Chama Valley Independent Schools

School District Report Card 2014-2015

School Grading Summary									
The district grade is determined by the average of school grades in the district. For a description of status see page 2.									
	Total Number	Percent							
Schools Rated in District	4	100.0							
Schools in Priority Status	0	0.0							
Schools in Focus Status	0	0.0							
Schools in Strategic Status	0	0.0							
Schools in Reward Status	0	0.0							
Source: PED Assessment and Accountability Division									

What are school grades?

School Grading is part of state and federal law that mandates accountability for all public schools. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) enacted in 1965, which was reauthorized in 2001 as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires schools to show annual improvement in mathematics and reading. In 2011, New Mexico lawmakers enacted additional requirements that schools demonstrate progress through a grading system similar to that applied to students, issuing an A through F letter grade to each school [22-2-1, 22-2-2, and 22-2E-1 to 22-2E-4] [6.19.8.1 NMAC-N, 12-15-11]. Individual school report cards can be found online at http://webapp2.ped.state.nm.us/SchoolData/SchoolGrading.aspx

What are School District Report Cards?

Each LEA under the jurisdiction of the Public Education Department (PED) annually receives a comprehensive report of their achievement, accountability, teacher qualifications, and postsecondary success. This report is compiled for 89 districts that include regular and locally-authorized charter schools, and all stateauthorized charter schools. Non-PED schools are exempt from both school grading and School District Report Cards, and include private, home, and Bureau of Indian Education schools.

What is contained in this report?

This report provides a concise summary of the LEA and its schools:

LEA Demographic Profile

Accountability

Summaries of School Grades

Cohort Graduation Rates (4, 5, and 6 Year)

Status of Non-Graduates

Achievement

Proficiencies in Reading, Mathematics, and Science

NAEP Statewide Summary for Grades 4 and 8

School Board Member Training

Budgeted Expenditures

Teacher Credentials

Post-Secondary Achievement (College Going, Credit Accumulation)

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

Definitions and Abbreviations

LEA Local Educational Authority is a broad term that encompasses districts with multiple schools or independent state-authorized charter schools. Locally-authorized charter schools are not LEAs and are reported with their parent district.

Subgroups

Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander

Afr Am: African American

English Language Learners

Economically Disadvantaged as determined by eligibility for

Free or Reduced Lunch

SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special education

students who are gifted

Q1: The lowest performing 25% (one quarter) of students in

reading or mathematics

Q3: The higher performing 75% (three quarters) of students in

reading or mathematics

High/Low Poverty Schools Schools with students most economically disadvantaged (top 25%), and least disadvantaged (bottom 25%).

Recently Arrived These are ELL students new to U.S. schools who qualify for exemption from the reading assessment.

tudent Demogra	phics					
LEA State						
	Number	%	Number	%		
All Students	383	100	338,550	100		
Female	168	44	165,208	49		
Male	215	56	173,342	51		
Caucasian	38	10	84,141	25		
African-American	4	1	7,051	2		
Hispanic	335	88	206,859	61		
Asian	0	0	4,338	1		
American Indian	6	2	35,637	11		
Pacific Islander	0	0	524	0		
Multiracial	0	0	5	0		
ED	373	97	242,595	72		
SWD	75	20	49,656	15		
ELL	88	23	50,059	15		
Migrant	0	0	354	0		
Recently Arrived	16	4	16,910	5		
S	ource: LEA 12	Oth day	submission to the	PED		

Accountability - School Grading and Status

Status refers to schools that are in some form of improvement that requires increased monitoring and educational enhancement. The improvement categories are

*** Priority Status (5% of schools that are lowest performing)

- ** Focus Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing and with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- * Strategic Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- ^ Reward Status (the top 5% of schools in the state)

A school's status is footnoted next to their overall letter grade, and where blank, means the school is not in any status. Only schools receiving Title I funds are eligible, which in 2015 represented 654 schools.

