98	21	50,059	15				
Migrant	14	3	354	0				
Recently Arrived	52	11	16,910	5				
	ource: LEA 12	Oth day	submission to the	e PED				

Accountability - School Grading and Status

Status refers to schools that are in some form of improvement that requires increased monitoring and educational enhancement. The improvement categories are

*** Priority Status (5% of schools that are lowest performing)

- ** Focus Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing and with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- * Strategic Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- ^ Reward Status (the top 5% of schools in the state)

A school's status is footnoted next to their overall letter grade, and where blank, means the school is not in any status. Only schools receiving Title I funds are eligible, which in 2015 represented 654 schools.

School	Overall Grade	School	Overall Grade
Hagerman Elementary	С	Hagerman High	Α
Hagerman Middle	С		

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Grade

The assessments were developed to measure grade-level standards that New Mexico educators and the public determined are important for our students to master. Results include all students enrolled within the LEA or school, regardless of whether for a full academic year or not. Students are assessed in reading and mathematics in grades 3-11, and in science in grades 4, 7, and 11. Note that proficiencies do not include the assessment for grades KN, 1 and 2, in order to be consistent with prior reports.

		Re	ading	Mathe	ematics	Scie	nce
Grade		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)
3	State Current	26.1	73.9	25.6	74.4		
3	State Prior	51.8	48.2	49.4	50.6		
3	LEA Current	8.6	91.4	14.7	85.3		
3	LEA Prior	41.4	58.6	20.7	79.3		
4	State Current	23.8	76.2	18.8	81.2	42.5	57.5
4	State Prior	43.8	56.2	42.7	57.3	48.3	51.7
4	LEA Current	13.3	86.7	10.0	90.0	16.7	83.3
4	LEA Prior	21.6	78.4	13.5	86.5	24.3	75.7
5	State Current	24.1	75.9	20.8	79.2		
5	State Prior	53.2	46.8	43.7	56.3		
5	LEA Current	13.5	86.5	8.1	91.9		
5	LEA Prior	66.7	33.3	66.7	33.3		
6	State Current	22.0	78.0	18.8	81.2		
6	State Prior	43.3	56.7	37.0	63.0		
6	LEA Current	20.7	79.3	20.7	79.3		
6	LEA Prior	39.0	61.0	31.7	68.3		
7	State Current	21.4	78.6	15.5	84.5	40.0	60.0
7	State Prior	51.5	48.5	39.8	60.2	42.2	57.8
7	LEA Current	30.2	69.8	9.3	90.7	39.5	60.5
7	LEA Prior	54.8	45.2	38.7	61.3	29.0	71.0
8	State Current	22.9	77.1	17.2	82.8		
8	State Prior	58.7	41.3	40.0	60.0		
8	LEA Current	27.0	73.0	18.4	81.6		
8	LEA Prior	59.0	41.0	23.1	76.9		
9	State Current	26.7	73.3	16.0	84.0		
9	LEA Current	15.4	84.6	2.4	97.6		
10	State Current	31.0	69.0	12.4	87.6		
10	State Prior	37.7	62.3	30.4	69.6		
10	LEA Current	35.3	64.7	6.3	93.8		
10	LEA Prior	17.2	82.8	10.3	89.7		
11	State Current	44.4	55.6	10.0	90.0	36.2	63.8
11	State Prior	51.8	48.2	42.8	57.2	41.3	58.7
11	LEA Current	31.0	69.0	4.2	95.8	17.2	82.8
11	LEA Prior	65.4	34.6	56.0	44.0	54.2	45.8