School	Overall Grade	School	Overall Grade
Chama Elementary	F	Chama Middle	С
Escalante High	С	Tierra Amarilla Elementary	С

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Grade

The assessments were developed to measure grade-level standards that New Mexico educators and the public determined are important for our students to master. Results include all students enrolled within the LEA or school, regardless of whether for a full academic year or not. Students are assessed in reading and mathematics in grades 3-11, and in science in grades 4, 7, and 11. Note that proficiencies do not include the assessment for grades KN, 1 and 2, in order to be consistent with prior reports.

		Re	ading	Mathe	ematics	Scie	nce
Grade		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)
3	State Current	26.1	73.9	25.6	74.4		
3	State Prior	51.8	48.2	49.4	50.6		
3	LEA Current	7.4	92.6	15.4	84.6		
3	LEA Prior	57.1	42.9	52.4	47.6		
4	State Current	23.8	76.2	18.8	81.2	42.5	57.5
4	State Prior	43.8	56.2	42.7	57.3	48.3	51.7
4	LEA Current	15.0	85.0	10.5	89.5	47.4	52.6
4	LEA Prior	40.7	59.3	25.9	74.1	48.1	51.9
5	State Current	24.1	75.9	20.8	79.2		
5	State Prior	53.2	46.8	43.7	56.3		
5	LEA Current	7.4	92.6	3.7	96.3		
5	LEA Prior	59.4	40.6	40.6	59.4		
6	State Current	22.0	78.0	18.8	81.2		
6	State Prior	43.3	56.7	37.0	63.0		
6	LEA Current	22.6	77.4	22.6	77.4		
6	LEA Prior	46.7	53.3	30.0	70.0		
7	State Current	21.4	78.6	15.5	84.5	40.0	60.0
7	State Prior	51.5	48.5	39.8	60.2	42.2	57.8
7	LEA Current	6.7	93.3	10.0	90.0	36.7	63.3
7	LEA Prior	56.7	43.3	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
8	State Current	22.9	77.1	17.2	82.8		
8	State Prior	58.7	41.3	40.0	60.0		
8	LEA Current	29.6	70.4	25.9	74.1		
8	LEA Prior	71.8	28.2	43.6	56.4		
9	State Current	26.7	73.3	16.0	84.0		
9	LEA Current	40.5	59.5	5.4	94.6		
10	State Current	31.0	69.0	12.4	87.6		
10	State Prior	37.7	62.3	30.4	69.6		
10	LEA Current	40.0	60.0	4.3	95.7		
10	LEA Prior	44.8	55.2	17.2	82.8		
11	State Current	44.4	55.6	10.0	90.0	36.2	63.8
11	State Current	51.8	48.2	42.8	57.2	41.3	58.7
11	LEA Current	46.4	53.6	5.6	94.4	28.6	71.4
11	LEA Prior	42.3	57.7	34.6	65.4	30.8	69.2

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Subgroup												
		Re	ading	Science								
		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)					
All Students	LEA Current	24.6	75.4	10.5	89.5	36.4	63.6					
All Students	State Current	26.6	73.4	17.6	82.4	39.8	60.2					
Female	LEA Current	29.9	70.1	10.1	89.9	35.1	64.9					
Female	State Current	31.7	68.3	17.7	82.3	38.4	61.6					
Male	LEA Current	20.7	79.3	10.8	89.2	37.5	62.5					
Male	State Current	21.7	78.3	17.6	82.4	41.1	58.9					
Caucasian	State Current	42.5	57.5	29.9	70.1	61.0	39.0					
Caucasian	LEA Current	33.3	66.7	20.0	80.0							
African American	State Current	24.5	75.5	13.9	86.1	36.9	63.1					
Hispanic	State Current	21.8	78.2	13.7	86.3	33.7	66.3					
Hispanic	LEA Current	24.0	76.0	9.8	90.2	31.8	68.2					
Asian	State Current	53.8	46.2	45.6	54.4	65.1	34.9					
American Indian	State Current	13.9	86.1	9.0	91.0	20.6	79.4					
Economically Disadvantaged	State Current	19.0	81.0	12.4	87.6	31.1	68.9					
Economically Disadvantaged	LEA Current	25.2	74.8	10.8	89.2	34.7	65.3					
Students w Disabilities	LEA Current	2.3	97.7	4.8	95.2	6.7	93.3					
Students w Disabilities	State Current	6.6	93.4	6.4	93.6	15.0	85.0					
English Language Learners	LEA Current	3.6	96.4	3.8	96.2	7.1	92.9					
English Language Learners	State Current	7.1	92.9	5.3	94.7	10.2	89.8					
Migrant	State Current	11.3	88.7	4.7	95.3	10.6	89.4					
Q1	LEA Current	3.9	96.1	1.5	98.5	5.0	95.0					
Q1	State Current	2.9	97.1	0.8	99.2	1.3	98.7					
Q3	State Current	35.5	64.5	23.6	76.4	53.5	46.5					
Q3	LEA Current	33.7	66.3	14.6	85.4	49.1	50.9					

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by School										
Reading Mathematics Science										
	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)				
Chama Elementary	5.9	94.1	6.3	93.8						
Chama Middle	26.3	73.7	13.2	86.8	18.8	81.3				
Escalante High	35.0	65.0	9.1	90.9	38.1	61.9				
Tierra Amarilla Elementary	11.9	88.1	13.8	86.2	45.5	54.5				
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10). Schools without tested gra	des (i.e. kindergarten	only) will not have data.			Source: PED Data Planning	g and Analysis Bureau				

Budgeted Expenditures

Locally-authorized charter schools manage their budgets independently of their parent district. For detailed information please contact either the individual school or the PED Budget and Finance Office for the budget analyst assigned to that school. The district summary includes their locally authorized charter schools.

Amount \$	Percent %
\$628,277	7.9
\$177,900	2.2
\$906,441	11.5
\$324,382	4.1
\$278,150	3.5
\$3,069,937	38.8
\$78,201	1.0
\$809,159	10.2
\$8,365	0.1
\$491,646	6.2
\$729,450	9.2
\$413,419	5.2
	\$ \$628,277 \$177,900 \$906,441 \$324,382 \$278,150 \$3,069,937 \$78,201 \$809,159 \$8,365 \$491,646 \$729,450

School Board Training

School board members must accumulate five points during the year by attending specific training. These figures do not reflect additional training that board members may have received.

Board Member		Number of Points
Donald Valdez		10
Earl James Martinez		29
Monica Cordova		11
Stephanie Maestas		11
Tirzio Lopez		28
William Russom		7
	Source: NM School Box	ard Association

Graduation - 4 Year Cohort of 2014

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2014 and graduated on time. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
Statewide	69.3	75.7	64.3	67.6	85.4	61.7	63.0	57.4	64.5
Districtwide	95.8			95.6			95.5		95.0
Escalante High	95.7			95.5			95.4		95.0
Tierra Amarilla Elementary	>98.0								
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)					Sou	rce: PED Da	ata Plannino	and Analys	sis Bureau

Graduation - 5 Year Cohort of 2013

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2013 and either graduated on time, or required one additional year. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
Statewide	71.4	78.3	69.1	69.1	87.4	65.4	66.1	61.6	66.7
Districtwide	93.6			94.1			96.6		89.4
Escalante High	93.6			94.1			96.6		89.4
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)					Sou	rce: PED Da	ata Planning	and Analys	sis Bureau

Graduation - 6 Year Cohort of 2012

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2012 and either graduated on time, or required up to two additional years. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer			
	Students	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Statewide	74.4	80.1	71.9	72.1	87.9	70.8	70.0	63.6	71.4
Districtwide	75.6			80.0			29.0		77.9
Escalante High	75.6			80.0			29.0		77.9
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)					Sou	rce: PED Da	ata Plannino	g and Analys	sis Bureau

Graduation - 4 Year Cohort of 2014, Status of Non Graduates

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2014 but did not graduate. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students. Percentages do not use the Shared Accountability method of calculation. For details see the Graduation Technical Guide on the PED website: http://ped.state.nm.us/ped/Graduation guides.html

	Certificate Completed coursework but did not pass exit exam %	Status Unknown Dropped out or whereabouts unknown %	Exit Out Exit with intent to get GED or vocational credential %	Still Enrolled Continuing high school enrollment past 4th year %
Statewide	0.2	21.9	6.2	9.5
Districtwide	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0
Central Office	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Escalante High	0.0	3.6	0.0	0.0
Tierra Amarilla Elementary	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)			Source: PED Data	Planning and Analysis Bureau

College Going and College Credit Accumulation

These figures represent students who graduated in 2013 (College Going) and 2011 (Credits Earned) and were tracked for post-secondary education both inside and outside the state.