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Subgroup									
		Re	ading	Mather	natics	Scien	ice		
		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)		
All Students	LEA Current	21.7	78.3	9.7	90.3	26.5	73.5		
All Students	State Current	26.6	73.4	17.6	82.4	39.8	60.2		
Female	State Current	31.7	68.3	17.7	82.3	38.4	61.6		
Female	LEA Current	27.2	72.8	7.8	92.2	36.5	63.5		
Male	State Current	21.7	78.3	17.6	82.4	41.1	58.9		
Male	LEA Current	16.1	83.9	11.6	88.4	16.0	84.0		
Caucasian	State Current	42.5	57.5	29.9	70.1	61.0	39.0		
Caucasian	LEA Current	33.7	66.3	17.3	82.7	44.8	55.2		
African American	State Current	24.5	75.5	13.9	86.1	36.9	63.1		
Hispanic	State Current	21.8	78.2	13.7	86.3	33.7	66.3		
Hispanic	LEA Current	16.7	83.3	7.1	92.9	19.4	80.6		
Asian	State Current	53.8	46.2	45.6	54.4	65.1	34.9		
American Indian	State Current	13.9	86.1	9.0	91.0	20.6	79.4		
Economically Disadvantaged	LEA Current	21.7	78.3	9.9	90.1	26.0	74.0		
Economically Disadvantaged	State Current	19.0	81.0	12.4	87.6	31.1	68.9		
Students w Disabilities	State Current	6.6	93.4	6.4	93.6	15.0	85.0		
Students w Disabilities	LEA Current	2.6	97.4	2.6	97.4	7.1	92.9		
English Language Learners	State Current	7.1	92.9	5.3	94.7	10.2	89.8		
English Language Learners	LEA Current	6.3	93.8	6.2	93.8	5.6	94.4		
Migrant	State Current	11.3	88.7	4.7	95.3	10.6	89.4		
Migrant	LEA Current	10.0	90.0	10.0	90.0				
Q1	State Current	2.9	97.1	0.8	99.2	1.3	98.7		
Q1	LEA Current	3.4	96.6	1.0	99.0	4.0	96.0		
Q3	LEA Current	28.8	71.2	13.8	86.2	35.1	64.9		
Q3	State Current	35.5	64.5	23.6	76.4	53.5	46.5		

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by School										
	Re	Reading		natics	Scier	nce				
	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)				
Hagerman Elementary	11.9	88.1	11.0	89.0	16.7	83.3				
Hagerman High	26.8	73.2	2.2	97.8	17.9	82.1				
Hagerman Middle	27.5	72.5	15.6	84.4	38.6	61.4				
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10). Schools without test	ed grades (i.e. kindergarten	only) will not have data.			Source: PED Data Planning	g and Analysis Bureau				

Budgeted Expenditures

Locally-authorized charter schools manage their budgets independently of their parent district. For detailed information please contact either the individual school or the PED Budget and Finance Office for the budget analyst assigned to that school. The district summary includes their locally authorized charter schools.

	Amount \$	Percent %
Capital Outlay	\$215,262	3.8
Central Services	\$73,002	1.3
Community Services		
Debt Service	\$155,323	2.7
Food Services	\$276,369	4.9
General Administration	\$248,156	4.4
Instruction	\$2,916,290	51.6
Instruction Support Services	\$54,672	1.0
Operations & Maintenance	\$705,554	12.5
Other Support Services	\$7,974	0.1
School Administration	\$323,374	5.7
Student Support Services	\$404,930	7.2
Student Transportation	\$273,700	4.8

School Board Training

School board members must accumulate five points during the year by attending specific training. These figures do not reflect additional training that board members may have received.

Board Member	Number of Points
Chad Hamill	7
Chris Villa	6
Cody Munson	0
Destry Moss	11
Heidi Gray	11
James Hollmann	0
Lois Wilson Stephens	19
Trey Lilly	0
	Source: NM School Board Association

Graduation - 4 Year Cohort of 2014

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2014 and graduated on time. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %	
Statewide	69.3	75.7	64.3	67.6	85.4	61.7	63.0	57.4	64.5	
Districtwide	84.7	77.7		90.1			84.1			
Hagerman High	84.7	77.7		90.1			84.1			
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)										

Graduation - 5 Year Cohort of 2013

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2013 and either graduated on time, or required one additional year. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %	
Statewide	71.4	78.3	69.1	69.1	87.4	65.4	66.1	61.6	66.7	
Districtwide	80.1			83.5			80.5		87.6	
Hagerman High	80.1			83.5			80.5		87.6	
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10) Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Burea										

Graduation - 6 Year Cohort of 2012

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2012 and either graduated on time, or required up to two additional years. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %		
Statewide	74.4	80.1	71.9	72.1	87.9	70.8	70.0	63.6	71.4		
Districtwide	85.3	80.6		88.9			85.0		88.2		
Hagerman High	85.3	80.6		88.9			85.0		88.2		
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10) Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau											

Graduation - 4 Year Cohort of 2014, Status of Non Graduates

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2014 but did not graduate. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students. Percentages do not use the Shared Accountability method of calculation. For details see the Graduation Technical Guide on the PED website: http://ped.state.nm.us/ped/Graduation_guides.html

	Certificate	Status Unknown	Exit Out	Still Enrolled				
	Completed coursework	Dropped out	Exit with intent to	Continuing high school				
	but did not	or whereabouts	get GED or	enrollment				
	pass exit exam	unknown	vocational credential	past 4th year				
	%	%	%	%				
Statewide	0.2	21.9	6.2	9.5				
Districtwide	0.0	3.1	12.5	0.0				
Hagerman High	0.0	3.1	12.5	0.0				
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)			Source: PED Data	Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau				

College Going and College Credit Accumulation

These figures represent students who graduated in 2013 (College Going) and 2011 (Credits Earned) and were tracked for post-secondary education both inside and outside the state.

Eligible Students earning a regular high school diploma.

Enrolled Students who enrolled in an institution of higher education within 16 months of earning a regular high school diploma.
 Credits Earned Students who enrolled and earned one year of college credit within two years of enrollment.

		All		Afr			Amer			
		Students	Cauc	Amer	Hisp	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Hagerman High	Eligible	26			22			26		
Hagerman High	Enrolled, In-State	16			13			16		
Hagerman High	Credits Earned	16			12			16		
Hagerman Municipal Schools			Dage	1 of 6		School	District F	Panort	Card 201	1-2015

Hagerman Municipal Schools Page 4 of 6 School District Report Card 2014-2015

		Statewide	LEA
		%	%
Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials		.3	10.8
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	High Poverty Schools	3.6	8.4
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Low Poverty Schools	NA	NA

NA= Not applicable; LEA did not have schools that qualified as high or low poverty

Professsional Qualifications	Number of	Highest	Degree*	Core Classes Not Taught by Highly
	Teachers	Bachelor's	Advanced	Qualified Teachers
Hagerman Elementary	19	52.6	47.4	0
Hagerman High	11	45.5	45.5	11.8
Hagerman Middle	11	36.4	54.5	12.1

^{*} Does not include Below Bachelors

Blank=no data available, or not applicable

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

- Q1 My child is safe at school.
- Q2 My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3 My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4 School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5 The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6 School staff maintain consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7 My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8 My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9 The school staff employ various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10 My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	Cumiou	Agree and Strongly Agree (% of Respondents)										
	Survey Count	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	
Districtwide	108	97	94	91	96	94	94	81	97	93	94	
Hagerman Elementary	58	98	94	91	96	96	92	83	98	92	94	
Hagerman High	25	95	91	90	95	95	96	92	91	95	91	
Hagerman Middle	25	95	95	90	96	88	95	63	100	95	96	
Source: PED anonymous survey collected from parents annually												

National Assessment of Educational Progress Statewide Results

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student achievement across states and for the nation as a whole. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district or by school. For further information please visit http://NCES.ED.Gov/NationsReportCard.

NAEP does not replace assessments which annually measure student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students are required to take the standards based assessments, whereas the NAEP selects representative samples of students and districts. Because not all subject areas or grade levels are tested every year, these statewide results are for the most recent year assessed in that subject area and grade.

Statewide Participation										
	Reading %	Math %	Science %							
4th Grade ELL	91	95	94 (2009)							
4th Grade SWD*	93	88	86 (2009)							
8th Grade ELL	92	95	93 (2011)							
8th Grade SWD*	89	90	87 (2011)							

^{*} NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities

Participation in NAEP is not mandatory

Source: LEA 120th day submission to PED

4th	Reading (2015)				Math (2015)				Science (2009)			
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %
New Mexico	4	19	31	46	3	24	47	27	#	24	39	37
Nation	8	27	33	32	7	32	42	19	1	32	39	29

8th	Reading (2015)				Math (2015)				Science (2011)			
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic* %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %
New Mexico	1	19	45	35	3	17	41	39	1	22	35	43
Nation	3	29	42	25	8	24	38	30	2	29	34	36

^{*} Basic is most comparable to Proficient on New Mexico's Standards Based Assessment

Blanks indicate too few students to report

[#] Rounds to zero