Eligible Students earning a regular high school diploma.

Enrolled Students who enrolled in an institution of higher education within 16 months of earning a regular high school diploma.

Credits Earned Students who enrolled and earned one year of college credit within two years of enrollment.

All		Afr			Amer			
Students	Cauc	Amer	Hisp	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N

Chama Valley Independent Schools

Page 4 of 6

School District Report Card 2014-2015

		All		Afr			Amer			
		Students	Cauc	Amer	Hisp	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Escalante High	Eligible									
Escalante High	Enrolled, In-State									
Escalante High	Enrolled, Out-of-State									
Escalante High	Credits Earned	14			13			14		
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)							Source: N	ational S	tudent Clea	rinahouse

			Statew	vide LEA						
			%	%						
Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials				3 11.8						
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	High Povert	y Schools	N	A NA						
Core classes Not raught by riighly Qualified reachers	Low Povert	y Schools	N	A NA						
NA= Not applicable; LEA did not have schools that qualified as high or low poverty										
Professsional Qualifications	Number of	Highest	Degree*	Core Classes Not Taught by Highly						
Troresssional Quantications	Teachers	Bachelor's	Advanced	Qualified Teacher						
Chama Elementary	6	66.7	33.3	6.7						
	5	20	80	0						
Chama Middle	3									
Chama Middle Escalante High	14	71.4	21.4	11.8						
	-	71.4 42.9	21.4 57.1	11.8 14.8						
Escalante High	14									

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

- Q1 My child is safe at school.
- Q2 My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3 My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4 School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5 The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6 School staff maintain consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7 My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8 My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9 The school staff employ various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10 My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	Cuman	Agree and Strongly Agree (% of Respondents)									
	Survey Count	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10
Districtwide	60	89	86	87	93	79	82	64	91	88	88
Chama Elementary	10	90	80	100	100	88	100	70	100	100	80
Chama Middle	23	90	85	95	100	73	95	54	95	91	95
Escalante High	8	75	75	28	62	50	37	28	50	50	62
Tierra Amarilla Elementary	19	94	94	94	94	94	76	88	100	94	94
Source: PED anonymous survey collected from parents annual											

National Assessment of Educational Progress Statewide Results

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student achievement across states and for the nation as a whole. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district or by school. For further information please visit http://NCES.ED.Gov/NationsReportCard.

NAEP does not replace assessments which annually measure student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students are required to take the standards based assessments, whereas the NAEP selects representative samples of students and districts. Because not all subject areas or grade levels are tested every year, these statewide results are for the most recent year assessed in that subject area and grade.

Statewide Participation											
	Reading %	Math %	Science %								
4th Grade ELL	91	95	94 (2009)								
4th Grade SWD*	93	88	86 (2009)								
8th Grade ELL	92	95	93 (2011)								
8th Grade SWD*	89	90	87 (2011)								

^{*} NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities

Participation in NAEP is not mandatory

4th		Reading	(2015)		Math (2015)		Science (2009)				
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %
New Mexico	4	19	31	46	3	24	47	27	#	24	39	37
Nation	8	27	33	32	7	32	42	19	1	32	39	29

8th		Reading	(2015)	Math (2015)				Science (2011)				
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %
New Mexico	1	19	45	35	3	17	41	39	1	22	35	43
Nation	3	29	42	25	8	24	38	30	2	29	34	36

^{*} Basic is most comparable to Proficient on New Mexico's Standards Based Assessment

[#] Rounds to zero

Blanks indicate too few students to report